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ABSTRACT

Abnormal intra-neuronal (Lewy bodies) and intra-neuritic (Lewy neurites) deposits of fibrillary aggregates are currently considered the key neuropathological alterations in PD. The majority of these aggregates, mainly composed of alpha (α)–synuclein, are located at presynaptic level and impair axonal trafficking, resulting in a series of noxious events that cause neuronal damage to the substantia nigra pars compacta with a subsequent dopaminergic denervation of the striatum. The cardinal motor features of PD (bradykinesia and rigidity, with or without resting tremor) manifest after a substantial denervation of substantia nigra, which is associated with about 60–80% loss of dopamine terminals in the striatum.

The clinical presentation of PD includes a number of non-motor symptoms such as hyposmia, constipation, depression and sleep problems, among others. Non-motor symptoms have been associated with the damage induced by α-synuclein aggregates in non-dopaminergic pathways, and PET molecular imaging has linked, for example, the development of depression3 and weight changes4 with deficits in the serotonergic system in patients with PD.

Key points

- No neuroimaging technique is specifically recommended for routine use in clinical practice for Parkinson’s disease (PD).
- 123I-FP-CIT (DaTSCAN™) SPECT can be used in the differential diagnosis between PD and non-degenerative forms of parkinsonism.
- Brain MRI, cardiac 123I-mIBG SPECT and 18F-FDG PET have the potential to differentiate PD from atypical parkinsonism.
- PET imaging provides the means to discriminate and reveal sub-phenotypes of PD and associations with non-dopaminergic deficits.
- Further larger neuroimaging trials, including NHS-related financial analyses, are needed to evaluate the longer term benefits of these techniques in the management of patients with PD.
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The clinical presentation of PD, therefore, could be heterogeneous because of underlying dopaminergic and non-dopaminergic pathophysiology and overlap with other types of parkinsonism, including the parkinsonian variant of multiple system atrophy (MSA-P), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), and essential tremor (ET). Neuroimaging modalities have the ability to increase the accuracy of differential diagnosis.1

Brain MRI uses different sequences and contrasts to study brain structure and function while SPECT and PET imaging use ionising radioactive ligands to quantitatively measure brain structure and function while SPECT and PET imaging ability to increase the accuracy of differential diagnosis. 1 and essential tremor (ET). Neuroimaging modalities have the ability to increase the accuracy of differential diagnosis.1

Brain MRI uses different sequences and contrasts to study brain structure and function while SPECT and PET imaging use ionising radioactive ligands to quantitatively measure receptors, transporters or enzymes at nanomolecular level with high specificity and power of resolution. This review discusses the role of neuroimaging in PD clinical practice (Box 1).

### Structural and functional imaging

Traditional structural imaging modalities, such as X-ray computed tomography and brain MRI using anatomical T1 or T2-weighted sequences, are limited to use for identifying the dopaminergic deficits in the brain of patients with PD. However, MRI can be useful in the identification of structural lesions associated with other forms of parkinsonism, such as those underlined by vascular pathology or neoplasms. Structural MRI can also be useful for measuring the degree and distribution of brain atrophy. With regards to differential diagnosis, it has been shown that abnormal T2 MRI hypo-intensities in the putamen discriminate MSA-P from PD with 88% sensitivity and 89% specificity. Decreased putaminal signal intensities were higher using T2*-weighted gradient echo than T2-weighted fast-spin echo sequences and more useful in differentiating PD from MSA-P. Atrophy of the superior cerebellar peduncles and the frontal cortex also aid in discriminating PSP from PD with 74/94% and 95/91% specificity/sensitivity, respectively (Table 1).

#### Box 1. Use of neuroimaging in Parkinson’s disease clinical practice.

In clinical practice, no neuroimaging modalities are currently recommended for routine use.

**Structural MRI**

Brain MRI is helpful to detect cerebrovascular damages and to quantify brain atrophy in patients with parkinsonism. MRI is needed to identify the presence of a structural lesion (or lesions) that may cause or contribute to parkinsonism, gait disorder and tremor and should be considered in differential diagnosis between PD and other types of parkinsonism.

**SPECT**

DaTSCAN™PECT should be considered in differential diagnosis between degenerative and nondegenerative parkinsonism in patients with tremor (Box 2). Cardiac 123I-mIBG SPECT and 18F-FDG PET scanning should be considered in differential diagnosis between PD and atypical forms of degenerative parkinsonism (MSA-P, PSP).

**Table 1. Diagnostic accuracy of MRI modalities.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Participants (n)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal putaminal hypo-intensity MSA-P (24) vs PD (27)</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 hypo-intensity putaminal hyperintensity MSA-P (24) vs PD (27)</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton density MRI MSA-P (24) vs PD (27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 MRI: midbrain superior profile PSP (25) vs PD (27)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 MRI: midbrain atrophy PSP (25) vs PD (27)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 MRI: tegmental hyperintensity PSP (25) vs PD (27)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putaminal T2 hypointensity MSA (28) vs PD (32)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putaminal hyperintensity combined PSP (28) vs PD (32)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall MRI abnormalities MSA-P (28) vs PD (32)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putaminal hyperintensity MRI PD (27) vs PSP (25)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD (26) vs PD (27)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 MRI: voxel-based morphometry of cerebral peduncles and midbrain PSP (12) vs PD (12)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion-weighted MRI Putaminal rADC MSA-P (10) vs PD (11)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putaminal hyperintensity MSA-P (10) vs PD (11)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putaminal atrophy MSA-P (10) vs PD (11)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBD = corticobasalganglionic degeneration; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; MSA-P = multiple system atrophy parkinsonian type; PD = Parkinson’s disease; PSP = progressive supranuclear palsy; rADC = regional apparent diffusion coefficient.

Advanced MRI modalities, such as diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), spectroscopy, arterial spin labelling (ASL) and resting-state functional MRI, are showing promise for detecting and discriminating PD cases from other forms of parkinsonism. DWI is based on effects of diffusion of water molecules in MRI images and allows imaging of white matter axonal tracts, including the large-scale structural connections of the brain. Using single tensor DWI modelling, a study showed that patients with PSP and MSA-P were differentiated from those with PD with 90–100% sensitivity and specificity.9 However, this technique does not allow the separation of water in brain tissue from water in extracellular space. A novel bi-tensor diffusion analysis model was implemented in 2016 and used to discriminate PD from PSP and MSA-P.18 They found that all forms of parkinsonism had elevated free-water in the substantia nigra, but only PSP and MSA-P showed a broad network of elevated free-water in the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cerebellum. Spectroscopy allows direct imaging of a wide range of endogenous compounds, such as dopamine, GABA,
glutamate, N-acetylaspartate and glutathione. A proton density hyperintensity was found uniquely in patients with MSA-P and was able to differentiate MSA-P from advanced PD patients with 83% sensitivity and 100% specificity. However, these results were not replicated in a cohort of early PD patients, thus this warrants further study. ASL is a MRI-based technique that allows imaging of cerebral blood flow and can be used to quantify brain perfusion as index of neurovascular status. Using ASL, posterior cerebral hyperperfusion was found in patients with PD and correlated positively with Montreal cognitive assessment scores. These findings provide evidence of alterations in neurovascular status in PD.

Functional MRI measures blood oxygenation-level dependent (BOLD) signal, which is sensitive to localised changes in levels of blood oxygenation in brain regions that are activated. Functional connectivity can be assessed during tasks or in the resting state. Resting-state functional connectivity is considered an intrinsic functional connectivity network and is characterised by a regional homogeneity in a cluster of voxels and by the amplitude of low frequency fluctuations (ALFF) or fractional ALFF. Using this sequence, a PD-related pattern has been identified as reduction of ALFF in striatum, supplementary motor area, middle frontal gyrus and occipital cortex, and increase of ALFF in thalamus, cerebellum, precuneus, superior parietal lobule, and temporal cortex. With a 91%/89% sensitivity/specificity, this ALFF-PD-related pattern could be potentially useful for differentiating PD patients from healthy controls at an individual patient’s level.

Molecular imaging

Imaging with PET or SPECT are powerful methods to detect in vivo changes in the brain at molecular level. In patients with PD, PET and SPECT imaging of presynaptic dopaminergic function have shown marked reductions in vesicular monoamine transporter type 2 (VMAT2), dopamine transporter (DAT) and L-aromatic amino acid decarboxylase (L-AAAD) (Fig 1).

Table 2. Diagnostic accuracy of SPECT imaging: differentiation of tremulous disorders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DaTSCAN™ 14</td>
<td>158 PD vs 27 ET</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DaTSCAN™ 15</td>
<td>38 PD vs 38 NonPD</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123I-β-CIT SPECT 16</td>
<td>29 PD vs 32 ET</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123I-β-CIT SPECT 17</td>
<td>35 patients</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

123I-β-CIT = 123I-2β-carbomethoxy-3β-(4-iodophenyl)tropane; PD = parkinsonian syndrome; ET = essential tremor; SPECT = single-photon emission computed tomography; DaTSCAN™ = 123I-Ioflupane; PET = positron emission tomography; DDC = dopa decarboxylase; VMAT2 = type 2 vesicular monoamine transporter.

Fig 1. Molecular imaging of dopaminergic dysfunction in Parkinson’s disease. PET and SPECT imaging in a PD patient show reduction of VMAT2 activity. DAT availability, and DDC activity compared with a healthy control. DAT = dopamine transporter; DDC = dopa decarboxylase; PD = Parkinson’s disease; PET = positron emission tomography; SPECT = single-photon emission computed tomography; VMAT2 = type 2 vesicular monoamine transporter. Reproduced with permission from Politis M (2014).
Using PET imaging with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG), patterns of regional brain metabolism can be measured using a map of regional abnormalities of known disease-specific templates obtained in established patient cohorts. A quantification of disease-related spatial covariance patterns has been performed in PD, MSA and PSP. The PD-related pattern was characterised by increased activity in basal ganglia, pons and cerebellum with concurrent reductions of glucose metabolism in premotor, pre-supplementary motor and posterior parietal cortices; the MSA-related pattern by decreased metabolism in the putamen and cerebellum; and the PSP-related pattern by metabolic decreases in the brainstem and medial frontal cortex. These metabolic patterns can be used to differentiate PD from atypical degenerative forms parkinsonism (Fig 2). PET imaging with 18 kDa translocator protein (TSPO) ligands, such as 11C-PK11195 or 11C-PBR28, are used to quantify in vivo activated microglia. An increased binding of 11C-PK11195 was described in the pons, basal nuclei, frontal and temporal cortical areas, and in the midbrain. Increased midbrain 11C-PK11195 binding was correlated with DAT reduction in the putamen and with greater severity of motor symptoms according to the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale. These findings support the hypothesis that neuroinflammation may drive neurodegenerative processes in PD.

Considering that mechanisms underlying neurodegeneration and loss of dopaminergic signalling in PD are still unclear, recent studies have focused on novel potential targets. Among them, our group has studied phosphodiesterase 10A (PDE10A) using 13C-IMA107 in PD. PDE10A regulates cAMP and cGMP signalling cascades in the basal ganglia, thus it might have a key role in the regulation of dopaminergic transmission, and in neuronal survival. PDE10A in caudate, putamen and globus pallidus was lower in PD patients compared with healthy controls, and correlated with longer disease duration, worse motor scores and higher severity of dyskinesia (Fig 2). These findings support the hypothesis that PDE10A could be targeted with novel pharmacotherapy, and this may improve dopaminergic signalling and striatal output, and therefore alleviate symptoms and complications of PD.

In advanced PD, PET studies have been used to identify the mechanisms underlying motor complications, such as dyskinesias. Our group has demonstrated in vivo that maladaptive serotonergic mechanisms are responsible for dysregulated striatal dopamine levels in patients with PD who regularly experienced levodopa-induced dyskinesias.

**Conclusions**

Despite significant evidence for the utility of neuroimaging in assessing parkinsonian patients, none of the currently available neuroimaging techniques are specifically recommended for routine use in clinical practice of PD. Future larger trials, including NHS-related financial analyses, are need to demonstrate the clinical utility of neuroimaging in the longer term management of patients with PD.
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