The evolution of the hospitalist movement in the USA

Thomas E Baudendistel and Robert M Wachter

ABSTRACT - The hospitalist movement represents a novel paradigm of health care delivery in the USA, its evolution hastened by a variety of financial, clinical, and time pressures. Hospitalists are site-defined specialists who spend the majority of their professional time practising in the hospital, and in this respect are similar to emergency medicine or critical care specialists. Community hospitals were the sites of early growth in hospitalist systems, and academic medical centres quickly followed suit. The field has grown rapidly, and now has its own textbook, professional society, training programme, and research and educational agenda. Published research to date has upheld the promise of the hospitalist model: improving efficiency of care by reducing length of stay and hospital costs without compromising quality or patient satisfaction. Future hospitalist research will aim to elucidate the role of hospitalists in the care of critically ill and surgical patients, identify the competencies that will ultimately define this specialty, and expand our understanding of key inpatient issues, such as prevention of nosocomial infections, end-of-life care, and hospital quality measurement.

KEY WORDS: hospitalists, job satisfaction, medical specialties, organisational models, outcome and process assessment, patient satisfaction

A novel health care paradigm

First coined in 1996, the term 'hospitalist' described a new breed of physicians in the USA who focused on the care of medical inpatients¹. Traditional models of health care delivery in the USA place the primary care physician - a general internist, paediatrician, or family physician – as the physician of record for most non-surgical hospitalised patients, receiving assistance from subspecialists as the need arises. In a hospitalist system, primary care physicians transfer the responsibility for hospital care to an inpatient specialist, whose expertise is defined not by an organ system but by site of practice. The hospitalist then refers the patients back to their primary care physician at the time of discharge. Although a generation ago American primary care physicians spent nearly half their time providing hospital care, they now spend an average of 12% of their time in the hospital².

Many influences hastened the evolution of a novel paradigm of health care delivery in the hospital: time constraints on the primary care provider; fewer inpatients for individual primary care physicians; cost pressures on the hospital and medical groups with reduced rates of reimbursement for inpatient services; the heightened acuity of medical inpatients; the accelerated pace of inpatient care; and the evidence that 'practice-makes-perfect' in other medical fields¹.

Originally defined as physicians who spend more than 25% of their time caring for inpatients³, the first American hospitalists grew out of a cohort of generalists and specialists already performing the majority of their clinical duties in the hospital. The 1997 survey from the National Association of Inpatient Physicians (NAIP), the hospitalists' newly established professional society, found that 51% of these early hospitalists were general internists and 38% were internal medicine subspecialists, usually pulmonary or critical care specialists4. By the time of the subsequent NAIP survey, as more and more general internists recognised the viability of a career in hospital-based medicine, the generalist fraction had swelled to 74%, while subspecialists fell to 14%⁵. In both surveys, paediatricians and family physicians comprised the remaining fraction.

In just five years, the hospitalist movement has gained widespread acceptance in the USA^{6–8}. In 1999, 65% of internists had hospitalists in their community, and 28% reported using them for inpatient care⁷. Furthermore, since December 2001, 12 of the top 15 US hospitals have had active hospitalist programmes⁹. Since its inception three years ago, NAIP has grown to over 3,000 members and the hospitalist workforce is an estimated 6,000 strong. If projections hold true, hospitalists will ultimately number 19,000 – a size comparable to American cardiologists¹⁰.

Challenges for the inpatient specialist

As with any new specialty, hospitalists have had to surmount several obstacles. Initially, hospitalists had to overcome their Achilles' heel: the handover of the patient to the inpatient physician with the inherent loss in continuity of care. Hospitals and health care organisations then asked hospitalists to prove their value by demonstrating cost savings and efficiency, without a reduction in quality or patient satisfaction.

Thomas E
Baudendistel MD,
Assistant Clinical
Professor of
Medicine,
University of
California, San
Francisco

Robert M Wachter MD, Associate Chair, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco

Clin Med JRCPL 2002;**2**:327–30 Migrating to academic medical centres, faculty hospitalists have been challenged to define unique research agendas and educational curricula, and justify the creation of a new specialty.

Discontinuity of care

Early opposition centred on the purposeful discontinuity of care that characterises a hospitalist system^{3,11–13}. Fears that the deliberate handover of patients at the time of hospital admission and discharge would lead to disgruntled patients or key information gaps have been largely unsubstantiated however. Survey results indicate that the care patients receive from hospitalists is rated as highly as the care they received from their own primary care physician or from a traditional academic ward attending^{14–17}. We suggest that patients are willing to trade familiarity for the availability of the hospitalist³. Effective hospitalist systems have focused on methods to mitigate the impact of discontinuity at the hospital threshold, by frequently communicating with the primary care physician through phone calls at admission and discharge, faxing of daily progress notes, and encouraging visits or phone calls from the primary care physician to the patient. Although concerns exist, recent surveys suggest that most American primary care physicians now approve of hospitalists^{7,8,18}.

Hospitalist impact on efficiency and quality

While hospitalists have diffused widely throughout the USA, data regarding their effectiveness have been slower to emerge. Published studies have examined the hospitalist influence on hospital mortality, readmission rates, cost and length of stay.

Hospital mortality and readmission rates. Fourteen studies have investigated the impact of hospitalists on mortality rates, and 11 found no change in mortality with hospitalist care^{15–17,19–26}. Two studies found a decrease in hospital mortality rates with hospitalists^{27,28}, and one study reported a 0.5% absolute increase in mortality²⁹. Control groups in these studies ranged from those headed by academic physicians (attended on the wards 1.0 to 2.5 months per year) to community-based and health maintenance organisation (HMO) outpatient internists, paediatricians, or family physicians. The impact of hospitalists on readmission rates has been similar, with seven studies finding no change after adopting a hospitalist system^{15,17,20,22,24,30,31}, two finding a reduction in readmission rates^{16,19}, and one study showing an excess number of readmissions with a hospitalist system²¹.

Cost and length of stay. Proponents of hospitalist systems point to the consistent cost savings and reduction in average length of stay realised under this model. Of 23 published studies, 19 found decreases in both hospital costs (average decrease 13.4%) and lengths of stay (average decrease 16.6%)^{14–17,19–23,26–28,31–37}. Two studies reported reduced length of stay without a decrease in hospital costs^{29,38}, and only two studies found neither cost nor length of stay reductions^{24,25}. In both of these studies, the control group leaders had more

inpatient experience than is typical for US primary care physicians.

In summary, published outcomes studies confirm the early promise of the hospitalist model, demonstrating consistent and pronounced cost savings with no loss in quality.

Hospital medicine as a career: defining the specialty

The definition of the term 'hospitalist' has evolved to reflect the expanding role of the inpatient specialist. The National Association of Inpatient Physicians now recognises hospitalists as physicians whose primary professional focus (clinical, teaching, research, or administration) is general inpatient care. This broader definition recognises the growth of the hospitalist movement in academic medical centres, and into novel non-clinical roles (Table 1).

Initially, hospitalists cared primarily for patients on internal medicine and paediatric wards or in intensive care units, and performed medical consultations on non-medical patients. As the field has matured, many hospitalists have moved beyond the consultant role to a new role as physician-of-record for non-medical patients. Although this enables the surgeon to focus on daytime operating room obligations, no published studies have analysed the impact of hospitalists on the care of surgical patients outside the operating room.

Also unclear is the role of the hospitalist in the intensive care unit. In studies documenting improved outcomes and efficiency when intensivists participate in the care of critically ill patients^{39–43}, hospitalists were not represented in the control groups. Since no studies have compared hospitalist-based intensive care (with intensivist consultation) to intensivist-based care, the optimal collaborative model between hospitalists and intensivists remains undecided, although hospitalist care of the critically ill may expand if the projected US shortage of critical care physicians materialises⁴⁴.

Academic medical centres are leading sites of hospitalist research and teaching programs. Hospitalist-led research initiatives so far have included critical inpatient issues such as end-of-life care⁴⁵, hospital quality measurement⁴⁶, patient safety, and prevention of nosocomial infections⁴⁷. With their on-site availability and large number of potential subjects, hospitalists are well positioned to engage in trials of commonly encountered inpatient diseases (eg pneumonia, gastrointenstinal bleeding,

Table 1. Clinical and non-clinical hospitalist roles.

- Physician of record for hospitalised non-surgical patients, both inside and outside intensive care unit
- Medical consultant and 'co-manager' of non-medical patients
- House officer and medical student inpatient educator
- Emergency department triage physician
- Hospital-to-hospital transfer coordinator
- Liaison role between hospital and primary care physician
- Inpatient researcher, particularly on topics that cut across traditional subspecialty lines
- · Quality and patient safety leader

sepsis), of systems issues in hospitals (eg medical errors, palliative care, inpatient—outpatient communication), and of hospital efficiency, cost and quality.

Academic hospitalists are emerging as core teachers of inpatient medicine. At our institution, faculty hospitalists cover about two-thirds of the inpatient ward months each year. Housestaff have rated the teaching of academic hospitalists significantly higher than that of non-hospitalist medical attendants in preliminary reports at our institution (Hauer K, personal communication) and another¹⁶. The impact of hospitalists on medical student education has not been studied to date, although we recently described several potential advantages and disadvantages. Advantages include heightened accessibility of hospitalists to medical students, improved modelling of communication and coordination skills, better teaching of inpatientoriented topics, and enhanced awareness of the importance of cost effectiveness in the current health care environment. Potential drawbacks to student education in the hospitalist model may result from reduced lengths of hospitalisation, as students have less time to learn the natural history of their patients' illnesses. Also, in systems in which hospitalists do the majority of inpatient care, students have less exposure to subspecialists and to physician-scientists, and may perceive a fragmentation of inpatient versus outpatient medicine⁴⁸.

In its first five years, hospital medicine has fulfilled many criteria of traditional specialties. Hospitalists have created a niche with defined research and teaching agendas, its own professional society, a textbook, and a dedicated residency track and fellowship⁴⁹. Similar to emergency medicine or critical care, hospital medicine is defined by site of practice, rather than by organ, age of patients, or technical proficiency. Although the ultimate clinical and non-clinical roles of hospitalists have yet to be defined, a recent survey of practising hospitalists in the USA will guide the education of future hospitalists. Practising hospitalists identified training gaps in end-of-life care, quality improvement and patient safety, medical economics, care of surgical patients, post-acute care, and communication skills. They felt they were highly, and adequately, trained in clinical and procedural skills respectively⁵⁰. Future curricula must not only fill these voids, but also focus on the inpatient aspects of clinical domains that have traditionally been taught (if at all) within the outpatient curricula (eg pain management, palliative care).

The American hospitalist system and the British model

To date there are no published empirical or analytical studies that compare the burgeoning US hospitalist system with the system for inpatient care in the UK. The British system, like the hospitalist model, has long separated the functions of outpatient primary care (largely provided by general practitioners) and inpatient care (largely provided by hospital-based consultants). In the UK, these consultants are largely subspecialists (eg cardiologists, gastroenterologists) who tend to admit patients with the relevant subspecialty disorder to their firm. Consultants also maintain active hospital-based outpatient practices in their area

Key Points

Hospitalists are site-defined specialists who serve as surrogates for the primary care physician in the hospital

Hospitalist models in the USA have expanded from community hospital to academic medical centres, and the clinical and non-clinical roles of the hospitalists have evolved accordingly

Published data support the value of hospitalists in reducing hospital costs and length of stay without sacrificing quality or patient satisfaction

Key challenges to the hospitalist include overcoming the purposeful discontinuity of care created by the handover of patients at hospital admission and discharge, and identifying the skills and competencies that will define the specialty

of expertise. There is much to be learned by comparing and contrasting the UK and US models of hospital care: the American model emphasising the role of the generalist-coordinator of care and the British model focusing more directly on the organ-based specialty expertise of the consultant.

Conclusions

The hospitalist system is rapidly being adopted as a dominant model of inpatient care in the USA⁶⁻⁸. Similar to emergency medicine or critical care, hospital medicine is a field defined by site (the hospital) rather than by organ, disease or procedural skill. Accordingly, hospitalists serve as surrogates of the primary physician in the hospital, upholding generalist emphases on coordination, integration, and breadth. To date, research has confirmed the promise of this novel system of health care, as hospitalists generate impressive and consistent cost savings without compromising mortality, quality, or patient satisfaction. We look forward to rigorous analysis of future evidence to determine whether the positive impact of hospitalists on quality and teaching can be confirmed, to elucidate the role of hospitalists in the management of critically ill and surgical patients, and to identify the unique set of skills and competencies that will ultimately define this specialty.

References

- 1 Wachter RM, Goldman L. The emerging role of 'hospitalists' in the American health care system. N Engl J Med 1996;335:514–7.
- 2 Chesanow N. How doctors spend their working hours. *Med Econ* 1997; 74:116–22, 125, 130.
- 3 Wachter RM. An introduction to the hospitalist model. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:338–42.
- 4 Lindenauer PK, Pantilat SZ, Katz PP, Wachter RM. Hospitalists and the practice of inpatient medicine: results of a survey of the National Association of Inpatient Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;130:343–9.
- 5 Hoff TH, Whitcomb WF, Williams K, Nelson JR, Cheesman RA. Characteristics and work experiences of hospitalists in the United States. Arch Intern Med 2001;161:851–8.
- 6 Sox HC. The hospitalist model: perspectives of the patient, the internist, and internal medicine. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;130:368–72.

- 7 Auerbach AD, Nelson EA, Lindenauer PK, Pantilat SZ *et al.* Physician attitudes toward and prevalence of the hospitalist model of care: results of a national survey. *Am J Med* 2000;**109**:648–53.
- 8 Fernandez A, Grumbach K, Goitein L, Vranizan K et al. Friend or foe? How primary care physicians perceive hospitalists. Arch Intern Med 2000;160:2902–8.
- 9 www.usnews.com/usnews/nycu/health/hosptl/honroll.htm. US News and World Reports 2001 (accessed 7 February 2002).
- 10 Lurie JD, Miller DP, Lindenauer PK, Wachter RM, Sox HC. The potential size of the hospitalist workforce in the United States. Am J Med 1999;106:441–5.
- 11 Calkins DR, Davis RB, Reiley P, Phillips RS et al. Patient-physician communication at hospital discharge and patients' understanding of the postdischarge treatment plan. Arch Intern Med 1997;157:1026–30.
- 12 Wolpaw DR, Bailey RH. Old debate, new direction. *J Gen Intern Med* 1998;13:852–3.
- 13 Pantilat SZ, Lindenauer PK, Katz PP, Wachter RM. Reach out and touch someone: primary care physician experience and communication with hospitalists. J Gen Intern Med 1999;14(suppl):62.
- 14 Freese RB. The Park Nicollet experience in establishing a hospitalist system. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:350–4.
- Davis KM, Koch KE, Harvey JK, Wilson R et al. Effects of hospitalists on cost, outcomes, and patient satisfaction in a rural health system. Am J Med 2000;108:621–6.
- 16 Halpert AP, Pearson SD, LeWine HE, McKean SC. The impact of an inpatient physician program on quality, utilization, and satisfaction. Am J Manag Care 2000;6:549–55.
- 17 Wachter RM, Katz P, Showstack J, Bindman AB, Goldman L. Reorganizing an academic medical service: impact on cost, quality, patient satisfaction, and education. *JAMA* 1998;279:1560–5.
- 18 Pantilat SZ, Lindenauer PK, Katz PP, Wachter RM. Primary care physician attitudes regarding communication with hospitalists. Am J Med 2001;111:15–20.
- 19 Diamond HS, Goldberg E, Janosky JE. The effect of full-time faculty hospitalists on the efficiency of care at a community teaching hospital. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;129:197–203.
- 20 Stein MD, Hanson S, Tammaro D, Hanna L. Most AS. Economic effects of community versus hospital-based faculty pneumonia care. *J Gen Intern Med* 1998;13:774–7.
- 21 Bellet PS, Whitaker RC. Evaluation of a pediatric hospitalist service: impact on length of stay and hospital charges. *Pediatrics* 2000;105: 478–84.
- 22 Hackner D, Tu G, Braunstein GD, Ault M et al. The value of a hospitalist service: efficient care for the aging population? *Chest* 2001;119:580–9.
- 23 Landrigan C, Srivastava R, Muret-Wagstaff S. Outcomes of hospitalization in pediatric patients insured by HMOs: Comparison of care by hospitalists and traditional academic providers. *Pediatric Research* 2000; 47:204A.
- 24 Kearns PJ, Wang CC, Morris WJ, Low DG et al. Hospital care by hospital-based and clinic-based faculty: a prospective, controlled trial. Arch Intern Med 2001;161:235–41.
- 25 Tingle LE, Lambert CT. Comparison of a family practice teaching service and a hospitalist model: costs, charges, length of stay, and mortality. Fam Med 2001;33:511–5.
- 26 Maggioni A, Reyes MA, Lifshitz F. Evaluation of a pediatric hospitalist service by APR-DRG's: Impact on length of stay and hospital charges. Pediatric Research 2001;49:124A.
- 27 Meltzer DO, Shah MN, Morrison J, Lin J, Levinson W. Decreased length of stay, costs, and mortality in a randomized trial of academic hospitalists. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16(suppl):208.
- 28 Auerbach AA, Wachter RM, Showstack JA, Katz P et al. Implementation of a hospitalist service at a community teaching hospital: evolution of service utilization, costs, and patient outcomes. Abstract volume of the Third Annual Meeting of the National Association of Inpatient Physicians 2000; 2.
- 29 Kroger E. Are hospitalists truly more efficient? A natural experiement. *J Gen Intern Med* 1998;**13**(suppl):66.

- 30 Palmer HC, Manivannan S, Halperin A, Elnicki M et al. The effect of a hospitalist service on patient care in an academic teaching hospital. J Gen Intern Med 1999;4(suppl):116.
- 31 Srivastava R, Landrigan C, Muret-Wagstaff S, Ross-Degnan D et al. Cost savings for patients with acute conditions cared for by pediatric hospitalists in a tertiary care center. Pediatric Research 2001;49:125A.
- 32 Rifkin WD, Connor DS, Eichorn A, Rose DN. Comparison of hospitalists and priomary care internists in the care of inpatients with pneumonia. *J Gen Intern Med* 1999;14 (suppl):118.
- 33 Rifkin WD, Connor DS, Silver A, Eichorn A. Comparing hospitalists' and community based primary care physicians' care of pneumonia patients. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16(suppl):215.
- 34 Srivastava R, Landrigan C, Muret-Wagstaff S, Graef J et al. Impact of a managed care hospitalist system in academic pediatrics. Pediatric Research 2000;47:228A.
- 35 Molinari C, Short R. Effects of an HMO hospitalist program on inpatient utilization. *Am J Manag Care* 2001;7:1051–7.
- 36 Palmer HC, Armistead N, Manivannan S, Halperin A et al. The effect of a hospitalist service on patient care in an academic teaching hospital. J Gen Intern Med 1999;14(suppl):116.
- 37 Palmer HC, Delamata M, McBride C, Dunsworth T et al. After discharge effects of a hospitalist service. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16(suppl): 211.
- 38 Craig DE, Hartka L, Likosky WH, Caplan WM *et al*. Implementation of a hospitalist system in a large health maintenance organization: the Kaiser Permanente experience. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;**130**:355–9.
- 39 Pollack MM, Katz RW, Ruttimann UE, Getson PR. Improving the outcome and efficiency of intensive care: the impact of an intensivist. *Crit Care Med* 1988;16:11–7.
- 40 Li TC, Phillips MC, Shaw L, Cook EF *et al.* On-site physician staffing in a community hospital intensive care unit. Impact on test and procedure use and on patient outcome. *JAMA* 1984;252:2023–7.
- 41 Carson SS, Stocking C, Podsadecki T, Christenson J et al. Effects of organizational change in the medical intensive care unit of a teaching hospital: a comparison of 'open' and 'closed' formats. JAMA 1996;276: 322–8.
- 42 Manthous CA, Amoateng-Adjepong Y, al-Kharrat T, Jacob B *et al.* Effects of a medical intensivist on patient care in a community teaching hospital. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1997;**72**:391–9.
- 43 Young MP, Birkmeyer JD. Potential reduction in mortality rates using an intensivist model to manage intensive care units. *Eff Clin Pract* 2000; 3:284–9
- 44 Angus DC, Kelley MA, Schmitz RJ, White A, Popovich J, Jr. Caring for the critically ill patient. Current and projected workforce requirements for care of the critically ill and patients with pulmonary disease: can we meet the requirements of an aging population? *JAMA* 2000;284: 2762–70.
- 45 Pantilat SZ, Alpers A, Wachter RM. A new doctor in the house: ethical issues in hospitalist systems. *JAMA* 1999;**282**:171–4.
- 46 Shojania KG, Showstack J, Wachter RM. Assessing hospital quality: a review for clinicians. Eff Clin Pract 2001;4:82–90.
- 47 Saint S, Matthay MA. Risk reduction in the intensive care unit. Am J Med 1998;105:515–23.
- 48 Hauer KE, Wachter RM. Implications of the hospitalist model for medical students' education. Acad Med 2001;76:324–30.
- 49 Hauer KE, Flanders SA, Wachter RM. Training future hospitalists. *West J Med* 1999; **171**:367–70.
- 50 Plauth WH, Pantilat SZ, Wachter RM, Fenton CL. Hospitalists' perceptions of their residency training needs: results of a national survey. Am J Med 2001; 111:247–54.