NEWS 2 – too little evidence to implement?

Authors: Luke E Hodgson, A Jo Congleton, B Richard Venn, Lui G Forni and Paul J Roderick

The Royal College of Physicians (RCP) recently published the National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2), aiming to improve safety for patients with hypercapnic respiratory failure by suggesting a separate oxygen saturation (SpO₂) parameter scoring system for such patients. A previously published study of patients (n=2,361 admissions) with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) demonstrated alternative scoring systems at admission did not outperform the original NEWS. Applying NEWS2 SpO₂ parameters to this previously described cohort would have resulted in 44% (n=27/62) of patients who scored ≥7 points on the original NEWS and subsequently died being placed in a lower call-out threshold. NEWS2 loses the benefits of a unified, standardised scoring system and we suggest prospective research in this area before applying this adjustment.

KEYWORDS: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, validation study, National Early Warning Score 2

Introduction

The National Early Warning Score (NEWS) is a validated mortality predictor, recommended and used as a track and trigger score in acute hospitals across the NHS.¹ Due to significant concerns of NEWS over-alerting in patients with chronic respiratory conditions, two groups proposed adjusted scores for this patient subgroup.^{2,3} Our previous study reported the first validation of the NEWS in patients with an acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) (n=2,361). The NEWS values of AECOPD patients was significantly higher than in a large contemporaneous group (n=37,109) of acute medical unit admissions (median NEWS 4 vs 1 respectively, p<0.001) despite both groups having a mortality of 5%. ⁴ However, NEWS demonstrated similar discrimination to predict mortality compared to the alternative scores, with the CREWS and Salford-

Authors: Arespiratory and intensive care consultant, Worthing Hospital, Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Worthing, UK and University of Southampton, Southampton, UK; Consultant respiratory physician, Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton and Sussex Hospitals NHS Trust, Brighton, UK; Consultant intensivist, Worthing Hospital, Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Worthing, UK; Professor of intensive care medicine, The Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Guildford, UK and University of Surrey, Guildford, UK; Forofessor of public health, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK

NEWS providing increased specificity at the cost of sensitivity. NEWS2, published in December 2017, aims to improve safety for patients with hypercapnic respiratory failure by suggesting an alternative scoring system for oxygen saturation (SpO₂), similar to the Salford-NEWS (Fig 1). 5 We aimed to assess the performance of NEWS and NEWS2 using the previously described AECOPD cohort.

Methods

The database of a retrospective cohort study from 2012–14 was interrogated. 4 Ethical approval was previously given by NHS Research Ethics Committee London-South East (REC reference 13/LO/0884) and the study followed the TRIPOD guidance for reporting of validation studies of prediction models.⁶ As this involved anonymised data, patient consent was not deemed necessary. NEWS has three thresholds: low (0-4 points) medium (5-6) and high (≥ 7) that determine, for example, subsequent frequency of observations and whether an urgent clinical response is required (Fig 2). We assessed the number of patients reclassified to lower risk groups by NEWS2 both in those who survived and in those who died during their hospital admission. Results are presented with median and interquartile ranges. Median scores were compared using Wilcoxon signed ranks test. Predictive values were also calculated at suggested NEWS call-out thresholds, to inform on the way model performance could impact on clinical workload including sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values with associated 95% confidence intervals. Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics 24.

Results

Over the 2-year study period there were 39,470 admissions of which 2,361 were AECOPD. The AECOPD cohort had a median age of 74 (interquartile range [IQR] 67–82), inpatient mortality was 5% (n=123). Median admission NEWS was 4 (IQR 2–6) and median NEWS2 was 3 (IQR 2–4), p<0.001 (Table 1). In the 123 patient deaths median NEWS was 7 (IQR 3–9) compared to 4 (IQR 2–8) using NEWS2 (p<0.001). Of patients who died, 62 (50%) had an admission NEWS \geq 7 points; rescoring using NEWS2 SpO₂ parameters 44% (n=27) of these patients would have been placed in a lower call-out threshold, reducing sensitivity. Of cases with NEWS>7 who survived (false positives for mortality) 66% (n=291/440) would have been similarly placed in a lower threshold, increasing specificity (see Table 2).

Discussion

NEWS2 SpO₂ adjustments aim to improve safety for patients with hypercapnic respiratory failure who would normally have

A+B SpO ₂ Scale 1 Oxygen saturation (%)	≥96														≥96
	94–95							1							94–95
	92–93							2							92–93
	≤91							3							≤91
SpO ₂ Scale 2† Oxygen saturation (%)	≥97 on O ₂							3							≥97 on O ₂
	95–96 on O ₂							2							95–96 on O ₂
Use Scale 2 if target	93-94 on O ₂							1							93–94 on O ₂
range is 88–92% eg in hypercapnic	≥93 on air							//////							≥93 on air
respiratory failure	88–92							//////							88–92
†ONLY use Scale 2 under the direction of a qualified clinician	86–87							1							86–87
	84–85							2							84–85
	≤83%							3							≤83%

Fig 1. RCP NEWS and NEWS2 SpO_2 scoring. Top panel – original NEWS; bottom panel – NEWS2. Reproduced with permission from the Royal College of Physicians, London.

a recommended SpO_2 range of 88-92%. ^{5,7} There are potential drawbacks to such an approach. NEWS is well validated in multiple patient settings providing standardisation with all the benefits that go with this approach. Indeed, respiratory patients were included in the NEWS derivation study and in a similar previous early warning score. ^{8,9} A recent large Danish study found similar drops in sensitivities for 48-hour mortality and intensive care unit (ICU) admission and found that records downgraded by NEWS

modifications into a lower call-out threshold were more frequently followed by 48-hour mortality or ICU admission than records with an unmodified NEWS in the same scoring threshold; this suggests that the purpose of NEWS, detecting deterioration, may be compromised by modifications.¹⁰

In our original validation paper we suggested maintaining the NEWS with its advantages of a unified approach, while emphasising the need to have patients looked after by the right

NEW score	Frequency of monitoring	Clinical response						
0	Minimum 12 hourly	Continue routine NEWS monitoring						
Total 1–4	Minimum 4–6 hourly	 Inform registered nurse, who must assess the patient Registered nurse decides whether increased frequency of monitoring and/or escalation of care is required 						
3 in single parameter	Minimum 1 hourly	Registered nurse to inform medical team caring for the patient, who will review and decide whether escalation of care is necessary						
Total 5 or more Urgent response threshold	Minimum 1 hourly	Registered nurse to immediately inform the medical team caring for the patient Registered nurse to request urgent assessment by a clinician or team with care competencies in the care of acutely ill patients Provide clinical care in an environment with monitoring facilites						
Total 7 or more Emergency response threshold	Continuous monitoring of vital signs	 Registered nurse to immediately inform the medical team caring for the patient – this should be at least at specialist registrar level Emergency assessment by a team with critical care competecies, including practitioner(s) with advanced airway management skills Consider transfer of care to a level 2 or 3 clinical care facility, ie higher-dependency unit of ICU 						

Fig 2. Call-out thresholds and frequency of observations and suggested seniority of responder. Reproduced with permission from the Royal College of Physicians, London. ICU =

intensive care unit

Clinical care in an environment with

monitoring facilities

Table 1. NEWS and NEW2 admission scores in the AECOPD cohort

	Overall cohort (n=2,361) Median (IQR)	Survivors (n=2,238) Median (IQR)	Deaths (n=123) Median (IQR)		
NEWS	4 (2–6)	4 (2–6)	7 (3–9)		
NEWS2	3 (2–4)	3 (2–4)	4 (2–8)		

IQR = interquartile range

specialty who understand the score in the context of that condition and can deliver optimal care, rather than adjusting a national track and trigger score. This point remains valid and links into the current RCP COPD secondary care audit in which being promptly reviewed by a respiratory specialist within 24 hours is part of the best practice tariff.¹¹ Crucially, the NEWS score is not dependent on an accurate diagnosis, which is often revised during the initial period of an acute medical admission. We would argue that introduction of different scorings for subsets of patients is counter-intuitive to the reasoning behind the NEWS. Suggesting an adjustment for a minority of acute admissions may compromise, rather than improve, the safety of respiratory patients. For example, the 2014 BTS National Audit of AECOPD found of those who had an arterial blood gas performed (only 78% of the COPD patients), 22% were acidotic, 44% were hypercapnic and 36% were hypoxic.¹¹ The NEWS2 report suggests blood gas analysis be performed prior to instituting the adjusted score by a competent decision maker; however, there is a risk this will be applied to all patients with AECOPD, many without a blood gas analysis. In our cohort of AECOPD admissions, we found that NEWS2 would have placed a significant number of high-risk patients who went on to die (score ≥7 points) into a lower risk call-out threshold compared to the original NEWS. While this strategy would undoubtedly lower 'falsealarms,' this is not without clinical risk.

Concluding remarks

Before widespread implementation of this adjustment to NEWS we would suggest utilising a large national dataset to answer a number of questions such as:

- what are the best parameters to predict mortality or clinical deterioration (such as requirement for non-invasive ventilation or escalation of care) for patients with hypercapnic respiratory failure and
- what are the best parameters to predict mortality for patients with AECOPD without hypercapnic respiratory failure, to answer

Table 2. Performance of NEWS and NEWS2 at a threshold of \geq 7points.

Threshold ≥7pts	Sensitivity (95% CIs)	Specificity	PPV	NPV
NEWS	50 % (41–60)	80 % (79–82)	12% (10–15)	97 % (96–97)
NEWS2	28 % (21–37)	93 % (92–94)	19 % (15–24)	96 % (96–96)

CIs = confidence intervals; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive value

whether lower SpO2 points weightings should be applied to all COPD admissions rather than a subgroup of a subgroup of acute hospital admissions.

Even after the above questions are addressed we would suggest serious thought is given to the proposal that a unified approach to a track and trigger score is abandoned without robust evidence to support this change.

Author contributions

LH contributed to study design, data analysis, writing up of the paper and final approval of the submitted version. JC contributed to study design, interpretation of the data, writing up of the paper and final approval of the submitted version. RV contributed to study design, data analysis, interpretation of the data, writing up of the paper, and final approval of the submitted version. LF contributed to study design, interpretation of the data, writing up of the paper, and final approval of the submitted version. PR contributed to study design, data analysis, writing and final approval of the submitted version.

References

- 1 Royal College of Physicians. National Early Warning Score (NEWS) Standardising the assessment of acute-illness severity in the NHS. London: RCP, 2012.
- 2 Eccles SR, Subbe C, Hancock D, Thomson N. CREWS: improving specificity whilst maintaining sensitivity of the National Early Warning Score in patients with chronic hypoxaemia. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:109–11.
- 3 O'Driscoll BR, Bakerly ND, Murphy P et al. Concerns regarding the design of the bedside monitoring chart for use with the NEWS (National Early Warning System). Clin Med 2013;13:319–20.
- 4 Hodgson LE, Dimitrov BD, Congleton J et al. A validation of the National Early Warning Score to predict outcome in patients with COPD exacerbation. Thorax 2017;72:23–30.
- 5 Royal College of Physicians. National Early Warning Score (NEWS) 2: Standardising the assessment of acute-illness severity in the NHS. Updated report of a working party. London: RCP, 2017.
- 6 Moons KG, Altman DG, Reitsma JB et al. Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): explanation and elaboration. Ann Intern Med 2015;162:W1–73.
- 7 O'Driscoll BR, Howard LS, Earis J, Mak V. BTS guideline for oxygen use in adults in healthcare and emergency settings. *Thorax* 2017;72(Suppl 1):ii1–ii90.
- 8 Duckitt RW, Buxton-Thomas R, Walker J et al. Worthing physiological scoring system: derivation and validation of a physiological earlywarning system for medical admissions. An observational, population-based single-centre study. Br J Anaesth 2007;98:769–74.
- 9 Prytherch DR, Smith GB, Schmidt PE, Featherstone PI. ViEWS Towards a national early warning score for detecting adult inpatient deterioration. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:932–7.
- 10 Pedersen NE, Rasmussen LS, Petersen JA et al. Modifications of the National Early Warning Score for patients with chronic respiratory disease. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2018;62:242–52.
- 11 The British Thoracic Society. COPD: Who cares matters. National Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Audit Programme: Clinical audit of COPD exacerbations admitted to acute units in England and Wales 2014. London: BTS, 2015.

Address for correspondence: Dr Luke E Hodgson, Academic Unit of Primary Care and Population Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK. Email: drlhodgson@gmail.com