

letters to the editor

Please submit letters for the editor's consideration within three weeks of receipt of *Clinical Medicine*. Letters should ideally be limited to 350 words, and sent by email to: clinicalmedicine@rcplondon.ac.uk

Star fruit intoxication with acute kidney injury

Editor – I read with great interest the article by Mike Jones (*Clin Med* June 2012 pp 287–9) on recognising acute kidney injury (AKI). I would like to highlight a less common but important cause of AKI associated with star fruit nephrotoxicity.

Star fruit (*Averrhoa carambola*) is a popular fruit in tropical and subtropical countries, and its consumption is high in Asia, central America and tropical west Africa. Over the years, multiple case series have reported its nephro- and neurotoxicity in chronic kidney disease patients and, more recently, even in people with normal renal function.¹ This potentially fatal condition can be easily missed unless such history of star fruit intake is specifically sought, especially in patients with AKI with no apparent etiology.

The amount of fruit ingested which causes toxicity can be as low as approximately 25 ml, or half a fruit. However, its association with severity of symptoms is poor.² In patients with impaired renal function, a large amount of star fruit ingestion on an empty stomach appears to be a risk factor for toxicity. Onset of symptoms is within a few hours, commonly with intractable hiccups, vomiting and insomnia. In cases of moderate to severe intoxication, neuropsychiatric manifestations such as psychomotor agitation, mental confusion and seizure can occur, which may progress rapidly to coma and refractory status epilepticus, resulting in death.^{1–3}

Star fruit nephrotoxicity is believed to be due to its high oxalate content, which could cause acute obstructive oxalate nephropathy, as found on renal biopsy of affected patients.¹ Experimental study in rats has

suggested that oxalate crystals may provoke AKI by inducing apoptosis of renal epithelial cells.⁴ Prompt treatment with intensified haemodialysis and haemoperfusion, close monitoring and supportive care has been proposed as an effective therapeutic approach. Peritoneal dialysis is ineffective in this condition, especially when there is neurological involvement.² Interestingly, it was reported that intoxicated patients with initial normal renal function had recovered without any dialysis given,¹ which suggests that baseline renal function is a prognostic factor of renal recovery.

In conclusion, star fruit intoxication may be life-threatening and this diagnosis should be considered in patients with unexplained AKI, especially if associated with neuropsychiatric symptoms. Emergency renal replacement therapy may be required for the management of this potentially treatable condition.

KIAN-GUAN LEE

Department of Renal Medicine,
Singapore General Hospital, Singapore

References

- 1 Neto MM, Silva GEB, Costa RS *et al*. Star fruit: simultaneous neurotoxic and nephrotoxic effects in people with previously normal renal function. *NDT Plus* 2009;2:485–88.
- 2 Neto MM, Da Costa JA, Garcia-Cairasco N *et al*. Intoxication by star fruit (*Averrhoa carambola*) in 32 uremic patients: treatment and outcome. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2003;18:120–25.
- 3 Chang JM, Hwang SJ, Kuo HT *et al*. Fatal outcome after ingestion of star fruit (*Averrhoa carambola*) in uremic patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000;35:189–193.
- 4 Fang HC, Lee PT, Lu PJ *et al*. Mechanisms of star fruit-induced acute renal failure. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2008; 46:1744–52.

The case for the physician assistant

Editor – During more than 20 years working as a physician in the United States, I have interacted with physician assistants in a wide range of clinical settings. Accordingly, I read with great interest Ross and colleagues' excellent discussion regarding the potential future role of the physician assistant in the NHS (*Clin Med* June 2012 pp 200–6).

For many years I had the privilege of training and then supervising a number of physician assistants on an interventional cardiology team. These physician assistants provided the long-term stability and organisational skills needed to support the smooth running of an often frantic service. In addition, they were key in teaching junior cardiology residents the basics of pre- and post-procedural care of patients undergoing invasive cardiac testing. I have observed a similar clinical utility of physician assistants in other specialist services, such as cardiac surgery and urology.

I would, however, like to offer a word of caution regarding the considerable potential for the misuse (and abuse) of physician assistants by individual physicians. In the fee-for-service model prevalent in the United States, physicians may charge, at physician rates, for services provided by the physician assistant, as long as the physician supervises that service. Unfortunately, in my experience, this supervision is often scanty and superficial, or even non-existent. This is particularly seen in the private practice setting, where physicians employ multiple physician assistants to work directly for them. While such practices may considerably increase the earnings of the physician, they frequently cause distress to the physician assistants who are trained to look for consistent and meaningful direction from their physician.

Consequently, I would urge those educators, physicians and administrators who will be responsible for expanding the number of physician assistants in the NHS, to be very clear in defining the extent and depth of physician supervision. Vigilant and thoughtful supervision of the supervisors themselves is strongly recommended.