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Patients with liver cirrhosis and, in particular, those with liver failure are at high risk of reduced muscle mass and strength/function, otherwise known as sarcopenia. Sarcopenia is a complex, multifactorial (poor nutritional intake, protein catabolism, physical inactivity) chronic condition, which increases the risk of liver-related morbidity and mortality. Early recognition and tailored management incorporating high protein diets and combination aerobic/resistance exercise can ameliorate the complications associated with sarcopenia in cirrhosis. This review provides an overview of the epidemiology, pathogenesis, assessment tools and management of sarcopenia in cirrhosis.

Introduction

Sarcopenia is a muscle wasting syndrome that is defined by a reduction in muscle mass on cross-sectional imaging. The definition of sarcopenia is still under debate in cirrhosis, but for the purpose of this review it also includes a reduction in muscle strength and/or function. Cirrhosis is a catabolic state in which muscle protein breakdown exceeds synthesis resulting in sarcopenia. It is associated with higher rates of complications of cirrhosis (eg hepatic encephalopathy and infections), hospital admissions and premature mortality. In addition, it is associated with poorer clinical outcomes after liver transplantation (eg rejection, length of hospital stay and mortality), in addition to reduced quality of life and lack of functional independence. As liver transplantation remains the only cure for patients with decompensated cirrhosis, early recognition and management of sarcopenia is a critical aspect of the care of patients with cirrhosis.

Epidemiology

The prevalence of sarcopenia in cirrhosis ranges from 30–70%, depending on the diagnostic tools utilised and the severity of the underlying liver disease. Increasing rates of computed tomography (CT)-defined sarcopenia are observed with worsening liver disease severity, as defined by the Child–Pugh score (A = 10%; B = 34%; C = 54%). There are many different aetiologies of cirrhosis in the UK. The most common cause has consistently been alcohol-related liver disease (ARLD) over the last decade, with a sharp increase in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) due to the epidemic of obesity and diabetes. Liver disease aetiology is important in sarcopenia, as there are liver disease-specific drivers that may potentiate the progression of sarcopenia; for example, alcohol promotes skeletal muscle protein breakdown, cholestasis promotes fat and vitamin D malabsorption in primary biliary diseases, whereas sarcopenia and NAFLD share similar pathological mediators, such as chronic inflammation, insulin resistance and physical inactivity.

Challenges arise when the prevalence of sarcopenia may be masked by the coexistence of morbid obesity (termed ‘sarcopenic obesity’), which is most notable in patients with NAFLD.

Key points

- Sarcopenia is prevalent and is defined as a reduction in muscle mass (‘muscle wasting state’) and is often accompanied by a reduction in muscle strength and function. It increases the risk of morbidity (liver failure and hospitalisation) and mortality.
- Routine assessment of sarcopenia is highly recommended and involves measures of muscle mass (computed tomography at the L3 vertebra level; mid-arm muscle circumference) +/− muscle strength and function (hand grip strength; chair stands).
- Nutritional management includes high protein intake (1.2–2.0 g/kg/day), 2–3 hourly meals and late-evening snacks (50 g carbohydrate) in order to protect against protein catabolism and accelerated starvation.
- A combination of body-weight resistance and aerobic exercise are recommended to improve sarcopenia and exercise capacity.
- Pharmacotherapy (eg vitamin D; testosterone in males) and experimental agents (eg myostatin inhibitors) are promising therapeutic options in the future, but require further study.
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Pathogenesis

The mechanisms driving sarcopenia in cirrhosis are complex and multifactorial (Fig 1). The imbalance between protein catabolism and synthesis occurs due to reduced intake, increased breakdown and increased energy expenditure. Reduced oral intake occurs due to reduced appetite, early satiety, delayed gut motility, altered cognition secondary to hepatic encephalopathy, medication side effects (ie opioids), low mood/motivation and zinc deficiency (dysguesia). Patients with cirrhosis are in a state of ‘accelerated starvation’ that is an impaired adaptive response to fasting, whereby 10 hours of fasting approximates to 3 days of starvation in a healthy individual. This is because the body has to find alternative routes to provide glucose for energy, as cirrhosis results in chronic depletion of hepatic glycogen stores. Therefore, in prolonged fasting states (ie sleeping) substrates for gluconeogenesis are taken from excessive fatty acid breakdown (acetyl coenzyme A (CoA)) and muscle protein breakdown (branched-chain amino acids (BCAA)), with resultant sarcopenia.

Hepatic encephalopathy, a consequence of impaired liver function and of shunting of blood around the liver, also plays a role in the development of sarcopenia. Not only does encephalopathy result in physical inactivity, but hyperammonaemia upregulates myostatin, which is a key inhibitor of protein synthesis and muscle regeneration. In addition, the muscle is a key extra-hepatic site for removal of excess ammonia, but as a result stores of BCAA (in the form of leucine) are depleted and are not available for protein synthesis.

Insulin also plays a key role in preventing protein (catabolism) and adipose (lipolysis) breakdown. In an insulin resistant state, commonly found in cirrhosis and especially NAFLD, the opposite occurs in muscle and adipose tissue, with reductions in protein synthesis, increases in protein catabolism and promotion of lipotoxicity, respectively. Therefore, forming the perfect environment to promote sarcopenia. Other hormone changes, such as low testosterone secondary to hypogonadism in males with cirrhosis, also potentiate sarcopenia via protein dysregulation.

Assessment of sarcopenia

There are several different modalities to assess each component of sarcopenia, summarised in Table 1, but the field lacks a single, safe and reproducible assessment tool (ie gold standard) to define sarcopenia.

Muscle mass

- Computed tomography: This is the most used and validated modality to evaluate muscle mass, with particular focus in cirrhosis on the skeletal muscle index (SMI) at the level of the 3rd lumbar vertebra. It is the only modality that has validated sex-specific cut-offs, notably < 50 cm²/m² in men and < 39 cm²/m² in women. The challenges with CT, which include cost and repeated radiation exposure, mean that its utility in sarcopenia will be limited to those patients having imaging as part of routine care (ie cancer screening or portal vein thrombosis).

- Mid-arm muscle circumference (MAMC): MAMC (in cm) is obtained by measuring the mid-arm circumference (MAC; in cm) and tricep skin fold (TSF; in mm). These measures are cheap, quick and can be safely used in routine clinical practice to assess repeated measures of sarcopenia. When used by appropriately trained individuals, it has good intra- and inter-observer reproducibility and has been shown to predict mortality.

### Table 1. Summary of tests used to assess sarcopenia (muscle mass, strength, function)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle mass</th>
<th>Muscle function</th>
<th>Muscle strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computed tomography (CT) L3 skeletal muscle index</td>
<td>Short physical performance battery test (SPPB)</td>
<td>Handgrip strength (HGS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)</td>
<td>Gait speed</td>
<td>Knee flexion/extension peak torque strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-arm muscle circumference (MAMC)</td>
<td>Timed get-up-and-go test</td>
<td>Liver Frailty Index (LFI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA)</td>
<td>Liver Frailty Index (LFI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle ultrasound</td>
<td>Aerobic exercise capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioimpedance analysis (BIA)</td>
<td>6-minute walk distance (6MWD)</td>
<td>Liver Frailty Index (LFI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a = less frequently used tests; b = separate entity but relies on muscle function; c = research-based tests that are not yet validated in clinical practice. |
> Ultrasound quadriceps: Evaluation of vastus lateralis has been gaining increasing favour in the elderly population as it is accessible, cost effective and without any radiation risk. With the appropriate training, it has high levels of intra- and inter-observer reliability, but validation is required in cirrhosis. In addition to muscle mass, ultrasound can measure muscle quality and, in particular, fat deposition (myosteatosis) to aid with understanding why some individuals have preserved muscle mass but reduced function.5,16

> Others: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) and bioimpedance are both measures of muscle mass, but are expensive, have radiation exposure and, in presence of fluid retention, are less accurate.1,11

Muscle strength
The most frequently used modality is hand grip strength (HGS) as it is simple and can be used for repeated measures. It is recommended by the recent European guidelines in the assessment of patients with cirrhosis. HGS has been shown to be a better predictor of adverse clinical outcomes than CT measures of muscle mass and model for end-stage liver disease (MELD), with improvements in HGS as small as 1 kg representing significant reductions in mortality.1,10,11

Muscle function
> Short physical performance battery (SPPB): SPPB consists of chair stands, gait speed and balance (each scored out of 4; with a maximal score of 12) and a score <10 increases the odds of mortality by 2.5. One limitation is that the SPPB has a ceiling effect, in that no improvements can be observed beyond 12/12.

> Liver Frailty Index (LFI): LFI is a liver disease-specific, continuous variable (ie no ceiling or floor effect), which replaces gait speed with HGS and is sub-categorised into frail (>4.4), pre-frail (3.2–4.4) and robust (<3.2). LFI is the best studied outpatient measure of muscle strength and function (the key components of frailty) to date in the setting of liver transplantation, and is an independent predictor of both pre- and post-transplant mortality.17

Intervention
The management of sarcopenia involves a multi-dimensional approach (Fig 2); with specific focus on lifestyle, nutrition, exercise and adjunct pharmacotherapy.

Lifestyle
Irrespective of the aetiology of cirrhosis, alcohol and smoking cessation is advised. In addition, optimising sleep quality and psychological wellbeing (ie mindfulness and relaxation techniques) is essential in improving physiological reserve and muscle health.

Nutrition
The current clinical practice guidelines recommend caloric energy intake of 25–35 kcal/kg/day in compensated cirrhosis (Child–Pugh A) and 30–35 kcal/kg/day in decompensated cirrhosis (Child–Pugh B–C).12 In addition, protein intake of 1.2–1.5 g/kg/day in compensated cirrhosis, with this increasing up to 2.0 g/kg/day in more severe cases of decompensation (eg in regular paracentesis for refractory ascites or hepatic encephalopathy) is recommended. BCAA have been studied with promising results and, while formal recommendations are pending, there appears to be strong rationale for their use in promoting muscle protein synthesis.3,10–12 A reduction in fasting times is imperative to combat accelerated catabolism.

Exercise
Two–three hourly meals and snacks
Consider BCAA use

Fig 2. Overview of treatment of sarcopenia in liver cirrhosis. BCAA = branched-chain amino acids.
starvation state, with regular pattern of 2–3 hourly meals and 50 g carbohydrate (±/- 20 g protein) snack prior to sleeping. 1,5,10,11 It is also important to address any micronutrient deficiencies (such as vitamin A, D, E and K) which can develop especially in cholestasis, and to address zinc deficiency in the presence of dysgeusia.

Exercise

Physical inactivity strongly predicts mortality in an array of chronic diseases. It is a key driver of sarcopenia in cirrhosis. Exercise has an impact on both cardiovascular fitness and increasing muscle mass and promoting muscle protein synthesis. Exercise has been shown to improve aerobic exercise capacity (6MWD), muscle function (SPPB), muscle mass (CT) and, importantly, quality of life in mainly compensated and, studied to lesser degree, decompensated cirrhosis. To date, no studies have studied survival benefit. In general, exercise programmes should consist of a combination of aerobic (3 days/week) and resistance exercises (2 days/week), performed to a moderate intensity (ie out of breath but able to talk) and ideally be remotely-monitored in the patient’s own home. 17,19,20

Adjunct therapy

Treatments to lower ammonia, hormonal treatment (testosterone) and micronutrient supplementation including vitamin D have been areas of recent research. Myostatin antagonists have been proven to increased muscle mass in murine models, however translation into human studies is awaited. 12,13 Furthermore, it is important to consider the effect of behaviour and need for psychological support to ensure adherence to management, avoidant behaviours and impact of low mood.

Summary

Sarcopenia affects many patients with cirrhosis, in particular those with liver failure. Early identification through routine imaging, easy-to-apply assessments and the observations with clinical suspicion is an integral part of the care of patients with cirrhosis. Management should be tailored to the patient’s specific requirements and focus on high protein intake, regular mealtimes (including night-time snack), combination exercises, psychological support and optimisation of the underlying liver disease (ie ammonia-lowering therapy).
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