Is immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated diabetes the same as fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus?
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Pembrolizumab is an anti-cancer drug that targets programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) receptors on lymphocytes resulting in their activation against tumour cells. PD-1 receptors are also interspersed in endocrine organs and pembrolizumab use has long been associated with hypophysitis and thyroiditis. Since the introduction of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI), several cases of fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus (FT1DM) have been reported. However, it is unclear if FT1DM and ICI-induced diabetes are the same pathology. We review the existing literature of ICI-induced diabetes to investigate its nature and to what extent it represents type 1A diabetes and/or FT1DM (type 1B diabetes) using an example case. Our review showed that ICI-induced diabetes may be a different entity to FT1DM. Furthermore, there is limited evidence for the management of ICI-induced T1DM. Further research into its pathophysiology will improve management and possibly prevent this burdensome complication.
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Introduction

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) have transformed cancer therapy by disinhibition of the immune system, with a resultant anti-neoplastic effect. However, such activation of the immune system results in complications such as hypophysitis, thyroid dysfunction, colitis and hepatitis. Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) has only recently been acknowledged as a potential side effect of ICI-induced diabetes. FT1DM. Further research into its pathophysiology will improve management and possibly prevent this burdensome complication.

Case presentation

A 68-year-old woman of South-East Asian origin presented with vomiting, dysphagia and had severe dehydration. There was no history of chest pain, palpitations, fever, cough or urinary symptoms. There was no history of similar symptoms in the past. She was diagnosed with hypertension and atrial fibrillation in the past for which she was taking losartan and metoprolol, respectively. She was also diagnosed with lung cancer (poorly differentiated non-mucinous variant) in 2016. This was initially treated with five cycles of cisplatin and pemetrexed from November 2016 until January 2017. As there was no response to the treatment, she was commenced on three weekly pembrolizumab infusions in March 2017.

In April 2017, thyrotoxicosis (free thyroxine (T4) 34 pmol/L (9–19.05 pmol/L) and thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) 0.031 mIU/L (0.35–4.94 mIU/L)) was noted on routine laboratory testing. Thyroid ultrasound revealed diffuse heterogeneity and incidental sub-centimetre thyroid nodules, overall increased parenchymal...
vascularity and associated reactive lymph nodes. TSH receptor antibodies were negative (0.75 IU/L (<1.5 IU/L)). She was clinically well and the only symptoms she offered on direct questioning were nervousness and tremor. Following evaluation, she was diagnosed with probable pembrolizumab-induced thyroiditis and managed conservatively. Her free T4 peaked at 54.3 pmol/L with free triiodothyronine (T3) 9.43 pmol/L (3.5–6.2 pmol/L) and TSH remained suppressed at 0.03 mIU/L before spontaneous improvement. However, she progressed to hypothyroidism in June 2017 (free T4 9.17 pmol/L; TSH 29.4 mIU/L). Her thyroid function has since been well controlled on levothyroxine 75 μg/day.

The remainder of her pituitary function were normal except relatively low baseline morning cortisol with values between 100–150 nmol/L on different occasions with coupled adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) at 6–14 pg/ml (5–35 pg/ml). Subsequent short synacthen tests did not reveal any adrenocortical insufficiency (cortisol 551.8, 874.6 and 1109 nmol/L at baseline, 30 and 60 minutes post-ACTH injection, respectively). Her serum glucose was normal on multiple occasions between 4.6–5.5 mmol/L. Until the time of index presentation, she had received 18 months of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy.

On examination, she was afebrile, tachycardic and hypotensive. She did not have any clinical signs of infection or systemic illness. Bedside investigations showed metabolic acidosis (pH 7.062), hyperglycaemia (serum glucose 49 mmol/L) and ketonuria (urine ketones ++++). Laboratory investigations revealed acute kidney injury (urea 58.9 mmol/L (6.07–15.35 mmol/L), creatinine 231.61 μmol/L (45.08–83.98 μmol/L), low sodium (127 mmol/L (134–144 mmol/L) and high potassium (5.7 mmol/L (3.5–5 mmol/L)). A septic screen, which included urine, blood cultures and chest X-ray, did not reveal any evidence of infection. Serum amylase and lipase were within normal limits as well (84 U/L (28–100 U/L) and 63 U/L (21–67 U/L), respectively).

Following evaluation, she was diagnosed with diabetes-related ketoacidosis (DKA) and treated accordingly. She gradually improved and was discharged from hospital after 7 days on regular insulin and education regarding diabetes including dietary input.

Subsequent tests revealed supressed C-peptide at <33.1 pmol/L (330–1,400 pmol/L) on two different occasions, indicative of a severe insulin secretion deficiency from pancreatic beta-cells. Autoantibody screen for diabetes was negative (IA-2 antibodies 4.5% (<6.5%), glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) 65 antibodies 0.01 IU/mL (<1 IU/mL), zinc transporter 8 antibodies 2.1 RU/mL (<15 RU/mL). Her HbA1c was 53 mmol/mol (7%). These results, alongside those from her initial presentation suggest a diagnosis of pembrolizumab-associated diabetes mellitus.

To date, she remains well on multiple daily insulin (8 units of insulin glulisine with meals and 18 units insulin glargine at night) albeit with an overall suboptimal glycaemic control. Her recent capillary blood glucose ranges were 4.0–16.7 mmol/L (72–300 mg/dL), with significant glucose fluctuations reminiscent of
long-standing T1DM, and her HbA1c was 61.7 mmol/mol (7.8%). Pembrolizumab was recommended following her hospital discharge but it was eventually discontinued in October 2018 due to presumed lack of efficacy (disease progression on computed cosmography (CT)) coupled with the development of drug-induced arthritis with intense joint aches limiting her mobility. She has since been on docetaxel. She received 26 cycles of pembrolizumab in total before discontinuation.

Methods

We undertook a focused, qualitative review with literature searches in PubMed, Medline and Google Scholar using a broad range of combinations of the medical subject headings (MeSH) terms: ‘immune-complex inhibitor’, ‘nivolumab’, ‘pembrolizumab’, ‘ipilimumab’, ‘programmed death death’, ‘programmed cell death’, ‘diabetes’, ‘type 1 diabetes’ and ‘fulminant diabetes’. Inclusion criteria were ‘human’ and ‘abstract available’ and articles retrieved from 2004 to November 2019. References of articles included were scrutinised to identify any further articles that were missed from the above database searches and personal archived references were also sought. Exclusion criterion was duplication of data. There were no other limitations regarding treatment modality, study design or study setting.

We identified all ICI-associated diabetes cases and compared them based on their diabetes-related antibody (DR-AB) status, demographics, laboratory and clinical parameters to the diagnostic criteria for FT1DM by the Japan Diabetes Society. In this diagnostic criterion, the following needed to be met to be diagnosed with FT1DM: occurrence of diabetes ketosis or ketoacidosis (approximately 7 days) after onset of hyperglycaemic symptoms; plasma glucose level \( \geq 16.0 \text{ mmol/L} \) (\( \geq 288 \text{ mg/dL} \)) and HbA1c < 8.7% at first visit; urinary C-peptide excretion < 10 μg/day or fasting serum C-peptide level < 0.3 ng/mL (< 0.10 mmol/L) and < 0.5 ng/mL (< 0.17 mmol/L) after intravenous glucagon (or after meal) load at onset.

Due to the relatively low prevalence of ICI-induced diabetes, there was heterogeneity in work-up leading to the diagnoses. Poor documentation and missing tests meant that most cases of FT1DM in the literature were labelled as non-FT1DM. Therefore, we modified the Japanese FT1DM diagnostic criteria to be more applicable to these reported cases. In our modified criteria, we removed ‘the 7 days after onset of hyperglycaemic symptoms’ in the first criterion. In addition, we removed the need for post-glucagon stimulation C-peptide < 0.5 ng/mL as most reports did not document this information.

Descriptive statistics are provided as a median or range for continuous variables and n (%) for nominal variables. \( \chi^2 \) or Fisher’s exact tests were performed as appropriate for comparison between nominal variables and Mann–Whitney U test for comparison between nominal and continuous variables. All analyses were performed on SPSS v20 (IBM, New York, USA).

Cases identified and descriptive statistics

We identified 75 cases of ICI-induced diabetes. Three were excluded from analysis: one duplicate and two others due to insufficient information. Seventy-two cases of ICI-induced diabetes were included in our analysis. The median age of the patients was 63 years. There were more male participants (male:female 1.1:1). Most of the cases did not define ethnicity of the patients (77.8%; 56/72), six patients were Japanese (8.3%), two were non-Japanese East Asian (2.8%), two were black American (2.8%) and six were white (8.3%). Most (91.7%; 66/72) did not have a history of diabetes. More than half of the patients (54.2%; 39/72) were started on ICI for melanoma, 29.2% (21/72) for lung cancer, 5.6% (4/72) for renal cell carcinoma, 4.2% (3/72) for lymphoma and 6.9% (5/72) for other cancers. Nivolumab was the most commonly used ICI (52.8%; 38/72), followed by pembrolizumab (25%; 18/72), ipilimumab (4.2%; 3/72) and durvalumab (1.4%; 1/72). Seven patients (9.7%) were treated with a combination of ipilimumab plus either nivolumab or pembrolizumab, one patient (1.4%) was in a blinded clinical trial setting (nivolumab or ipilimumab) and the ICI was not defined in one case (1.4%).

Of the 72 cases, 43.1% (31/72) fulfilled all three diagnostic criteria for FT1DM as per the modified Japanese criteria. The breakdown of cases that fulfilled individual criteria and their combination is detailed in Table 1.

Elevated levels of pancreatic enzymes were associated with FT1DM-positive status (\( \chi^2 = 0.032 \)). High levels of pancreatic enzymes were observed in 71.4% of patients diagnosed with FT1DM. There were no associations between FT1DM status and age, gender, ethnicity, personal history of diabetes, underlying malignancy, types of ICI used, number of cycles of ICI, timing of DKA/ketosis, continuation of insulin or T1D antibody status. As expected, there were positive associations between the FT1DM status and glucose (p = 0.004), HbA1c (p = 0.015) and C-peptide levels (p < 0.0001).

Patients with negative diabetes-related autoantibodies (DR-Abs) were more likely to have high pancreatic enzymes (63.2%; 12/19) compared to those with positive DR-Abs (28.6%; 2/7). They were also more likely to fulfil the modified Japanese criteria for FT1DM (51.3%; 20/39) compared with those with positive DR-Abs (34.4%; 11/32); however, these associations were not significant (Fisher’s p = 0.19 and p = 0.229, respectively). There were positive associations between DR-Ab status and number of cycles of ICI (p = 0.007) and DKA (p = 0.016). No associations were found between DR-Ab status and age, gender, ethnicity, personal history of diabetes, underlying malignancy, types of ICI used.

Table 1. Proportion of cases that met individual and combination criteria for modified Japanese criteria for FT1DM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified Japanese criteria for FT1DM</th>
<th>Cases that fulfil the criteria, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence of diabetes ketosis or ketoacidosis</td>
<td>56 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma glucose level ( \geq 16.0 \text{ mmol/L} ) (( \geq 288 \text{ mg/dL} )) and HbA1c &lt; 8.7% at first presentation</td>
<td>49 (68.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary C-peptide excretion &lt; 10 μg/day or fasting serum C-peptide level &lt; 0.3 ng/mL (&lt; 0.10 mmol/L)</td>
<td>45 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All the above</td>
<td>31 (43.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FT1DM = fulminant type 1 diabetes mellitus.
continuation of insulin, glucose levels, HbA1c and C-peptide (pre- and post-glucagon stimulation test).

**Discussion**

Immunotherapy with checkpoint inhibitors has revolutionised cancer treatment, with six ICIs approved to treat advanced solid tumour and haematological malignancies since 2011. However, ICI therapy frequently induces immune-related adverse events (IRAEs) affecting multiple organ systems, most commonly endocrine. A study reported new-onset insulin-dependent diabetes developed after a median of four cycles or 4–5 months since ICI initiation. Unlike other IRAEs, endocrine disruption tends to be irreversible, requiring lifelong hormone replacement and leading to hospitalisation and mortality if undetected.

FT1DM is characterised by the absence of insulitis and diabetes-related autoantibodies including islet-cell, GAD or insulin antibodies, and elevated serum pancreatic enzyme concentrations. A meta-analysis of ICI cancer clinical trials concluded a 0.2% frequency of FT1DM, while another study reported a frequency of 1%. It should be noted that most studies determined frequency based on patients referred for concern of ICI-induced diabetes. Hence, its frequency has not been well addressed in practice. A nationwide survey reported that FT1DM accounts for 20% of T1DM cases in Japan; however, few cases have been described in Western countries.

FT1DM has similarities and differences compared with spontaneous T1DM. Similarities include the frequent occurrence of DKA soon after hyperglycaemic symptoms, suggesting a faster rate of β-cell failure, and low or undetectable C-peptide levels which implies a lack of endogenous insulin production. FT1DM has a remarkably abrupt onset compared with autoimmune T1DM. FT1DM presents at an older age, with few patients presenting before 20 years old, suggesting that it is an adult-onset disease. One study reported a median age of 61 years, with adult onset observed in >90% of patients. The body mass index of patients with FT1DM is higher than the lean body habitus of patients with autoimmune T1DM and a lower weight loss is observed. Possible risk factors include specific alleles of human leukocyte antigen and combined use of checkpoint inhibitors. A study found that, in those with FT1DM, 17% and 33% had a personal or family history of autoimmunity, respectively, suggesting that ICI therapy may trigger FT1DM in patients with relevant genetic backgrounds. Another study concluded that there is no link to diabetes in first-degree relatives, but autoimmune diseases were more frequently observed in autoimmune diabetes than in fulminant diabetes.

In our study, most patients who developed FT1DM were being treated for melanoma. This is consistent with the most recent systematic review of ICI-induced T1DM which reported up to 53.5% of all cancers being treated by ICI. With the proven efficacy of ICI in the treatment of melanoma and other cancers (both as single ICI or combination), the incidence of ICI-induced FT1DM is expected to increase significantly. From our review, the antibody pattern coupled with pancreatic enzyme pattern observed in such patients suggests the diabetes ‘type’ lies closer to FT1DM (type 1B) rather than the classic type 1A. At the same time, we have shown that the majority of such patients do not fulfil the classic criteria or even our modified, less confining Japanese criteria for FT1DM. Therefore, we may be dealing with a different diabetes entity altogether or, alternatively, a spectrum of diabetes encompassing both type 1A and 1B, that may be better named as ICI-induced diabetes or insulin-dependent diabetes secondary to ICI. T1DM is usually associated with at least one positive DR-Ab by the time of diabetes presentation. This misclassification may have led to a heterogeneity in the work-up leading to diagnosis and therefore classifying this condition could be the first step in improving our understanding of the pathophysiology of this condition. A better understanding of the pathophysiology which, in turn, could aid in better screening and monitoring for the development and treatment of ICI-induced diabetes and perhaps even the prevention or stratification of patients regarding their risk for this complication before commencing treatment with ICI. A retrospective study by Kotwal et al looking at 1,444 patients treated with ICI identified several potential predictive factors that could influence the occurrence and severity of ICI-induced diabetes. Personal and family history of autoimmunity were associated with this adverse event with 17% and 33% of the ICI-induced diabetes cases having a positive personal and family history of autoimmune conditions, respectively. Almost two-thirds of the 1,444 patients had another IRAE suggesting that presence of one IRAE may predict the development of another. Patients on a combination of immunotherapies and/or pre-treatment with other immunotherapies before starting ICI also appeared to be at an increased risk of ICI-induced diabetes. Pre-existing type 2 diabetes did not appear to predict occurrence. It is however important to note that these studies are mostly cross-sectional and retrospective in nature. Prospective studies are needed before any conclusions can be drawn regarding the risk factors of developing ICI-induced diabetes. Noguira et al suggested strategies in the assessment, management and monitoring of immunotherapy-induced endocrinopathies. Due to the rapid onset of ICI-induced diabetes, all acutely unwell patients on ICI should have their blood glucose checked and/or a full work-up for DKA, if necessary. Patients should be treated with insulin and hospitals should ensure that there must be a system in place to ensure safe administration of insulin. They should also be referred to the diabetes team for further education and management. Furthermore, there is limited evidence for the management of ICI-induced T1DM and most of the cases have been managed acutely when presenting with DKA as per standard DKA protocol and with T1DM in the intermediate- and long-term. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies comparing the dose requirements of insulin in this cohort. However, from our experience and review of literature, the major difference between ICI diabetes and classic T1DM is the lack of a ‘honeymoon period’, a brief phase sometimes seen in classic T1DM during which they get better with a minimal need for insulin therapy. There is also no strong evidence whether to stop or change the ICI with the American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline suggesting to restart the ICI after the resolution of hyperglycaemia. However, this has been challenged by some reports that withdrawal of the ICI would preserve pancreatic insulin capacity. With the lack of evidence, more observational studies and/or clinical trials are needed to improve the overall approach to this condition.

We further compared our findings with two large case series in this field (Table 2). In all series, the median age at diagnosis was much higher than classic T1DM presentation with no apparent
gender preponderance. There was a much higher frequency of DKA or ketosis at diagnosis than standard T1DM cases. A majority of the patients were diabetes-related Ab negative, unlike T1DM. The median HbA1C was low suggesting abrupt onset of diabetes. Remarkably, C peptide levels were undetectable in a majority of cases in the Clotman and Stamatouli series. However, once we applied stricter criteria for FT1DM, less than two-thirds had significantly reduced C- peptide. In the case series by Stamatouli et al, frequency of HLA-DR3 was higher than the general population for spontaneous T1DM. HLA-DR3 and HLA-DQ8 (DQB1*0302) was also higher than general population but similar to T1DM prevalence. In the Clotman et al series, 67% (14/21) had HLA haplotype which is high risk for T1DM. However, the recording of these haplotypes was not consistent between studies. It is unclear what proportion of patients needed discontinuation of ICI therapy after being diagnosed with diabetes as an adverse effect. Further well-designed studies are needed to clarify this. Although it was not clearly documented what proportion of patients needed long term insulin, our series suggest there was no ‘honeymoon period’ in ICI-associated diabetes cases, which differentiated them from classic T1DM cases.

Our review and other studies, have consistently observed that the rate of pancreatitis in those with DKA (depicted by a raised pancreatic enzymes) is higher than the general population of ICI-treated patients; however, this was not the case with our patient.2,3,11 This leads to the speculation that blockade of cellular response to inflammatory mediators, possibly due to pancreatitis and/or other inflammatory processes, may be a potential driver in the disease development. Stamatouli et al also showed in their review that HLA-DR4 seems to be over-represented in patients with ICI-induced diabetes. Other high-risk alleles for spontaneous T1DM, including HLA-DR3, DQ2 and DQ8, were not over-represented.62 Furthermore, 70% of the cases reviewed had other IRAEs and 44% had endocrine-related IRAEs prior or concurrent to the development of ICI-induced diabetes (especially primary thyroid dysfunction) which was also true of our patient. These observations may point towards potential pre-treatment screening that might be needed to identify those who are at a higher risk of developing ICI-induced diabetes following treatment with ICI. However, more studies are needed to prove these hypotheses.

### Conclusion

Our review shows that ICI-induced diabetes is a different entity to FT1DM, at least for the majority of patients with this condition. We have also demonstrated that the patient subgroup with DR-Ab negativity behaves differentially with a significant, albeit modest, increase in the risk of DKA and a presentation with ICI-induced diabetes after more cycles of ICI. Further research into the pathophysiology of this condition will aid in the better management and possibly the prevention of this burdensome complication.
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