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Diabetic retinopathy is a microangiopathy resulting from the chronic effects of diabetes mellitus. Healthcare professionals often work in isolation to deliver highly specialised care efficiently and effectively for people living with diabetes. It is not uncommon for people with diabetes to be making frequent visits to community and hospital clinics to see a variety of specialists and healthcare professionals, with seemingly little opportunity for coordination of this complex health management programme between the wider team. In a field that is so diverse and rapidly changing, healthcare professionals of all specialties need to be aware of developments across all aspects of diabetes management. In this article, we discuss the epidemiology and natural history of diabetic retinopathy and describe an approach to its assessment and diagnosis. We provide an overview of the principles of diabetic retinopathy management and outline possible future treatments for diabetic retinopathy.

Introduction

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a microangiopathy resulting from the chronic effects of diabetes mellitus (DM). It is the most common retinal vascular disease. DR affects three out of four people living with diabetes after 15 years of disease duration. DR was globally the fifth most common cause of preventable blindness and the fifth most common cause of moderate to severe visual impairment in those aged 50 years and above in 2020. DR is significantly associated with future risk of cerebrovascular accident, myocardial infarction and congestive heart failure.

Who gets diabetic retinopathy?

Extraocular factors associated with risk of DR and its progression are poor glycaemic control, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, duration of DM, pregnancy and genetic factors. A recent meta-analysis showed that, in people with diabetes aged between 20 and 79 years, the overall prevalence was 34.6% for any DR and 7.0% for proliferative DR (PDR). The prevalence of any DR was higher in people with type 1 DM rather than type 2 DM (77.3% vs 25.2%, respectively), and was ‘highest among African Americans and lowest among Asians’.

How is diabetic retinopathy classified?

DR is classified into non-proliferative and proliferative stages (Table 1). Non-proliferative DR (NPDR) involves progressive intraretinal microvascular alterations. PDR is characterised by the growth of newly formed vessels on the retina or optic disc. Diabetic macular oedema (DMO) refers to retinal thickening in the posterior pole and may occur in either NPDR or PDR.

What is the natural course of diabetic retinopathy?

DR progresses from mild abnormalities (characterised by vascular hyperpermeability) to moderate and severe NPDR (characterised by progressive retinal capillary leakage or loss resulting in retinal ischaemia) to PDR (characterised by the development of new vessels on the optic disc and retina). These new vascular growths are
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## Table 1. Classification of Diabetic Retinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diabetic Retinopathy Severity</th>
<th>Findings Observable on Dilated Ophthalmoscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Retinopathy</td>
<td>No abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Non-Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy</td>
<td>Microaneurysms only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Non-Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy</td>
<td>More than just microaneurysms but less than severe non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Severe Non-Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy | One or more of the following, in the absence of proliferative diabetic retinopathy:  
- More than 20 intraretinal haemorrhages in each of four quadrants  
- Definite venous beading in two or more quadrants  
- Prominent intraretinal microvascular abnormalities in one or more quadrants |
| Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy | One or more of the following:  
- Extraretinal neovascularisation (NVD or NVE)  
- Vitreous or preretinal haemorrhage |
| Mild Diabetic Macular Oedema | Some retinal thickening or hard exudates in posterior pole but distant from the centre of the macula |
| Moderate Diabetic Macular Oedema | Retinal thickening or hard exudates approaching the centre of the macula but not involving the centre |
| Severe Diabetic Macular Oedema | Retinal thickening or hard exudates involving the centre of the macula |

Adapted from the proposed international diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular oedema disease severity scales. NVD = neovascularisation at the disc; NVE = neovascularisation of the retina elsewhere.

## What are the Symptoms of Diabetic Retinopathy?

Patients with NPDR are typically asymptomatic. If PDR develops, the patient may present with a sudden loss of vision due to a vitreous haemorrhage. Patients may notice a more gradual loss of vision if DMO develops.

## How is Diabetic Retinopathy Assessed and Diagnosed?

A comprehensive eye examination in a person with DR includes measurement of VA and intraocular pressure, evaluation of the anterior segment by slit-lamp biomicroscopy, gonioscopy when warranted (such as in the setting of elevated intraocular pressure, glaucoma or iris neovascularisation), and dilated funduscopic examination.

Funduscopic examination is performed by a non-specialist using a handheld direct ophthalmoscope. The clinical diagnosis and characterisation of DR is mainly based on typical abnormal findings on a fundal examination. The key signs of NPDR are microaneurysms (saccular outpouchings of the retinal capillary wall due to pericyte loss), dot–blot intraretinal haemorrhages and exudates (Fig 1). These may be accompanied by cotton-wool spots (small grey–white linear or serpentine lesions with fibrinoid borders in the superficial retina that develop secondary to obstruction of a retinal arteriole with resultant ischaemia; Fig 1b), venous beading (segmental dilation of retinal veins that represent foci of venous endothelial cell proliferation), intraretinal microvascular abnormalities (dilated capillary remnants that occur secondary to extensive closure of capillary networks between arterioles and venules; Fig 1a). PDR is characterised by the presence of new vessels on the optic disc or elsewhere (more than one-disc diameter away from the optic disc; Fig 2). In advanced PDR, proliferation of fibrous tissue may lead to extensive TRDs with macula distortion (Fig 3).

## What are the Principles of Diabetic Retinopathy Management?

The principles of management can be broadly divided into prevention, early detection and ophthalmological treatments to reduce the risk of visual loss in eyes with sight-threatening complications.

### Prevention

Control of hyperglycaemia is critical to minimising risk of onset and progression of DR. Two landmark randomised controlled trials, the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial that involved patients with type 1 diabetes and the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) that involved patients with type 2 diabetes, showed that tight control of glycaemic levels leads to a reduced risk of developing DR and its progression. Systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of clinical trials suggest that intensive glycaemic control leads to a 20% reduction in risk of DR.

Control of hypertension is also beneficial in lowering risk of progression of DR. Hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes in the UKPDS were randomly assigned to more intensive blood pressure control (<150/85 mmHg) and less intensive blood pressure control (<180/105 mmHg). After 7.5 years of follow-up, there was a 34% reduction in the rate of progression of DR by two or more steps using a modified DR severity scale and a 47% reduction in the deterioration of VA by three lines or more using standardised logMAR charts.

Treatment of dyslipidaemia may be beneficial to DR. The Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes randomised study showed that people with type 2 diabetes and elevated triglycerides who received simvastatin and fenofibrate treatment had a lower rate of progression of DR at 4 years compared with placebo.

These findings are consistent with the findings from the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes study, a randomised trial of monotherapy with fenofibrate that showed a significant reduction in the need for laser therapy for PDR in the fenofibrate treatment group as compared with the placebo group.
Early detection

Sight-threatening retinopathy may not cause symptoms prompting evaluation until the disease is advanced. Treatment to reduce risk of vision loss in eyes with sight-threatening complications of DR is most effective when initiated before severe vision loss has occurred. These facts underpin the importance of DR screening and surveillance. In the UK, all people with diabetes aged 12 years and above are offered annual screening for the presence of retinopathy. All diabetic screening programmes require digital fundus photographs to be taken. The fundus photographs acquired are reviewed by trained image graders. Those manifesting findings indicative of a certain level of retinopathy and those for whom adequate images cannot be obtained are referred to the hospital eye service for full evaluation and management.

In the UK, screening uptake has been excellent but variable. Screening has resulted in significant reduction of visual loss so that DR is no longer the commonest cause of visual loss in the working population, having been overtaken by inherited retinal disease. This is a clear indicator of the success of the retinal screening programmes in the UK.

Over the past few years, the diagnostic accuracy of artificial intelligence systems in identifying DR have been shown to be
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compared with the grading of DR by retinal specialists on retinal images. Artificial intelligence systems could improve DR screening by reducing the reliance on manual work and providing savings in resources and cost, and may be incorporated into future screening programmes that are currently implemented or routinely practised.

**Ophthalmological treatments**

**Laser photoocoagulation**

Evidence from randomised controlled trials performed in the 1970s and 1980s supported the use of PRP in PDR. The Diabetic Retinopathy Study (DRS), which compared PRP with no photoocoagulation in people with PDR, demonstrated that PRP reduces the risk of severe visual loss from PDR by 50% or more. The Early Treatment for Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) group recommended that PRP should not be used in eyes with mild to moderate NPDR but can be considered for eyes with severe NPDR. Additionally, the ETDRS demonstrated that focal photoocoagulation of clinically significant DMO reduced the risk of moderate visual loss by 50%. The mechanisms by which PRP mediates its benefits are not fully understood. One possible mechanism is that an ischaemic retina, which produces growth factors, is destroyed by PRP thus reducing the angiogenic stimulus. Another possible mechanism is that PRP increases oxygenation from the choroid to the inner retina that occurs through the laser scars due to thinning of the retina in the treated area.

**Vitrectomy**

Pars plana vitrectomy has been the standard treatment for non-resolving vitreous haemorrhage associated with PDR since the 1970s. Today, vitrectomy has an established role in the management of TRDs associated with severe fibrovascular proliferations in PDR.

**Intravitreal pharmacotherapeutics**

Today, the treatment paradigm for centre-involved DMO has shifted towards intravitreal pharmacotherapeutics, including anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) therapies. The introduction of such intravitreal pharmacotherapeutics has had a significant effect on the reduction of visual loss in patients with DMO. Early on in the treatment of DMO, patients often require monthly intravitreal injections and follow-up. Once the disease has stabilised, the interval between injections may be extended, permitting less frequent injections and follow-up.

**What new ophthalmological treatments can we expect for DR?**

Intravitreal anti-VEGF agents may play a future role in the management of PDR. The Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network (DRCR.net) Protocol S trial showed that people with PDR who received intravitreal ranibizumab treatment had a non-inferior VA outcome at 2 years compared with PRP. In the CLARITY study, a multicentre randomised non-inferiority trial of 232 patients with PDR, intravitreal aflibercept produced VA improvement at 1 year compared with those treated with PRP standard care. More recently, in the DRCR.net Protocol W study, intravitreal aflibercept was compared with sham control treatment in patients who have moderate to severe NPDR, with the objective of seeing whether aflibercept can prevent the development of PDR and benefit visual acuity. The investigators found that at 2 years, the proportion of patients developing PDR was lower in the aflibercept group than in the sham group. However, the mean VA change from baseline to 2 years was similar between the two groups. Although anti-VEGF therapies have been shown in clinical trials to be efficacious in treating PDR, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence has not recommended its use in the NHS. Furthermore, the risk of PDR progression associated with the risk of non-compliance to treatment and follow-up is significant. PRP currently remains the standard treatment for PDR in the UK.
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