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Lung and pleural malignancies remain common in the UK with poor survival rates due, at least in part, to late stage diagnosis. Diagnostic pathways aim to reduce the time taken for patients to reach a diagnosis and treatment, with the use of positron emission tomography and endobronchial ultrasound to provide staging information alongside diagnostics. Advances in molecular phenotyping of tumours and the development of treatments to target these have provided new therapeutic options which can be individualised to patients. In the UK, screening for lung cancer remains in its infancy, but provides a promising possibility for capturing curative disease. We provide an overview of the diagnostic process, therapeutic options and potential future screening programmes in pleural and pulmonary malignancies.

Introduction

Pulmonary and pleural malignancies are common. Each year worldwide and in the UK approximately 1.8 million and 39,000 people were diagnosed with lung cancer and 1.6 million and 35,000 died from the disease, respectively. Similarly, mortality rates from malignant mesothelioma were reported at 4.9 per million, with a male to female ratio of 3.6:1. Many patients with lung cancer are diagnosed with late stage disease, which carries 5-year survival of around 4%, with only 5.5% of cases cured. Five-year survival rates for malignant mesothelioma are similarly poor, between 5% and 10%, with median survival between 12 to 21 months for stage 4 and stage 1 disease, respectively. However, with the development of targeted therapy and immunotherapy it is likely that the survival will improve. Overall, around 90% of cases of lung cancer are caused by tobacco smoking. Asbestos exposure remains the most important contributing factor for malignant mesothelioma with a latency period of around 20 to 40 years to disease manifestation. Exposure can be occupational, domestic (eg household member exposure through the asbestos deposited on the work clothes) or environmental (eg living in the proximity of asbestos factories) and highlights the importance of a detailed history. World Health Organization (WHO) classification describes four histological types of mesothelioma including epithelioid (60%), sarcomatoid (10–15%), biphasic (25–30%) and the rare desmoplastic. Recent Royal College of Physicians National Lung Cancer Audit report suggests that 10% of lung cancers are of small cell subtype (SCLC), carrying a worse prognosis. 88.5% are non-small cell subtype (NSCLC), which can be further subdivided into adenocarcinoma (36%), squamous carcinoma (22%), not pathologically confirmed (31%) and others, including large cell carcinoma (11%) and carcinoid (1.5%). In the current context of managing lung cancer and mesothelioma, molecular profiling has become of greater importance allowing more targeted therapies with potentially improved prognosis.

Investigations

Initial investigations for suspected lung cancer or mesothelioma include imaging in the form of a chest radiograph followed by computed tomography (CT) and, frequently, positron emission tomography (PET) to provide diagnostic and staging information. PET has limitations in the investigation of malignant mesothelioma and is not recommended in patients who have had prior talc pleurodesis, although it may have a role in detecting metastases. Current guidelines recommend the primary investigation should provide the most information for both diagnosis and staging, with least risk to the patient. Mediastinal and subcarinal lymph node staging forms an important aspect

Key points

- Pulmonary and pleural malignancies remain common and the majority present at an advanced stage.
- Mutation testing within the cancer cells allows for more specific treatment using new systemic agents including immunotherapy.
- Indwelling pleural catheter and chest drain insertion with pleurodesis should be offered in management of malignant mesothelioma.
- Lung cancer screening trials show reduced lung cancer mortality and a stage shift with earlier detection.
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of management of lung cancer and can be undertaken non-invasively via CT and more frequently via PET, with the latter being more accurate with a sensitivity and specificity of 77.4% (95% confidence interval (CI) 65.3–86.1) and 90.1% (95% CI 85.3–93.9). Patients considered for radical treatment ultimately require invasive sampling of the mediastinal lymph nodes. Surgical mediastinoscopy, which has low complications rates but requires general anaesthesia, has been considered as the gold standard with reported sensitivity of 86 to 93% and diagnostic accuracy of 93 to 96%. Endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) is a less invasive alternative, which allows ultrasound visualisation and a real time sampling of mediastinal lymph nodes with reported sensitivity of 0.90 (CI 0.84–0.96). Combined EBUS and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) provide a diagnostic yield for mediastinal lymph node sampling as high as 97%. Current guidelines suggest that surgical mediastinoscopy, which has low complications rates but requires negative EBUS/EUS sampling if clinical suspicion for nodal involvement is high and would affect treatment options, and has been shown to increase diagnostic sensitivity by 9%.1

Early stage lung cancer and screening

Current evidence suggests that only 15% of lung cancer cases are diagnosed at an early stage and even in these, patients the 5-year survival rate was 54%.1 For patients with localised early stage lung cancer who are fit for surgery, a lobectomy should be offered as it provides better survival outcomes.1 For patients who are not fit for lobectomy, a sub-lobar resection or stereotactic ablative radiotherapy should be considered, offering survival benefits compared to conventional fractioned radiotherapy.7 The National Lung Screening Trial research findings showed that low dose helical CT screening, compared to chest radiography, could reduce lung cancer mortality by 20%, supporting screening for early detection of lung cancer, which can then be treated with curative intent.8 A randomised controlled screening trial included approximately 16,000 people aged 50 to 74 years with smoking history and revealed a 26% reduction in lung cancer deaths for men over a 10-year period. More impressively, the rate of lung cancer mortality in women was reduced by 61 to 39% at 8 to 10 years of follow-up.9,10 The study reported that 27,000 screening CT resulted in a 0.9% lung cancer detection rate with the positive predictive value of 41%. In the screening group, 50% of cancers were at an early stage and less than 10% at stage 4, compared with only 10% early stage in the control arm where around half were diagnosed at stage 4.

Early stage lung cancers frequently present as solitary peripheral lung lesions, which may be challenging for obtaining tissue for histological diagnosis. While flexible bronchoscopy is useful for biopsying proximal endo-bronchial lesions it has low diagnostic yield for peripheral lesions. Percutaneous trans-thoracic CT guided needle lung biopsy is the most accurate sensitivity and specificity of over 90%, but has recognised risks of pneumothorax of 0 to 61%, with 3.3 to 15% of patients requiring intercostal chest drain insertion, and 5 to 16.9% risk of intrapulmonary haemorrhage.12 Alternatives include radial EBUS guided biopsy, with a reported diagnostic yield from peripheral lesions at between 63.3% and 84.4%.13 Another new technique gaining wider usage is navigational bronchoscopy during which flexible bronchoscopy is guided by virtual bronchocoscopy using ultra-thin CT to sample peripheral lung lesions.14 A recent large prospective multicentre study, NAVIGATE, showed diagnostic yield of 73% in 1,053 procedures, with the low complication rates including 4.3% of pneumothorax and 2.9% of pneumothorax requiring chest drain insertion.15 There is no doubt that once national lung cancer screening is introduced these newer techniques will assume even greater importance. Meanwhile, a common strategy for peripheral lung nodules demonstrating fluorodeoxyglucose avidity on PET and no visible metastases is surgical resection with frozen section histology and immediate lobectomy if malignancy is confirmed.

Developments in systemic therapies for lung cancer

For SCLC chemotherapy in combination with (depending on the stage) radiotherapy to the lungs and cerebral radiotherapy remain the main treatment options. NSCLC management has become more complex. In addition to conventional platinum-based chemotherapy new therapies have emerged, directed by the presence of different sensitising mutations within the cancer cells. For example, in patients with non-squamous (adenocarcinoma, large cell or undifferentiated) NSCLC who have sensitising mutations within the epidermal growth factor receptor gene (EGFR) to tyrosine kinase inhibitors (erlotinib, gefitinib or afatinib), treatment with these agents improves overall survival, progression free survival and quality of life, compared to platinum based chemotherapy.16 Similarly, in patients who have anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene re-arrangement, present in 3 to 6% of NSCLC, crizotinib, which is an ALK inhibitor improved progression free survival (10.9 months) compared to platinum-based chemotherapy (7.0 months).17 The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) consequently approved crizotinib and ceritinib for ALK positive advanced NSCLC.18 ROS-1 is a tyrosine kinase receptor related to ALK and its mutation is present in 1 to 2% of NSCLC. Crizotinib has also been approved by NICE for treatment of ROS-1 positive cancers.1 Other agent, osimertinib, can be used for locally advanced or metastatic disease in patients with cancer cells positive for the T797 mutation of the gene coding for EGFR. There is also a role for a systemic treatment in patients with early stage of lung cancer including adjuvant chemotherapy after surgery. A different therapeutic option includes chemoradiotherapy, which may allow for access to durvalumab through an expanded access programme.

Immunotherapy including agents working through the immune check points such as programmed death 1 (PD-1) and programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1), which are required protection of normal tissue from T-cell response, are used to manage patients with lung cancer or mesothelioma.15,16 PD-L1, which is a cell surface glycoprotein present on the activated antigen presenting cells and also on some lung cancer cells, normally binds to its receptor PD-1 resulting in the inhibition of activated T cells. Pembrolizumab is an IgG4 antibody targeting PD-1, which can be used as first line treatment for patients with squamous cell lung cancer with PD-L1 expression of more than 50% of cancer cells, having improved progression free survival significantly compared to conventional chemotherapy (10.3 vs 6 months).1 It is second line for patients who have 1–49% cells expression. Nivolumab, is a human immunoglobulin G4 immune checkpoint inhibitor, which binds to PD-1 and may be used in patients with no PD-L1 expression.1

Management of mesothelioma

Current guidelines suggest a limited role for surgery in the context of malignant mesothelioma.1 The MesoVATS study showed no
difference between video assisted thoracoscopic surgery partial pleurectomy and medical pleurodesis. Current guidelines for the management of pleural effusion associated with malignant mesothelioma recommend pleurodesis using either talc slurry or thoracoscopic talc poudrage pleurodesis. In addition, patients can be offered indwelling pleural catheter insertion as first-line therapy, having been shown to have similar outcomes to talc pleurodesis. The MARS2 study exploring the effects of surgery is currently underway. The effects of systemic therapy are limited but may be offered to patients with good performance status. Cisplatin and pemetrexed, improved median overall survival (12.1 vs 9.3 months), with raltitrexed and cisplatin being an alternative regimen. The role of radiotherapy in the context of malignant mesothelioma is limited and the current guidelines do not recommend prophylactic radiotherapy to chest wall procedure tracts. There may also be a limited role for immunotherapy in managing malignant mesothelioma.

Conclusions

The investigations and management of pulmonary and pleural cancers has evolved in recent years. Technological improvements allow for more minimally invasive diagnostic procedures. Moreover, developments in systemic therapies are promoting individualised treatment options guided by different sensitising mutations within the cancer cells. This rapid, along with results from screening trials, are holding hope for better treatment outcomes for patients with pleural and lung cancers.
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