

The utility of a local multidisciplinary working group to oversee the establishment of rapidly evolving standards of care and to support trial recruitment during the COVID-19 pandemic

OVERVIEW

Please submit letters for the editor's consideration within 3 weeks of receipt of *Clinical Medicine*. Letters should be limited to 350 words, and sent by email to: clinicalmedicine@rcplondon.ac.uk

Test and trace ... and test again?

DOI: 10.7861/clinmed.Let.21.3.1

Editor – I read with interest the rapid report on contact tracing for SARS-CoV-2.¹ The authors refer to the potential for a much larger network of potentially secondarily infected contacts, but don't address how this could be solved other than by prompt contact tracing. One addition to the current system which may help would be testing of all identified contacts. This is standard practice in the given examples of sexually transmitted infections and tuberculosis, and recommended for SARS-CoV-2, yet remains curiously absent from the NHS Test and Trace programme unless contacts develop symptoms.^{2,3}

Testing of all contacts, regardless of symptoms, would ensure any secondarily infected contacts (including those who are asymptomatic or presymptomatic) are identified early, enabling their own contact tracing processes to be started promptly. It could also aid compliance to self-isolation for those with positive tests. This would need to be offset by clear messaging and incentives to reinforce the need for ongoing isolation in those with negative tests; one potential solution would be to offer tests at multiple timepoints during the isolation period, to ensure continued compliance and to confirm negativity prior to release from isolation.

The test, trace and isolate (TTI) modelling group published a report in November advising that daily test of contacts could offer an improvement over the current strategy; consideration should be given to implementing testing for all traced contacts at the earliest opportunity.⁴ ■

Clare Leong

Academic clinical fellow in infectious diseases, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK.

References

- 1 Brown J, Ring K, White J *et al*. Contact tracing for SARS-CoV-2: what can be learned from other conditions? *Clin Med* 2021;21:e132–6.
- 2 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Contact Tracing for COVID-19*. CDC, 2021. www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/php/contact-tracing/contact-tracing-plan/contact-tracing.html [Accessed 19 February 2021].

- 3 Department of Health and Social Care. *NHS Test and Trace: what to do if you are contacted*. GOV.UK, 2021. www.gov.uk/guidance/nhs-test-and-trace-how-it-works [Accessed 19 February 2021].
- 4 Fearon E, Fyles M, TTI Modelling Group. *On the use of LFA tests in contact tracing: preliminary findings*. GOV.UK, 2020. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/950771/s0897-testing-of-traced-contacts.pdf

National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2) to identify inpatient COVID-19 deterioration: The importance of pO₂:FiO₂ ratio

DOI: 10.7861/clinmed.Let.21.3.2

Editor – We read with great interest the early Newcastle experience of using National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2) to identify hospitalised COVID-19 patients at risk of clinical deterioration.¹ The study highlights an average >30 hour lag between triggering the NEWS2 ≥5 threshold and first 'serious clinical event' (defined as initiation of respiratory support, intensive care unit (ICU) transfer, end-of-life care or death).

Baker *et al* confirm that COVID-19 patients frequently present with isolated hypoxaemia without significant disturbance of other physiological parameters.¹ Low fractional inspired oxygen (FiO₂; ~0.3) oxygen therapy with 91% oxygen saturation and otherwise normal physiology are sufficient to trigger the NEWS2 ≥5 threshold (Table 1; 'Time 0–12 hours'). The extremely low probability of clinical escalation with less severe physiological deterioration (ie arterial oxygen partial pressure (pO₂):FiO₂ ratio >26) would explain the reported high sensitivity (0.98) of NEWS2 ≥5 in this population.¹

The NEWS2 ≥5 threshold had an excellent ability to identify deterioration, albeit typically >24 hours before a 'serious clinical event'. We believe the important NEWS2 ≥7 threshold (immediate ICU review trigger) would have been a better test of practical clinical utility, albeit with lower sensitivity.

The authors acknowledge a potential weakness of NEWS2, namely the binary response to oxygen therapy.² We suggest the relatively wide 'dividing bins' used by NEWS2 for individual parameters including oxygen saturations are also suboptimal, particularly in this patient cohort.³

The failure of NEWS2 to recognise increasing FiO₂ from 0.3 to 0.5 is well recognised.^{1,2} Failure to recognise worsening desaturation from 91% to ≤90% is also problematic, and often overlooked.³ The combined net effect is a risk that clinically significant reduction in pO₂:FiO₂ ratio may go unnoticed in the event of failure to escalate any increase in FiO₂ or mild desaturation (Table 1).

Table 1. Hypothetical COVID-19 ward patient with isolated hypoxaemia due to deteriorating pO₂:FiO₂ ratio

| Deterioration | O ₂ saturations | pO ₂ , kPa | FiO ₂ | pO ₂ :FiO ₂ ratio | NEWS2 | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---|-------|--|
| 'Time 0–12 hours' | 91% | ~7.9 | 0.3 | ~26 | 5 | Patient generally managed in level 1 ward area |
| 'Time 0–6 hours' | 90% | ~7.7 | 0.4 | ~19 | 5 | Candidate for ICU escalation |
| 'Time 0' | 89% | ~7.4 | 0.5 | ~15 | 5 | Constant NEWS2 due to absence change in other physiological parameters |

ICU = intensive care unit; NEWS2 = National Early Warning Score 2; pO₂:FiO₂ ratio = ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure (pO₂; in kPa) to fractional inspired oxygen (FiO₂; expressed as a fraction, not a percentage).

By 2022 when NEWS is next due for review, the vast majority of acute NHS hospitals will have switched from 'pen and paper' to 'electronic' observation charts. We believe this considerable investment should be accompanied by the introduction of more sophisticated early warning scoring systems which include patient trajectory and the capability to detect clinically relevant deteriorations in pO₂:FiO₂ ratio among other key parameters.^{4,5} ■

Jonathan W Brand
Consultant intensivist and anaesthetist,
James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough, UK

David J Morrice
Consultant anaesthetist,
New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton, UK

Mathew V Patteril
Consultant anaesthetist, University Hospital Coventry and
Warwickshire NHS Trust, Coventry, UK

Jonathan H Mackay
Consultant anaesthetist,
Royal Papworth Hospital, Cambridge, UK

References

- 1 Baker KF, Hanrath AT, van der Loeff IS *et al.* National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2) to identify inpatient COVID-19 deterioration: a retrospective analysis. *Clin Med* 2021;21:84–9.
- 2 Royal College of Physicians. *NEWS2 and deterioration in COVID-19*. RCP, 2020. www.rcplondon.ac.uk/news/news2-and-deterioration-covid-19
- 3 Chiu YD, Villar SS, Brand JW *et al.* Logistic early warning scores to predict death, cardiac arrest or unplanned intensive care unit re-admission after cardiac surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2020;75:162–70.
- 4 Pimentel MAF, Redfern OC, Hatch R *et al.* Trajectories of vital signs in patients with COVID-19. *Resuscitation* 2020;156:99–106.
- 5 Zhu Y, Chiu YD, Villar SS *et al.* Dynamic individual vital sign trajectory early warning score (DyniEWS) versus snapshot national early warning score (NEWS) for predicting postoperative deterioration. *Resuscitation* 2020;157:176–84.