Letters to the editor

Please submit letters for the editor's consideration within three weeks of receipt of *Clinical Medicine*. Letters should ideally be limited to 350 words, and sent by email to: clinicalmedicine@rcplondon.ac.uk

Post-malaria neurological syndromes

Editor – O'Brien and Jagathesan gave a succinct review of neurological sequelae following treated malaria infection, illustrated by a case of acute encephalomyelitis in a commercial airline pilot who contracted *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in West Africa.¹

The reported case also illustrates the continuing practice in some UK centres of using quinine to treat severe malaria because of a lack of awareness of the evidence that the treatment of choice is parenteral artesunate. Use of artesunate has been associated with a 34% mortality reduction compared with parenteral quinine in adults with severe malaria and a corresponding 22% mortality reduction in African children.^{2,3} The patient presented in this report was treated with intravenous quinine and an exchange transfusion for the initial management of his severe malaria. Prompt administration of artesunate results in a rapid fall in peripheral parasitaemia and would have obviated the need for exchange transfusion, a procedure of unproven benefit in the management of severe malaria. Of note for this case report, despite conferring a survival advantage, artesunate treatment of severe malaria has not been associated with a reduction in neurological sequelae compared with quinine.

Most practitioners would agree it is important to ensure treatment guidelines are based on the best available evidence, including for conditions that are seldom encountered. The World Health Organization has recommended artesunate for the treatment of all patients with severe malaria since 2006. ⁵ The same recommendation was included in the UK malaria treatment guidelines in 2016, although larger centres that encounter malaria more frequently have been using it for some time. ⁶ Earlier difficulties with the procurement of parenteral artesunate have been overcome, meaning that all UK trusts can now update their formularies to ensure artesunate is available as a life-saving treatment for all patients presenting with severe malaria. ■

Conflicts of interest

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

ELIZABETH A ASHLEY

clinician scientist, Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

References

1 O'Brien MD, Jagathesan T. Lesson of the month 1: Post-malaria neurological syndromes. *Clin Med* 2016;16:292–3.

- 2 Dondorp A, Nosten F, Stepniewska K et al. Artesunate versus quinine for treatment of severe falciparum malaria: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2005;366:717–25.
- 3 Dondorp AM, Fanello CI, Hendriksen IC et al. Artesunate versus quinine in the treatment of severe falciparum malaria in African children (AQUAMAT): an open-label, randomised trial. Lancet 2010;376:1647–57.
- 4 Tan KR, Wiegand RE, Arguin PM. Exchange transfusion for severe malaria: evidence base and literature review. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;57:923–8.
- 5 World Health Organization. *Guidelines for the treatment of malaria,* 3rd edn. Geneva: WHO, 2015.
- 6 Lalloo DG, Shingadia D, Bell DJ et al. UK malaria treatment guidelines 2016. J Infect 2016;72:635–49.

Stroke mimic diagnoses presenting to a hyperacute stroke unit

Editor – The study that showed that access to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) facilitated the diagnosis and management of stroke mimics¹ strikes a parallel with another study evaluating MRI after a negative computerised tomography (CT) scan.² In that study, as many as 11.5% of patients who had a non-diagnostic CT scan after presenting with atypical stroke symptoms were subsequently shown to have subacute infarcts when MRI was performed within 24 hours of the negative CT scan.² These observations are strong arguments for an MRI-first policy along the lines demonstrated in a recently reported 'real world' study.³ In that study, among 314 patients with suspected stroke who were screened solely by MRI, 73 proceeded to intravenous thrombolysis. Thanks to a concurrent quality improvement (QI) strategy, door-to-needle time (DNT) significantly improved during the three phases of implementation of the MRI-first policy such that median DNT amounted to 83 minutes, 68 minutes and 54 minutes in phases I, II and III, respectively (p<0.001). Exceptions to MRI-first included contraindications to MRI (one patient) and poor general condition (two patients).

The QI process included pre-notification by the emergency medical service, limiting the MRI sequence and introduction of a rapid examination tool.³ The disadvantage of MRI being more time consuming than CT scanning can be mitigated by applying novel strategies to reduce the time taken by high computation processes, such as diffusion-weighted imaging. High angular resolution diffusion imaging is one such strategy. In theory, this strategy is capable of reducing computation time by about 50% without any reduction in result quality.⁴

Conflicts of interest

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

OSCAR MP JOLOBE

retired geriatrician, Manchester Medical Society, Manchester, UK

Letters to the editor

References

- Dawson A, Cloud GC, Pereira AC, Moynihan BJ. Stroke mimic diagnoses presenting to a hyperacute stroke unit. Clin Med 2016;16:423–6.
- 2 Hammoud K, Lafranchi M, Li SX, Mehan WA. What is the diagnostic value of head MRI after negative head CT in ED patients presenting with symptoms atypical of stroke? *Emerg Radiol* 2016;23:339–44.
- 3 Sakamoto Y, Tanabe M, Masuda K et al. Feasibility of using magnetic resonance imaging as a screening tool for acute stroke thrombolysis. J Neurol Sci 2016;368;168–72.
- 4 Loro NF, Ibanez A, Lavrador R et al. Processing time reduction: an application in living human high-resolution diffusion magnetic resonance imaging data. J Med Syst 2016:40:243.

Where did the acute medical trainees go?

Editor – A misalignment between the aims of a training body and those of trainees could comfortably explain many of the findings highlighted in the article by Gowland *et al.*¹ The authors only briefly discuss this critical issue in their analysis – that trainees in acute care common stem acute medicine (ACCS AM) training posts 'may never have intended' to pursue a career in acute medicine. Foundation and physician trainees recognise that ACCS AM provides a quality, and less variable, path of training for acute medicine, but also a path to high-acuity specialties such as intensive care medicine, respiratory medicine and cardiology.²

Core medical training has the expectation of acquiring a common set of competencies. However, precisely how this is achieved is dependent on both the hospital and the medical specialties that a trainee rotates through. ACCS training avoids much of this variability. For example, opportunities to site multiple central lines or intercostal chest drains are guaranteed in intensive care medicine rotations. Independence in many mandatory procedures in the ACCS curriculum³ is by comparison a 'desirable' outcome for core medical trainees.⁴

Perhaps the issue with ACCS is the desire to funnel trainees into base specialties at the point of entry. The popularity of

broad based training and analysis of trainee reflections show that there is a desire for general training programmes with exit specialty choice. The introduction of competition between acute medicine and other ACCS specialties to recruit exiting ACCS trainees would provide the necessary impetus for the specialty to innovate its methods of education and training. Without such transformation, acute medicine will struggle to attract the trainees it needs.

Conflicts of interest

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

JK SARFO-ANNIN acute care common stem trainee, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK

References

- 1 Gowland E, Le Ball K, Bryant C, Birns J. Where did the acute medical trainees go? A review of career pathways of acute care common stem acute medical trainees in London. Clin Med 2016;16:427–31.
- 2 Sarfo-Annin J, Vali Z. Acute care common stem (acute medicine) versus core medical training for entry to specialty training year 3 cardiology. London: BMJ Careers, 2013. http://careers.bmj.com/careers/advice/ Acute_care_common_stem_(acute_medicine)_versus_core_medical_ training_for_entry_to_specialty_training_year_3_cardiology [Accessed 1 November 2016].
- 3 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Acute care common stem core training programme: curriculum and assessment system. London: Intercollegiate Committee for ACCS Training, 2012. www.rcoa.ac.uk/ system/files/TRG-CU-ACCS2012.pdf [Accessed 1 November 2016].
- 4 Joint Royal Colleges of Physicians Training Board. Specialty training curriculum for core medical training. London: JRCPTB, 2009 (with amendments approved in 2013). www.jrcptb.org.uk/sites/default/files/2009%20CMT%20Curriculum%20%28amendments%20 2013%29%20100516.pdf [Accessed 1 November 2016].
- 5 Muddiman E, Bullock AD, MacDonald J et al. 'It's surprising how differently they treat you': a qualitative analysis of trainee reflections on a new programme for generalist doctors. BMJ Open 2016;6:e011239.

