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Nausea and vomiting (N&V) are commonly experienced by patients receiving palliative care, and may result from the primary disease itself, treatment for the disease (medication, anti-cancer therapies) or co-existing diseases (and their treatments). Given that one-third of hospital inpatients are in the last year of life, physicians should have a sound working knowledge of assessment and management of common symptoms for patients receiving palliative care. This article will focus on the assessment and pharmacological management of nausea and vomiting (excluding chemotherapy-induced N&V).

Epidemiology

N&V are common, but distinct, symptoms experienced by patients receiving palliative care. Nausea is ‘the unpleasant feeling of the need to vomit’, whereas vomiting is ‘the forceful expulsion of gastric contents through the mouth’. Patients may also complain of retching, which is ‘the attempt to vomit without bringing anything up’. Although they are separate entities, N&V often occur together. Stephenson et al report that 62% of patients with advanced cancer report N&V. As isolated entities, the prevalence of nausea ranges from 6–68% in patients with advanced cancer, and the prevalence of vomiting is 4% in patients with advanced cancer.

Aetiology

In clinical practice, N&V are often multi-factorial symptoms. According to Stephenson et al, the causes in their study were impaired gastric emptying (44%); chemical causes (33%); visceral/serosal pathology (31%); cranial causes (8%) and cortical reasons (7%).

Table 1 outlines common causes and examples of N&V. It should be noted that many patients in this study had more than a single cause for their N&V.

Table 1. Categories of nausea and vomiting according to causes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub-groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>Drugs eg opioids, antibiotics, Toxins eg gut ischaemia, infection, Metabolic eg hypercalcaemia, renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired gastric emptying</td>
<td>Drugs eg opioids, tricyclics, Autonomic dysfunction,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral/serosal</td>
<td>eg obstruction of hollow viscus, stretched liver capsule,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial</td>
<td>Raised intracranial pressure eg tumour, infarction,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular</td>
<td>Drugs eg opioids, Base of skull tumour,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical</td>
<td>Anxiety, pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Key points

Nausea and vomiting are common, distressing symptoms in patients receiving palliative care.

Nausea and vomiting may be due to the primary disease, treatment sequelae (medication, anti-cancer therapies) and co-existing disease.

Assessment should identify the likely aetiology.

Nausea and vomiting are often multifactorial.

Common pitfalls include poor assessment, using inappropriate antiemetics and using multiple antiemetics with the same mechanism of action.
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Clinical features

The clinical features of N&V may suggest an underlying aetiology. Gastric stasis may present with a feeling of early satiety, bloating and N&V soon after eating. Opioid-induced N&V usually occurs after initiation of opioids, and is generally transient in nature (<1 week). The presentation of N&V due to malignant bowel obstruction depends on the level of the obstruction: in small bowel obstruction, nausea followed by large volume vomits is an early clinical feature, and is often associated with central, colicky abdominal pain; vomiting is often a later feature in large bowel obstruction. N&V due to biochemical causes are usually constant symptoms, and coincide with initiation of a drug, or development of the relevant problem.

N&V can cause significant distress and impair a patient’s quality of life. Rhodes et al suggest that the associated distress escalates over the duration of the symptom, reinforcing the need for early assessment and intervention. Furthermore, N&V can result in significant physical complications including dehydration, electrolyte derangement and malnutrition.

Assessment

A focussed history and examination is essential, supported by appropriate investigations (eg biochemistry, radiology). The objectives of assessment should be to identify the likely cause of N&V, establish the response to previous antiemetic therapy and identify any complications of N&V (eg dehydration, electrolyte disturbance). Re-assessment is as important as assessment, with the main purpose being to review the efficacy and tolerability of the initial antiemetic medication.

Treatment

Explanation of the likely cause and proposed treatment should be provided to the patient and their carers; they should also be counselled on potential adverse effects of prescribed antiemetics. Dependent on individual patient circumstances, it is suggested that potentially reversible causes are treated, for example, constipation should be treated with laxatives, and these will be much more effective than antiemetics in the medium term. In all cases, the appropriateness of parenteral hydration should be considered, as well as the need for electrolyte replacement (eg potassium supplements, magnesium supplements).

Broadly speaking, there are two different approaches to managing N&V: the mechanistic approach and the empirical approach. The mechanistic approach is generally used in clinical practice, the underlying principle is to block the relevant emetogenic pathway by selecting an antiemetic which targets the pertinent receptor(s). Key emetogenic pathways are summarised in Fig 1. An empirical approach involves using drugs on the basis of their specific receptor activity.

There are two main brainstem centres which receive and integrate neurotransmitters responsible for N&V: the vomiting centre (VC) and the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ). The VC is not a specific anatomical structure, but is a collection of nuclei, which receives input from the cerebral cortex, the vestibular system and the GI tract, as well as integrating input from the CTZ. The CTZ is in the floor of the fourth ventricle, the CTZ sits outside of the blood-brain barrier, rendering it sensitive to toxins, drugs and biochemical derangements.

Table 2 outlines the choice of antiemetic therapy based on the likely cause of N&V. Concomitant use of drugs with similar receptor profiles is not recommended. Parenteral administration is recommended in cases of vomiting, poor GI absorption or significant gastric stasis. For maximum effect, antiemetics should be prescribed on a regular basis, titrated according to response, and reviewed frequently for effectiveness and adverse effects.

Of note, there remains a low quality evidence for the management of N&V. One prospective study demonstrated that 89% of patients with advanced cancer had vomiting controlled at 1 week (and 56% had nausea controlled at 1 week) after following an aetiology-based approach to management. Thus, there remains a proportion of patients for whom their symptoms will be refractory to the suggested aetiology-based approach. In such situations, referral to specialist palliative care services is...
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*Table 2. Antiemetic therapy based on the mechanistic approach.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspected cause of nausea and vomiting</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Recommended first-line antiemetic</th>
<th>Recommended second-line antiemetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>Drugs, biochemical disturbance</td>
<td>Haloperidol</td>
<td>Levomepromazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired gastric emptying</td>
<td>Gastric stasis, drugs</td>
<td>Metoclopramide</td>
<td>Levomepromazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral/serosal</td>
<td>Bowel obstruction, constipation</td>
<td>Cyclizine</td>
<td>Levomepromazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial</td>
<td>Space occupying lesion, radiotherapy</td>
<td>Cyclizine</td>
<td>Levomepromazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular</td>
<td>Base of skull tumour</td>
<td>Cyclizine</td>
<td>Levomepromazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical</td>
<td>Anxiety, pain</td>
<td>Benzo diazepines</td>
<td>Levomepromazine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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