The effect of ivabradine on functional capacity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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Increased sympathetic tone and use of bronchodilators increase heart rate and this may worsen functional capacity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The aim of this study was to look at the short-term effect of the heart rate lowering drug ivabradine on clinical status in COPD patients. We randomised 80 COPD patients with sinus heart rate ≥ 90 bpm into either taking ivabradine 7.5 mg twice per day or placebo for two weeks. We assessed all patients using the modified Borg scale and 6-minute walk test at baseline and then again 2 weeks after randomisation. There were no significant differences in age, sex, severity of airway obstruction (measured using forceful exhalation), severity of diastolic dysfunction or pulmonary artery systolic pressure between the two groups. The ivabradine group showed significant improvement in 6-minute walk distance (from 192.6±108.8 m at baseline to 285.1±88.9 m at the end of the study) compared with the control group (230.6±68.4 at baseline and 250.4±65.8 m at the end of study) (p<0.001). This improvement in the drug group was associated with significant improvement of dyspnea on modified Borg scale (p=0.007). Lowering heart rate with ivabradine can improve exercise capacity and functional class in COPD patients with resting heart rate >90 bpm.
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Introduction

Tachycardia is a common physical finding in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Chronic hypoxia causes norepinephrine spill, which leads to increased sympathetic tone (increased sympathetic discharge or stimulation) and acceleration of heart rate.1,2 The incidence of sinus tachycardia and atrial arrhythmias in patients with COPD increases with frequent use of bronchodilators (β2-agonists, theophylline and steroids).1,3,4 Resting heart rate increases with increasing severity of COPD and tachycardia can increase both cardiovascular and all-cause mortality, independent of pulmonary function.3 Tachycardia can reduce the exercise tolerance in COPD patients by increasing myocardial oxygen demand and decreasing coronary perfusion time. Shortened diastole also causes incomplete relaxation between beats, resulting in an increase in diastolic pressure relative to volume.6,7

Ivabradine selectively and specifically inhibits funny current (If), a primary sinoatrial node pacemaker current, reducing heart rate at rest and during exercise. There is no negative inotropic effect or blood pressure reduction with ivabradine compared with beta-blockers and non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers.8 Heart rate reduction with ivabradine has been found to decrease morbidity and mortality among patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.9 The purpose of this trial was to study the effect of heart rate reduction with ivabradine on the symptoms and functional capacity in COPD patients.

Patients and methods

Patients

We enrolled COPD patients with sinus rhythm and resting heart rate of more than 90 bpm that were presented to the chest department at Kasr ElAini Hospital from May 2012 to April 2014. Before randomisation, all patients were stabilised with inhaled bronchodilators ± steroids for COPD exacerbation and diuretics for right-sided heart failure.

Patients were excluded for the following reasons:

> coronary artery disease evidenced by history of angina or myocardial infarction, electrocardiogram suggestive of coronary artery disease or echocardiography showing resting regional wall motion abnormalities
> uncontrolled hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg)
> left ventricular systolic dysfunction on echocardiography (left ventricular ejection fraction <50%)
> left ventricular hypertrophy on echocardiography (wall thickness >1.1 cm)
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Methods
Baseline visit (day 0)
During the baseline visit, all patients were randomised into two
groups:
1 patients who continued on standard COPD management
(control group)
2 patients who continued on standard COPD management in
addition to ivabradine 7.5 mg twice daily (drug group).
We evaluated all of the enrolled patients using the following
methods:
> History and clinical examination including subjective
  assessment of the patient’s dyspnea using a modified Borg
  scale where 0 = no dyspnea, 1 = very light dyspnea, 2 = light
  dyspnea, 3 = moderate dyspnea, 5 = intense dyspnea, 7 =
  very intense dyspnea, 9 = very, very intense dyspnea and 10 =
  maximum dyspnea.
> Electrocardiogram for chamber enlargement, rhythm and
  rate.
> Chest X-ray for evidence of chamber enlargement or
  pulmonary abnormalities (consolidation, fibrosis, collapse,
  etc).
> Pulmonary function tests: forced vital capacity (FVC),
  forced expiratory volume in the first second of the forceful
  exhalation (FEV1) and FEV1/FVC ratio.
> 6-minute walk test performed in an enclosed corridor
  (crash trolley with available oxygen supply nearby) – the
  patient was asked to walk as far as possible in 6 minutes.
  The patient was permitted to slow down, to stop, and to rest as
  necessary then resume walking as soon as they were able.
  Then, the distance they had walked was measured.
> Echocardiographic examination: standard two-
  dimensional echocardiography machine (Vivid S5, GE
  Healthcare, USA) was used to obtain parasternal, apical, and
  subcostal views of the heart in order to measure the following:
  • left ventricular dimensions and ejection fraction
  • right ventricular dimensions measured at the base of right
    ventricle, at mid-ventricle and longitudinal dimension
  • pulsed wave Doppler on mitral valve for evaluation of mitral
    inflow estimating E/A ratio and E wave deceleration time
  • tissue Doppler imaging for lateral mitral annulus,
    estimating mitral annular diastolic velocities (E’ and A’),
    systolic velocity (S’) and mitral E/E’.
  • left ventricular Tei index
  • tissue Doppler imaging for lateral tricuspid annulus,
    estimating tricuspid annular diastolic velocities (E’ and A’)
    and systolic velocity (S’)
  • right ventricular Tei index
  • tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) by
    M-mode of lateral tricuspid annulus
  • pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP).
> Beta subunit of brain naturetic peptide (B-BNP).

Second visit after 2 weeks (day 15±2 days)
All measures done during baseline visit were repeated during
the second visit.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables were presented as mean±1 standard
deivation (SD) and categorical variables as numbers and
percentages if normally distributed. Comparisons between the
items were made by t-test, ANOVA and Wilcoxon rank-sum
tests for continues variables and chi-square and fisher’s exact
tests for categorical variables.

Results
We screened 140 COPD patients presenting to either the chest
department or chest outpatient clinic (Kasr AlAiny Hospital).
We excluded 60 patients as they met the exclusion criteria.
We randomised 80 patients into the drug group (40 patients)
and control group (40 patients). Table 1 shows baseline
characteristics of both groups with no statistical significant
differences regarding clinical, laboratoriy, echocardiographic
and pulmonary function data between both groups. The
control group were able to travel further during the 6-minute
walk distance (230.6±68.4 meters) compared with drug
group (192.6±108.8 meters) but this trend was not significant
(p=0.07). Fig 1 shows the difference between both groups
according to the modified Borg scale.
We reassessed the patients after receiving either ivabradine
7.5 mg twice daily or a placebo for 14 days. The drug group
showed a significant reduction in heart rate from 98.2±7.2 bpm
to 72.8±6.1 bpm (p<0.001) with no significant change in
heart rate in the control group. This heart rate reduction was
associated with improvement in modified Borg scale score
(Fig 2) and 6-minute walk distance (Fig 3) compared with the
control group. No statistically significant difference was found
between both groups regarding echocardiographic parameters
or FEV1. The drug group showed mild adverse effects in the
form of blurred vision (10%), sinus bradycardia 50–60 bpm
(7.5%) and headache (5%).

Discussion
Tachycardia is a common finding in COPD patients because of
the frequent use of bronchodilators and increased sympathetic
tone. Tachycardia can aggravate COPD disease state, possibly
by worsening the diastolic function that is already impaired
in COPD. In this study, we tested the effect of reducing heart
rate with ivabradine on the functional capacity in patients with
COPD.
Most of enrolled patients were middle-aged (50–60 years)
males with no difference between drug and control
groups regarding age, sex, smoking, body mass index, diabetes
mellitus or hypertension. Their main symptoms were dyspnea
and exercise intolerance as a result of obstructive airway disease;
there was no statistical significant difference between the
control and drug groups regarding degree of dyspnea as
assessed by modified Borg scale (p=0.23). Both groups showed
relatively rapid heart rate (99.7±12.0 bpm versus 98.3±7.4 bpm;
p=0.53). Several factors are responsible for rapid heart rate
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of control and drug groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (n=40)</th>
<th>Drug (n=40)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.3±8.1</td>
<td>57.4±8.4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender (n)</td>
<td>40 (100%)</td>
<td>38 (95%)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (n)</td>
<td>38 (95%)</td>
<td>36 (90%)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN (n)</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td>5 (12.5%)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM (n)</td>
<td>5 (12.5%)</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSHF (n)</td>
<td>8 (20%)</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Borg scale</td>
<td>4.9±1.4</td>
<td>5.3±1.3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.2±5.0</td>
<td>25.5±3.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>122±10.5</td>
<td>125±9.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>79.4±11.2</td>
<td>79.5±7.8</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>99.7±12.0</td>
<td>98.3±7.4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (pg/mL)</td>
<td>40.6±22.1</td>
<td>42.1±23.3</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD (m)</td>
<td>230.6±68.4</td>
<td>192.6±108.8</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV MPI (%)</td>
<td>69±8.2</td>
<td>69±8.9</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA (cm)</td>
<td>3.2±0.6</td>
<td>3.1±0.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral E/A</td>
<td>0.76±0.19</td>
<td>0.88±0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDT (msec)</td>
<td>217.8±72.8</td>
<td>223±68.9</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E’ (cm/sec)</td>
<td>10.3±3.7</td>
<td>11.1±3.4</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A’ (cm/sec)</td>
<td>13.2±3.6</td>
<td>14.2±3.4</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S’ (cm/sec)</td>
<td>11.8±3.2</td>
<td>13.0±3.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E'/E'</td>
<td>6.3±3.6</td>
<td>6.3±3.4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV MPI</td>
<td>0.68±0.19</td>
<td>0.90±0.15</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASP (mmHg)</td>
<td>40.2±15.9</td>
<td>42.0±12.6</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>1.37±0.65</td>
<td>1.23±0.50</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (%predicted)</td>
<td>41.15±19.97</td>
<td>36.90±15.11</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6MWD = 6-minute walk distance; A’ = A’ wave velocity at lateral mitral annulus; BMI = body mass index; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; DM = diabetes mellitus; E’ = E’ wave velocity at lateral mitral annulus; EDT = E wave deceleration time; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in first second; HR = heart rate; HTN = hypertension; LA = left atrium; LV = left ventricular; LV MPI = left ventricular myocardial performance index; Mitral E/A = mitral E/A ratio; PASP = pulmonary artery systolic pressure; RSHF = right-sided heart failure; S’ = S’ wave velocity at lateral mitral annulus; SBP = systolic blood pressure.

in COPD patients. Hypoxia causes sympathetic stimulation through increasing the level of catecholamine release. Also, frequent use of bronchodilators, including beta-adrenergic agonists, methylxanthines and inhaled steroids could accelerate heart rate.

6-minute walk distance was found to be longer among the control group (230.6±68.4 m) compared with the drug group (192.6±108.8 m). However, this result was not statistically significant (p=0.07). We did not find statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding echocardiographic data or pulmonary functions tests. Thus, both groups seem to share similar baseline characteristics with no strong confounding variables.

Ivabradine was studied in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction in the SHIFT study. The use of ivabradine was associated with a significant improvement in New York Heart Association class, a reduction in admission due to all-cause and any cardiovascular disease and reduction in mortality due to all causes and any cardiovascular disease. In our study, the use of ivabradine was associated with a reduction in heart rate and significant improvement of modified Borg scale and 6-minute walk distance. In another study, Pal et al found that heart rate reduction with ivabradine was not associated with an improvement in exercise capacity. That study included different categories of patients; namely heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFP EF) and asymptomatic hypertensives. Patients were also considerably older (74.6±5.9 years and 66.9±5.2 years for HFP EF and asymptomatic hypertensives).
asymptomatic hypertensives, respectively) compared with 60.3±8.1 years in our study. Moreover, a resting heart rate more than 90 bpm was an inclusion criterion in our study, while in the study by Pal et al, resting heart rate was significantly lower (75±12 bpm and 78±14 bpm for HFP EF patients and asymptomatic hypertensives, respectively). In our study, ivabradine reduced heart rate from 98.2±7.2 bpm to 72.8±6.1 bpm, whereas it reduced the heart rate from 77 to 57 bpm in the study from Pal et al. It appears that excessive heart rate reduction in HFP EF patients may be deleterious, especially in the presence of chronotropic incompetence and advanced diastolic disease because heart rate is a major determinant of cardiac output.\textsuperscript{26} In COPD patients, control of excessive tachycardia due to combined sympathetic overstimulation and frequent use of sympathomimetics may be beneficial in improving exercise capacity.

We did not find any significant difference between both groups regarding FEV1 as ivabradine has no effect on pulmonary function. Again, we did not find a significant difference between both groups regarding the echocardiographic data of left and right ventricles despite the above mentioned significant improvement in clinical condition. This could be explained by the lack of reliability of echocardiographic diastolic measurements, including tissue Doppler imaging to predict the changes in diastolic function and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure in HFP EF in response to different loading conditions.\textsuperscript{24}

It was reported that the use of ivabradine for more than 4 weeks was associated with increased incidence of atrial fibrillation.\textsuperscript{25} However, in our study, ivabradine was relatively safe with no severe adverse events. A study of the long-term effects of ivabradine is required to avoid precipitating atrial fibrillation in COPD patients, which can increase breathlessness and disability.

Conclusion

Ivabradine, with its heart rate lowering properties and its safety in patients with bronchoconstriction, could improve the clinical status and exercise capacity in COPD patients with rapid heart rate. The drug did not affect pulmonary function, mainly FEV1, and it was well-tolerated among COPD patients despite mild adverse effects that did not affect compliance in using the drug.
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