tachyarrhythmia when they misidentify the pulse,⁴ or when they mistake agonal gasping for normal breathing.^{4,5}

OSCAR MP JOLOBE

Manchester Medical Society, Manchester

References

- Pemberton J, McComb JM. Blackout? cause: misdiagnosis of cardiac arrest. *Clin Med* 2009;9:392–3.
- 2 Ratshin RA, Hunt D, Russell RO, Rackley CE. QT-interval prolongation, paroxysmal ventricular arrythmias, and convulsive syncope. Ann Intern Med 1971;75:919–24.
- 3 Paydak H, Telfer A, Kehoe RF et al. Brugada syndrome An unusual cause of convulsive syncope. Ann Intern Med 2002;162:1416–9.
- 4 Drezner JA, Rao A, Heistand J et al. Effectiveness of emergency response planning for sudden cardiac arrest in United States high schools with automated external defibrillators. Circulation 2009:120:518–25
- 5 Berdowski J, Beekhuis F, Zwinderman AH, Tijssen JGP, Koster RW. Importance of the first link. Description and recognition of an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in an emergency call. Circulation 2009; 119:2096–102.

Improving the process of discharge (1)

Editor – Dainty and Elizabeth's excellent review (*Clin Med* August 2009 pp 311–4) should be read by all professionals dealing with discharge; I shall certainly give it to medical students in our small group tutorials dealing with teamwork. But, while better education is certainly needed, most physicians will recognise organisational problems that thwart the best plans even of those who know what to do.

Discharge planning is a good general marker for the integrity and performance of teams. Before recent retirement from clinical practice I spent a great deal of time trying to improve the ways in which ward teams could work. What gets in the way of good practice? The first problem is, very simply, a lack of proper teams. The word 'teamwork' is on everyone's lips and 'good team player' included in person specifications for jobs but too few understand what it takes to form and maintain an effective team. Even then working and staffing

conditions are such that successful teams are difficult to achieve. Disintegration of teams and continuity of care are key problems for discharge but there is often also a problem of engaging with outside agencies. Some still regard hospitals as 'places of safety' for patients and lack incentives to accept patients quickly back into the community when medically appropriate.

Two problems deserve particular mention. The authors rightly state that discharge planning starts at admission. Unfortunately this first step is problematic unless the admitting doctor and nurse sit down together for a few minutes to agree (and document) the nature of the patient's problems and the initial plan. Anyone who has not compared medical and nursing plans for the same patient might be surprised by the amount of incongruity. The excuse is usually that they lack time to work together in this way although a little time spent together initially saves much more time and trouble later. Many hospitals also still lack a reliable way of documenting one agreed discharge plan that follows the patient in time and place and which is used by everyone involved in care and discharge. The resulting confusion increases risk and wastes time.

DAVID LEVINE

Retired physician

Sennen, Cornwall

Improving the process of discharge (2)

Editor – I was delighted to read Dainty and Elizabeth's paper (*Clin Med* August 2009 pp 311–4).

While I agree that discharge planning should always begin early on in the admission process, practically this is not always possible. There may be detrimental steps when focus on discharge becomes the priority of the admissions unit. Making an accurate comprehensive diagnosis in the context of multiple pathology, delirium and complicated social circumstances takes time. There are always quality of care issues that are as important as length of stay. Inappropriate readmission may be an unfortunate consequence. Without the correct facts about social circumstances and a secure medical

diagnosis and for that matter multidisciplinary assessment, you cannot predict length of stay or rehabilitation potential and requirements.

It really does undermine care when all professions focus on 'what can we do to get them out' rather than 'how can we best help this patient'.

There is often a cocktail of chaos and conflicting information by the time a patient reaches a care of the elderly ward. The unpredictable nature of so many of our frail elderly compounds the story. Amid this chaos, informing a family or next of kin about forced untimely discharge will generate complaints and distrust. A typical example would be the very variable length of stay for an elderly lady with fractured neck of femur. Consider all the possible postoperative complications. Multiple bed moves and conflicting and contradictory information from different professionals becomes normality. One says 'nil by mouth' another says 'dysphagic diet'. One ward says rehabilitation is required another says reablement or resource centre care is required. Not only are the family confused most of the junior doctors have little or no understanding of types of community care available in their own town, let alone neighbouring and often differing arrangements for out-of-area patients.

My opinion relating to timely discharge is that it can only be estimated when all the correct facts and medical information are available. And even then in the hands of an experienced physician and geriatrician it is not always easy. The phrase 'fit for medical discharge' is a misnomer. If the patient cannot walk and lives alone requiring a package of care from social services they are not fit for discharge. More accurately they are well enough not to require an acute hospital bed but so frail they cannot be discharged without social support.

I commend this article and timely preparation for discharge in all patients admitted to hospital. What may be lacking is the experience to know, and the honesty to admit at times we just have to wait and

DARYL LEUNG

Clinical director, care of the elderly New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton