Heart failure and acute renal dysfunction in the cardiorenal syndrome
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Just under 1 million people in the UK have symptomatic heart failure. Decompensated heart failure is associated with a particularly poor prognosis with in-hospital mortality at around 10%. Over the last 30 years renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system antagonists have been shown to have incremental benefit on improved quality of life, reduced hospitalisation and mortality rates in those with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. Concomitant chronic kidney disease and “acute kidney injury” are common and associated with adverse outcomes.

In patients with decompensated heart failure, congestion is a key driver of deterioration in renal function. Decongestion is fundamental to successful management. Yet it is not uncommon to see prognostically important medication (such as angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and mineralocorticoid antagonists) inappropriately stopped, along with under-diuresis of the patient. This leaves the patient still in a state of congestion without the prognostic medication at discharge, with resultant adverse outcome. The British Society for Heart Failure and the Renal Association have produced consensus guidance to help guide management in a more consistent fashion based on heart failure classification, whether the patient is congested and the degree of renal impairment. Early heart failure specialist review is associated with improved patient outcomes.
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The burden of heart failure

It is estimated that 920,000 people are living with heart failure in the UK. The incidence of heart failure increased by 12% from 170,727 in 2002 to 190,798 in 2014, in part due to an increase in population size. Prevalence increased by 23% over the same 12-year period and is influenced by improved survival with the condition. Despite many patients having symptoms that should have triggered an earlier assessment, up to 80% of heart failure diagnoses are made in hospital.

In heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), renin-angiotension-aldosterone system inhibitors (RAASi) have shown great prognostic benefits with network-meta-analysis of pooled data showing 43% reduction in mortality for those taking both an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEi) and a mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist (MRA), when compared to placebo.

Key points

- Congestion is the primary driver for acute kidney injury (AKI) in decompensated heart failure and persistence of congestion is associated with worse outcomes. Decongestion with diuretics is the key to reducing symptoms and improving patient outcomes.
- Although the occurrence of AKI in the patient with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) infers a high-risk state, this should not routinely lead to discontinuation of renin-angiotension-aldosterone system inhibitors (RAASi) therapy. RAASi are not nephrotoxic.
- The cause of worsening renal function during a decompensation episode needs careful thought, particularly regarding the volume status of the patient and whether the RAASi are of prognostic benefit (e.g., they are for patients with HFrEF, but no evidence exists for this benefit in heart failure and preserved ejection fraction).
- The consensus advice from the Renal Association and the British Society for Heart Failure gives clinicians a helpful framework to guide RAASi therapy.
- Specialist care makes a difference in the acute inpatient and outpatient setting. All suspected heart failure cases, and those with unexplained acute breathlessness, should have an N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide level check and be referred for review.
- The addition of RAASi before discharge improves patient outcomes. Early heart failure specialist follow-up must occur with a view to up-titration of the RAASi in HFrEF.
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A combination of angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) and neprilysin inhibitor (sacubitril valsartan) offers additional benefit beyond ACEi for patients with HFrEF. The PARADIGM-HF study showed that sacubitril valsartan significantly reduced the risks of death and hospitalisation for heart failure when compared to ACEi. However, this was not the case for patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (PARAGON-HF study).

Patients with heart failure are at risk of hospitalisation from decomposition. National audit data has shown that annually there are nearly 90,000 admissions in England and Wales, with an in-hospital mortality of 9.4%. Despite clear evidence suggesting improved post-discharge mortality rates (at 1 year), less than half of patients with HFrEF are discharged from hospital with a combination of ACEi/ARB, beta-blocker and MRA.

The cornerstone of managing patients hospitalised with decompensated heart failure is addressing their congestion with diuretic therapy. In this scenario, worsening renal function is frequently observed but this can then lead to suboptimal management and unnecessary discontinuation of vital medications such as RAASi.

Prevalence and adverse association of chronic kidney disease and acute kidney injury in patients with heart failure

The most prognostically important comorbidity in patients with heart failure is chronic kidney disease (CKD). The majority of patients have a chronic reduction in glomerular filtration (estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of <60 mL/min/1.73 m²). CKD is more predictive of mortality than the degree of left ventricular impairment in patients with chronic heart failure (CHF). Close to 10% of the UK adult population have CKD. This proportion increases to over 50% in patients with CHF. Acute deteriorations in renal function, previously referred to as worsening renal function but now as acute kidney injury (AKI), and defined as a rise in serum creatinine by more than 26.5 μmol/L (0.3 mg/dL) is observed in 20–40% of patients hospitalised with acute decompensated heart failure. Baseline CKD, hypertension, diabetes, increasing age and diuretic use are predictors for the occurrence of AKI. The prognostic significance of such changes in renal function during treatment of decompensated heart failure has been debated in different studies.

Pathophysiology of acute kidney injury in decompensated heart failure (cardiorenal syndrome)

Complex haemodynamic, neurohumoral, inflammatory and oxidative mechanisms underpin the development and progression of the cardiorenal syndrome (CRS). A reduced cardiac output and arterial filling pressure, as well as an elevated central venous pressure (CVP) due to systemic venous congestion and decreased renal perfusion, trigger a variety of neurohumoral (mal)adaptive mechanisms such as the activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and antidiuretic hormone (arginine vasopressin) secretion. Furthermore, RAAS upregulation itself triggers a pro-inflammatory state with associated detrimental oxidative stress.

These neurohumoral responses, which are aimed at restoring perfusion to vital organs in a compromised circulatory system, can potentially create a self-perpetuating vicious cycle of fluid retention, venous congestion, tissue hypoperfusion, inflammation and oxidative stressors, which can result in further deterioration of both cardiac and renal function. A report detailing insights gained from the ESCAPE trial identified that optimising the haemodynamic parameters such as cardiac output without modifying the above neurohumoral maladaptive response does not improve renal function or long-term outcomes. It argued that diminished forward flow alone (reduced cardiac output) does not account for the development of CKD and AKI in decompensated heart failure. More recent evidence has suggested that venous congestion (with resultant elevation in renal venous pressure), more than reduced cardiac output, appears to be a significant contributor to the development of CRS. It is worth mentioning that diuretics use, especially with intravenous administration, can theoretically result in further deterioration in glomerular filtration. This is mainly due to increased SNR SNS and RAAS activity in response to diuretics induced reduction in intraglomerular pressure.

Approach to management

Decongestion

The primary target for treatment of patients with decompensated heart failure is to relieve the symptoms and adverse haemodynamic effects of congestion. This requires controlled but effective diuresis, and will generally require a significant step up in loop diuretic dose (as compared to pre-admission) with intravenous regimen.

Although inotropic and vasoactive agents that target cardiac output and peripheral resistance are occasionally employed to augment diuresis, they come at a cost with increased myocardial workload and potential to cause excess mortality. Diuretic resistance, especially in advanced CRS, is a huge challenge. There are many proposed causes of diuretic resistance including rebound sodium reabsorption as the diuretic effects wears off, excess sodium intake, remodelling of the thiazide and mineralocorticoid receptors resulting in increased sodium reabsorption downstream in the nephron, reduced tubular secretion of loop diuretics due to competitive inhibition of the transporter by elevated levels of endogenous organic acids in renal impairment, reduced protein binding of loop diuretics due to hypoalbuminaemia and metabolic acidosis. In practical terms, the key strategy is to ensure regular review of the patient’s progress and in the absence of adequate diuresis (often judged by fluid balance or weight loss) a step up in diuretic therapy is required. Higher than normal doses of loop diuretics, frequent dosing or continuous infusion, with or without the addition of a thiazide diuretic and/or MRA, may therefore be necessary in order to overcome diuretic resistance.

Sadly, patients with CRS are less likely to receive optimal doses of diuretics and RAASi. This is especially true if there is a rise in creatinine (stage 1 AKI) following initiation of the above therapy, but such renal functional change should not be considered as detrimental. Indeed, in the DOSE trial, worsening renal function in the high-dose furosemide group was transient, with no difference between the two groups in serum creatinine over the 60 day follow-up period and, in the UNLOAD trial, a reduction in the rate of hospitalisation for heart failure was noted to occur in association with a trend toward worsening renal function and greater weight loss in the ultrafiltration (UF) group.

This evidence from clinical trials suggest that fears about the detrimental effects of deteriorating renal function in this clinical scenario may be unfounded.
This is supported by a recent study from Proctor et al. in Dig. Cardiovasc. Drug Targets, which showed that pre-existing CKD was an independent predictor of AKI. These findings underscore the importance of early identification and management of AKI in patients with pre-existing CKD.

Fig 1. Flow chart for management of a patient on renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors based on the patient’s presenting problem. ACEI = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; AKI = acute kidney injury; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; BP = blood pressure; IV = intravenous; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; RAASi = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors.
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What to do with RAASi in acute kidney injury?

Patients with acute decompensated heart failure are at high risk and several registries have demonstrated the in-hospital mortality rate to be between 4–11%.29,30 Congestion is the main driver of the patient’s symptoms leading to admission, and likely drives AKI seen in these overloaded patients.30 Decongestion with diuretics is crucial for symptom relief.31 Uncertainty can arise on how to manage the co-prescription of RAASi in the patient with CHF and ‘worsening renal function’. This has been exacerbated by widespread inappropriate use of terms such as ‘acute kidney injury’ or ‘nephrotoxic drugs’ when referring to RAASi. In patients with CHF, the change in renal function commonly reflects changes in renal haemodynamics as opposed to tubular injury. A recent study showed that intensive decongestion in patients with pre-existing AKI and ADHF can be associated with a rise in tubular injury biomarkers (N-acetyl b-D-glucosaminidase (NAG), kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1) and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL)).32 However, it was reassuring that recovery of renal function and fluid status were superior in those patients exhibiting increased tubular injury markers.

Guidance on how to address renal function changes has been confusing and unclear, probably because there has been little evidence to formulate the guidelines. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) AKI and CKD guidelines have advised ACEi or ARB dose reduction or even stopping these agents if serum creatinine rises by >30% without an alternative explanation.33,34 The NICE heart failure guidelines reference the NICE CKD guidelines, whereas the European Society of Cardiology heart failure guidelines suggest that a 50% increase in creatinine should be the cut-off point for reducing or discontinuing RAASi therapy.35,36

This has led the Renal Association and the British Society for Heart Failure to collaborate to describe the interactions between heart failure, RAASi and renal dysfunction and they now have provided clear consensus guidance on the use of RAASi (including sacubitril valsartan) in patients with heart failure.37 For a flow chart for management based on the patient’s presenting problem see Fig 1.

The first important part of deciding what to do with RAASi, in the setting of decompensation, is to assess its indication (prognostic benefit in HFrEF) and the volume status of the patient to give context to the creatinine (and eGFR) results. The volume overloaded patient with raised jugular venous pressure, pulmonary/peripheral oedema and without hypotension needs diuretics for decongestion and RAASi should be continued unless there are marked changes in renal function.

Patient established on RAASi presenting unwell with AKI

Primary presenting problem

Fluid overload (ensure it is decompensated heart failure)

All decompensated heart failure:
• decongestion with diuretics
• often need high-dose IV loop diuretic – at least double usual oral dose
• if using infusion, require bolus first
• may need combination with thiazide and MRA

HFrEF

Consider reducing or withholding RAASi only if:
• symptomatic hypotension
• moderate–severe hyperkalaemia
• or progressive AKI

HFrEF

• Stop ACEi/ARB – no prognostic benefit
• MRA may offer symptomatic benefit

HfP EF

• May temporarily reduce or withhold RAASi even if for prognostic benefit eg if symptomatic hypotension despite volume correction, moderate–severe hyperkalaemia or progressive AKI

Infection (treat with antibiotics as per local guidelines)

Hypotension (as compared with usual BP and/or hypovolaemia)
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See Table 1 for a summary of management based on whether the patient has reduced or preserved (no clear prognostic benefit of RAASi) left ventricular function and considering the level of creatinine increase.\(^3^7\)

If RAASi do need to be reduced or discontinued in patients with HFrEF it is vital to repeat clinical and biochemical assessment with a view to reinitiate and/or re-up-titrate RAASi when renal function has improved, as there is prognostic benefit, and there are potential deleterious effects in those patients who are left off them.

Once clinical assessment shows the patient has been decongested, a disproportionate increase in the blood urea compared to the creatinine suggests that the diuretics can be reduced at this point.

Symptomatic hypotension should prompt withdrawal of other anti-hypertensives such as calcium-channel blockers in the first instance. If the patient remains hypotensive with symptoms and an increasing creatinine, then two considerations are important.

\> If the patient is in cardiogenic shock with pulmonary oedema? This situation demands urgent cardiologist / high-level care input.

\> Is the congestion now resolved? Fluid status should be rechecked, RAASi reduced or omitted and if the patient is considered euvoalaemic diuretic doses can be reduced.

Role of heart failure specialist team

A multidisciplinary approach, especially involving heart failure specialist nurses, is fundamental to improving the outcomes of patients with CHF both during hospitalisation and following discharge.\(^3^8\) It is a key recommendation in the NICE CHF guidelines.\(^3^5\) Where the patients are looked after also seems to matter – patients receiving care on a cardiology ward by a bespoke specialist team have a lower chance of in-hospital mortality (6.5%) compared to the 12.6% annual mortality of those that are not, although there may be other cofounders that affect these statistics (Fig 2). Even if patients are not managed on a cardiology ward, specialist input (in-reach) is associated with better survival and a major factor is that patients are more likely to have been started and continued on prognostically significant disease modifying drugs. Those discharged on all three of the main classes of disease-modifying drugs (ACEi or ARB, beta blockers and MRA) had a 1-year mortality rate of 15% compared to 30% for those leaving hospital without any of these three key drug classes.\(^6\)

Follow-up

For all patients hospitalised with heart failure, comprehensive discharge planning and post-discharge support is vital and can significantly reduce readmission rates.\(^3^3\) This includes early (within 2 weeks) post-discharge review by heart failure specialist nurse teams either based in the community or secondary care.\(^4^0\) The latter is a crucial assessment in which fluid balance and renal function can be assessed and treatment adjusted. If patients have had RAASi stopped or down-titrated during the hospitalisation period, then attempts should be made to re-optimise these drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in renal function compared with baseline</th>
<th>HFrEF (assuming no other prognostic indication)</th>
<th>HFrEF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in serum creatinine by &lt;30%</td>
<td>Consider stopping ACEi/ARB/ARNi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review MRA according to fluid status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in serum creatinine by 30–50%</td>
<td>Stop RAASi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continue unless symptomatic hypotension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in serum creatinine by &gt;50%</td>
<td>Stop RAASi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporarily stop RAASi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe renal dysfunction, for example, eGFR &lt;20 mL/min/1.73 m(^2)</td>
<td>Stop RAASi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stop RAASi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Re-initiate and/or re-titrate when renal function improved in patients with HFrEF; ACEi = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARNi = angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor; HFrEF = heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; RAASi = renin-angiotension-aldosterone system inhibitors. Reproduced with permission from Clark AL, Kalra PR, Petrie MC et al. Change in renal function associated with drug treatment in heart failure: national guidance. Heart 2019;105:904–10.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 2. Patient chances of in-hospital mortality based on location of care.
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