patients with complex care needs. Frank showed that readmission is more likely in those living alone, while Woodard and Conroy echo our findings that readmission is more prevalent in frail older patients, who generally have increased lengths of stay. Heydtmann introduces a further important group – those admitted with alcoholic liver disease. It is distressing to read of such high readmission and mortality rates in this cohort.

Our article discussed the merits of a multidisciplinary approach in caring for high-risk patients, who have been shown to benefit from adequate discharge planning and aftercare initiatives.^{2,3} We read with interest Woodard and Conroy's description of an acute frailty unit, which will likely improve the standard of care provided to older patients, and we await with further data from their experience.

Patients at risk of readmission have been shown to be older and sicker with less social support than other inpatients. They have medical, psychological and social needs that are complex and significant. Our ageing population means the size of this cohort will only increase. Caring for these patients requires a multidisciplinary, holistic approach that seamlessly coordinates care across diverse locations.

As well as adequate medical provision, further investment in effective discharge planning and aftercare strategies, such as the care attendants scheme discussed by Frank,⁴ will need to be implemented. This will require better cooperation between the medical profession and social services, as well as the political will to implement this change. The challenge is significant, but the reward is happier, healthier patients.

Z SHALCHI, S SASO, HK LI, E ROWLANDSON, RC TENNANT

Acute Medical Assessment Unit Northwick Park Hospital North West London Hospitals NHS Trust

References

- Landrum L, Weinrich S. Readmission data for outcomes measurement: Identifying and strengthening the empirical base. Qual Manag Health Care 2006;15:83–95.
- 2 Mistiaen P, Francke AL, Poot E. Interventions aimed at reducing problems in adult patients

- discharged from hospital to home: a systematic meta-review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2007:7-47
- 3 Parker SG, Peet SM, McPherson A et al. A systematic review of discharge arrangements for older people. Health Technol Assess 2002;6:1–183.
- Townsend J, Piper M, Frank AO et al. Reduction in hospital readmission stay of elderly patients by a community based hospital discharge scheme: a randomised controlled trial. BMJ 1988;297:544–7.

Quality of care

Editor – I am moved by Professor Allan's elegy to bygone NHS virtues of 'calm caring and gentle pace of clinical life...and all the time in the world to deliver compassionate care' (*Clin Med* October 2009 p 407). One's immediate instinct would be to say 'Ah, but times have changed' – only to find the Editor extolling the same high level of care in a hospice in present-day England.

One can attempt to blame the unforeseen rise in the level of patients seeking emergency medical care and requiring acute hospital admission; the physical limits to what one can do with an outmoded hospital infrastructure; inadequate handover mechanisms; over-politicisation and micro-management of the delivery of patient care; and the list goes on.

I wish to argue that this regrettable gear shift in patient care is in no small part due to a disenfranchised clinical workforce in general. We are urged to explore new ways of working and improve efficiency in order to provide care for an ever increasing number of patients by a depleted workforce. Of course efficiency must be increased, but a workforce that is plagued by low morale and a poor sickness record is in no position to do such a thing.

I plead with our clinical leaders and politicians to work hard to re-energise our clinical workforce. The professional hierarchy must no longer delay tackling the sickness record in the NHS head-on, improve staff recruitment and retention, reward those who work hard, retain good senior nurses on the ward rather than an automatic channel to management and reempower ward senior nurses (can we stop calling ward sisters or matrons 'ward managers'?).

A new hospital can address many of the shortcomings mentioned by Professor

Allan. But a caring environment is still a numbers game: a small handful of nurses, however good they may be, cannot emulate the level of care recalled in the editorial when asked to look after a busy ward of more than a dozen of the infirm. Likewise, a dizzying day-to-day shift of a medical team provides only fragmented care. Things must be going wrong when I found myself presenting the case history of every patient on a Monday morning ward round to my foundation doctors and registrar who had all come back from various leave and shifts, and that was not the August changeover! How do we restore the firm structure and team spirit in the shadows of the European Working Time Directive and budget cuts without increasing the number of doctors? I do not think we can. We create rotas of complex shifts for our doctors, and that is what they will continue to be, shift workers.

JASON GOH

Consultant physician and gastroenterologist; postgraduate clinical tutor University Hospital Birmingham Foundation Trust

Applications of pharmacogenetics: importance in the treatment of diabetes

Editor – It is with interest that I read the recent article by Munir Pirmohamed (*Clin Med* October 2009 pp 493–5). The article explained how genotype testing might guide drug choice. I would like to highlight how detection of individual gene mutations is being used to influence drug therapy within the specialty of diabetes.

The realisation that some forms of diabetes occur as a result of monogenic mutations has allowed clinicians to optimise patient therapy by choosing drugs that are more likely to overcome the consequences of particular mutations.

Mature Onset Diabetes of the Young (MODY) is an inherited form of diabetes that often presents before the age of 25 years. Identification of genes causing MODY has allowed alternatives to insulin treatment to be offered to patients. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 1α (HNF- 1α) and glucokinase mutations are the most common causes of MODY. HNF- 1α