

References

- 1 Azam A, Zahid A, Abdullah Q *et al*. Utility of thoracic computed tomography to predict need for early surgery and recurrence after first episode of primary spontaneous pneumothorax. *Clin Med* 2021 [Epub ahead of print].
- 2 Kasturi S, Muthirevula A, Chinthareddy R, Lingaraju VC. Delayed recurrent spontaneous pneumothorax post-recovery from COVID-19 infection. *Indian J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2021;37:551–3.
- 3 Poudel A, Adhikari A, Aryal BB, Poudel Y, Shrestha I. Recurrent pneumothorax in an adult male with bilateral COVID-19 pneumonia. *Cureus* 2021;13:e17025.
- 4 Shah V, Brill K, Dhingra G, Kannan S. Delayed recurrent spontaneous pneumothorax in a patient recovering from COVID-19 pneumonia. *Korean J Anesthesiol* 2021;74:183–5.
- 5 Jolobe OMP. Air leaks, pneumatoceles, and air spaces in Covid-19 pneumonia. *Am J Emerg Med* 2021;46:785.

Optimisation of strategies for management of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction

DOI: 10.7861/clinmed.Let.22.2.4

Editor – The review of empagliflozin for patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) signals an important recognition that the management of HFpEF required a fundamentally different approach from the management of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF).¹ The key feature of HFpEF is that it responds best to preventive strategies that mitigate the risk of incident acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF), thereby significantly reducing rates of subsequent hospitalisation for ADHF rather than modifying the subsequent evolution of the natural history (including mortality risk) of that syndrome.

This effect was seen most strikingly in SPRINT, where intensive systolic blood pressure reduction (target systolic blood pressure <120 mmHg) resulted in a significant ($p=0.003$) reduction in incident ADHF to the same extent in subjects with HFpEF and counterparts with HFrEF.² By analogy, in Zinman *et al*, among subjects at high risk of cardiovascular events, empagliflozin generated a significant ($p=0.002$) reduction in risk of hospitalisations for incident ADHF, although, in the latter context, no documentation was made of the left ventricular ejection fraction subtypes.³

In the context of hypertension-related HFpEF, the operative factor for the efficacy of intensive systolic blood pressure control might be a mitigation of the risk of myocardial fibrosis, given the fact that in the animal model of hypertension, myocardial stiffness is determined by ventricular fibrosis.⁴ In the context of use of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor therapy, mitigation of myocardial inflammation and, hence, myocardial fibrosis might be the operative factor for drug efficacy, given emerging evidence of the anti-inflammatory actions of this drug class.^{5–7} Intensive blood pressure control also mitigates the risk of incident atrial fibrillation (AF), arguably by mitigating the risk of myocardial (including atrial) fibrosis.⁸ Diabetes, in turn, is also a risk factor for incident AF, arguably as a consequence of the fact that it is a proinflammatory disorder.^{9,10} Among patients with AF, modifiable risk factors for subsequent incident ADHF include both hypertension and diabetes.¹¹

Accordingly, future management of HFpEF should optimise mitigation of risk of incident ADHF and risk of incident AF. ■

OSCAR JOLOBE

Retired geriatrician, Manchester, UK

References

- 1 Pooni RS, Ismail TF. Research in brief: Empagliflozin for patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *Clin Med* 2022;22:75–6.
- 2 Upadhyia B, Willard JJ, Lovato LC *et al*. Incidence and outcomes of acute heart failure with preserved ejection versus reduced ejection fraction in sprint. *Circ Heart Fail* 2021;14:e008322.
- 3 Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM *et al*. Empagliflozin cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:2117–28.
- 4 Yamamoto K, Masuyama T, Sakata Y *et al*. Myocardial stiffness is determined by ventricular fibrosis, but not by compensatory or excessive hypertrophy in the hypertensive heart. *Cardiovasc Res* 2002;55:76–82.
- 5 Lee S-G, Lee S-J, Lee J-J *et al*. Anti-inflammatory effect for atherosclerosis progression by sodium-glucose cotransporter (SGLT-2) inhibitor in normoglycemic rabbit model. *Korean Circ J* 2020;50:443–57.
- 6 Ye Y, Bajaj M, Yang H-C *et al*. SGLT-2 inhibition with dapagliflozin reduces the activation of the Nlrp3/ASC inflammasome and attenuates the development of diabetic cardiomyopathy in mice with type 2 diabetes. Further augmentation of the effects with saxagliptin, a DPP4 inhibitor. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther* 2017;31:119–32.
- 7 Kang Y, Zhan F, He M, Song X. Anti-inflammatory effects of sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors on atherosclerosis. *Vascul Pharmacol* 2020;133–4:106779.
- 8 Soliman EZ, Rahman AKM, Zhang ZM *et al*. Effect of intensive blood pressure lowering on the risk of atrial fibrillation. *Hypertension* 2020;75:1491–6.
- 9 Panchal G, Mahmood M, Lip GYH. Revisiting the risk of incident atrial fibrillation: a narrative review. Part 1. *Kardiol Pol* 2019;77:430–6.
- 10 Hameed I, Masoodi S, Mir SA *et al*. Type 2 diabetes mellitus: From a metabolic disorder to an inflammatory condition. *World J Diabetes* 2015;6:598–612.
- 11 Potpara TS, Polovina MM, Licina MM, Marinkovic JM, Lip GYH. Predictors and prognostic implications of incident heart failure following the first diagnosis of atrial fibrillation in patients with structurally normal hearts: the Belgrade Atrial Fibrillation Study. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013;15:415–24.

The significance of the gut microbiome in post-COVID-19 gastrointestinal symptoms

DOI: 10.7861/clinmed.Let.22.2.5

Editor – I read with interest the article by Cooney and colleagues in which 43.8% of the patients studied reported new-onset gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms in the 6 months after their acute COVID-19 illness and the authors suggest the possible existence of a post-COVID-19 irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).¹ The authors discuss the presence of the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) receptor throughout the GI tract, which serves as the SARS-CoV-2 receptor, as an important potential factor. However, the potential relevance of gut microbiome disturbance in patients with COVID-19 was not discussed.