Letters to the Editor

Please submit letters for the editor's consideration within 3 weeks of receipt of *Clinical Medicine*. Letters should be limited to 350 words, and sent by email to: clinicalmedicine@rcp.ac.uk

Cancer immunotherapy and the management of side effects

DOI: 10 7861/clinmed Let 23 2 1

Editor – We thank Mahalingam and Newsom-Davis¹ for their overview of immunotherapy side effects and in particular immunotherapy-related endocrinopathies. Immunotherapy has revolutionised the treatment of numerous malignancies in recent years. This paradigm shift poses a challenge to oncologists and general internal physicians who are exposed to a range of immunotherapy-related endocrinopathies which may be outside their usual area of expertise. It is important that clinicians acquire the knowledge and skills necessary to manage to these side effects appropriately.

Mahalingam and Newsom-Davis' review¹ discusses the management of immunotherapy-related hypothyroidism. It is essential that clinicians must first differentiate primary hypothyroidism (due to thyroiditis) from secondary hypothyroidism (due to hypophysitis), which is defined as a low or inappropriately normal thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) in the setting of a low free T4. Although thyroxine replacement is the appropriate treatment for primary hypothyroidism it risks precipitating an adrenal crisis in those with immunotherapy-related hypophysitis.² ACTH deficiency is the most commonly affected pituitary hormone deficit followed by TSH, with deficiency rates of 91% and 84% respectively in those receiving anti-CTLA-4 treatment.3 Therefore, the presence of secondary hypothyroidism should raise immediate concern for adrenal insufficiency. Administration of thyroxine in this circumstance can precipitate an adrenal crisis as thyroxine increases the metabolism of (already deficient) cortisol.⁴ An urgent assessment of the hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal (HPA) axis with measurement of ACTH and cortisol is mandatory in patients presenting with secondary hypothyroidism. Once confirmed, hydrocortisone replacement should be initiated followed by thyroxine replacement at least 48 hours after.² In acutely unwell patients, hydrocortisone should be administered empirically prior to an assessment of the HPA axis.

It is also important for general physicians to note that thyroxine should be titrated to maintain a fT4 level in the upper half of the normal range. TSH cannot be used to titrate thyroxine in this setting as it will invariably be low. Although this may seem obvious, we frequently encounter patients with secondary hypothyroidism whose dose of thyroxine has been reduced as a low TSH is misinterpreted as thyroxine overreplacement rather than secondary hypothyroidism. We hope this letter will contribute

to the improved understanding around the management of immunotherapy-related hypothyroidism.

DARRAN Mc DONALD Specialist registrar in endocrinology, University of Galway, Galway, Ireland

References

- Mahalingam P, Thomas Newsom-Davis T. Cancer immunotherapy and the management of side effects. Clin Med 2023;23:56–60.
- 2 Fleseriu M, Hashim IA, Karavitaki N et al. Hormonal replacement in hypopituitarism in adults: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2016;101:3888–921.
- 3 Caturegli P, Di Dalmazi G, Lombardi M et al. Hypophysitis secondary to cytotoxic T-lymphocyte—associated protein 4 blockade: insights into pathogenesis from an autopsy series. Am J Pathol 2016;186:3225–35.
- 4 McDonald D, Goulden E, Cullen G, Crown J, Crowley RK. Myxoedema coma caused by immunotherapy-related thyroiditis and enteritis. Endocrinol Diabetes Metab Case Rep 2021;2021:21-0130.

Where now for infection services in the NHS? What about children?

DOI: 10.7861/clinmed.Let.23.2.2

Editor – We agree with Llewelyn *et al* that a fundamental review of NHS infection service provision is required. However, we would suggest that this review should also include children's infection linked with the adult services they describe.

Llewelyn *et al* advocate 'removing barriers to training and service delivery' and 'training encompassing all aspects of infection service provision'. We would support these suggestions but feel they should be expanded to included paediatric infection.

Children's infection services have repeatedly been left out of recent attempts to improve infection services in the UK, despite a formal NHS England specialist service specification.² The best practice standards for the delivery of NHS infection services specifically excludes paediatric infection services, as these were felt to be 'outwith the scope of this document'.³ Training in microbiology is not accessible for those with paediatric experience, only those who have undertaken training in adult internal medicine.⁴ The majority of infection diagnostic laboratories will process samples for a paediatric population, but experience in Paediatric infection for those running these laboratories is becoming increasingly limited.

Children can be more affected by certain infections than adults (eg scarlet fever, respiratory syncytial virus), but may be the source of these infection to vulnerable adults. Conversely children can be affected by chronic infections in adult family members such as TB, HIV and hepatitis B and C. Managing all family members with the infection in a joined-up way is thus required.

An example of integrating adult and paediatric infection services is the NHS England High Consequence Infectious Diseases (Airborne) Network. This network specifically commissioned to jointly manage adults and children has proved invaluable as the UK has recently seen its first cases of paediatric high consequence infectious diseases, all of whom had parents affected with the same illness and needing care from both adult and paediatric infection specialists. ^{5,6}

We would suggest that any fundamental review of NHS infection services should include paediatric infectious diseases as well as adult infectious diseases, microbiology and virology. If a national network of specialised infectious diseases units is developed, then linked paediatric infection units need to be specifically aligned.

ANDREW RIORDAN

Consultant in paediatric infectious diseases and immunology, Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK; paediatric lead, NHS England High Consequence Infectious Diseases (Airborne) Network

SAUL N FAUST

Professor in paediatric immunology and infectious diseases, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK; consultant in paediatric immunology and infectious diseases, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK; NHS England national clinical lead for paediatric allergy, immunology and infectious diseases

ELIZABETH WHITTAKER

Consultant in paediatric infectious diseases, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust; senior clinical lecturer in paediatric infectious diseases, Imperial College London; convenor, British Paediatric Allergy, Immunity and Infection Group

References

- 1 Llewelyn MJ, Price N, Ratnaraja N et al. Where now for infection services in the NHS? How lessons from the pandemic should drive long-overdue integration of microbiology and infectious diseases. Clin Med 2022;22:586–9.
- 2 NHS England. 2013/14 NHS standard contract for paediatric medicine: immunology and infectious diseases - service specifications. www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/06/ e03-paedi-medi-immun-infec-disease.pdf [Accessed 3 Jan 2023].
- 3 Ratnaraja NVDV, Davies AP, Atkins BL et al. Best practice standards for the delivery of NHS infection services in the United Kingdom. Clin Infect Pract 2021;12:100095.
- 4 Joint Royal College of Physicians Training Board. Rough guide to infection specialties curricula. JRCPTB, 2021. Available from www.jrcptb.org.uk/documents/rough-guide-infection-specialties-2021-curricula-0 [Accessed 29 Dec 2022].
- 5 Adler H, Gould S, Hine P et al. Clinical features and management of human monkeypox: a retrospective observational study in the UK. Lancet Infect Dis 2022;22:1153–62.
- 6 Ramnarayan P, Mitting R, Whittaker E et al. Neonatal monkeypox virus infection. N Engl J Med 2022;387:1618–20.