common problem – investigation and diagnosis of the cause of chest pain. William Heberden's first description of the symptoms of angina pectoris in 1768 makes reference to both its association with swallowing and to the risk of sudden death. Unfortunately, the oesophagus is often not even considered as a cause of the pain when cardiac investigations are normal.

We have long held the view that one should use and teach the term 'oesophageal angina' so that it will readily come to mind in this clinical situation. Whilst the identification of abnormal oesophageal function against a background of normal cardiac investigations does not entirely resolve the problems, it does reassure the patient and reduces the number of emergency admissions for chest pain, as well as the number of cardiac investigations, including coronary angiograms.¹

References

 Swift GL, Alban-Davies H, McKirdy H, Lowndes R et al. A long-term clinical review of patients with oesophageal pain. Q J Med 1991;81:937–4.

JOHN RHODES
Professor Emeritus in Gastroenterology

GILLIAN L SWIFT Consultant Gastroenterologist

University Hospital of Wales & Llandough Hospital, Cardiff

Sir Douglas Black (1913-2002)

Editor – Whilst working as a Lecturer/ Senior Registrar on Douglas Black's unit at the Manchester Royal Infirmary in the early 1960s, I would routinely follow up the Professorial ward round by an evening discussion with the patients on the ward.

I recall one patient, clearly having some rudimentary knowledge of Douglas's studies and writings on electrolytes, told me that he had been impressed by the Professor's reassurance on his condition, albeit delivered *sotto voce*. He then added 'in any case I have been told that he is the best electrician in the world'!

The humorous aspect of this remark would not have been lost on the usually serious, yet ever witty Professor.

JOHN M EVANSON Emeritus Professor of Medicine University of Manchester

Clinical & Scientific letters

Letters not directly related to articles published in *Clinical Medicine* and presenting unpublished original data should be submitted for publication in this section. Clinical and scientific letters should not exceed 500 words and may include one table and up to five references.

Senior house officers in medicine are still not getting adequate appraisals

With the publication of the report Unfinished business: proposals for reform of the senior house officer grade by the Chief Medical Officer for England, the need for reform to the SHO grade is now considered a priority. The success of these reforms in improving the training of SHOs will depend on regular and continual appraisals. In A curriculum for SHO training – what is it and why has it changed? Carty et al draw attention to the revised core curriculum for SHOs in medicine and the medical specialties.² Only 40% of SHO posts included regular appraisal. A new appraisal portfolio based on the revised curriculum had been produced. This appraisal portfolio, which consists of the Core Curriculum and Appraisal Record presented in a single folder, has now been available for more than 12 months.3 It was produced with the aim of helping SHOs to develop personal training plans and help them to identify, together with their educational supervisor, their training requirements.

A recent survey among 50 SHOs in medicine at Derriford Hospital, Plymouth to ascertain their experiences and attitudes to the appraisal process and the RCP appraisal portfolio, revealed that 50% had never read the Core Curriculum and only 5% always read the Core Curriculum before or during a post. Only 54% had the RCP Appraisal Record of whom 30% updated them annually or less frequently. The survey showed that 32% had not had any appraisals at any time and 74% of SHOs had appraisals on 50% or less occasions at the beginning of their posts. Of the SHOs who had been in two or more posts 57% had appraisals on 50% or fewer occasions in their final month in a post. Eighteen per cent of SHOs did not know who their educational supervisor was. Eighty per cent agreed that it was their own responsibility to arrange appraisals but 20% felt it was either the educational supervisor's or a joint responsibility to arrange appraisal meetings. This is despite regular interviews with the college tutor and written reminders.

There is clearly a need for SHOs to be made more aware of their responsibility to arrange appraisals and obtain the new edition of the *Core Curriculum and Appraisal Record*. The appraisal record contains documentation to use for appraisal and a learning experience portfolio to identify training needs, and greatly assists the appraisal process. Perhaps the Royal College of Physicians ought to receive documentary evidence of satisfactory appraisal before completion of General Professional Training.

References

- Department of Health. Unfinished business – proposals for reform of the senior house officer grade. A report by Sir Liam Donaldson Chief Medical Officer for England. London: DH, 2002.
- 2 Carty E, Neville E, Pembroke MA, Wade WB. A curriculum for SHO training – what is it and why has it changed? Clin Med JRCPL 2001;1:50–3.
- 3 Royal College of Physicians. Core curriculum & appraisal record for senior house officers in general (internal) medicine and the medical specialties. London: RCP, 2001.

KOSHY JACOB SHO in General Medicine

PHILIP HUGHES

College Tutor and Consultant Chest Physician

Derriford Hospital, Plymouth

In response

This is an important survey and its appearance in this journal is timely. It is interesting that although 80% of SHOs recognised that it was their own responsibility to

arrange appraisals, the survey still identified one third as having had no appraisals and one fifth as not knowing who their educational supervisor was. General Professional Training (GPT) visits to trusts throughout the country over the last few years have found that appraisals are increasingly happening although it is rare for it to be reported that every SHO has regular appraisals in any particular Trust. A related and frequently reported issue describes SHOs questioning the value of some appraisals. It seems likely therefore that not all 'educational supervisors' are fully signed up to the appraisal process or are too busy to carry them out. However, with the arrival of competence based assessment of trainees it is becoming increasingly important that they should be able to deliver this process. If, for whatever reason, a consultant is unable to undertake this task he or she should hand over the responsibility to another colleague who in turn might exchange clinical for educational sessions.

The Core Curriculum³ should underpin the training programme in every Trust and should inform the planning of this programme. Although it is disappointing that 50% of SHOs in this survey had never read the curriculum, perhaps a more positive approach would be that we should be pleased that 50% have done so when it has only been available for 12 months. However, it is surprising that in the survey the Appraisal Record was not found to be in more regular use as our GPT visits to trusts usually report that it has 70-90% uptake. The Appraisal Record is available on the RCP website (and in printed format with the curriculum). It should be a joint responsibility of the trainee and the supervisor for this to be kept up to date. In future it will be an essential part of a trainee's portfolio. The conclusion in the above letter suggesting the provision of other documentary evidence of satisfactory appraisal and assessment before completion of GPT will, with the implementation of Unfinished business, become a reality.

ED NEVILLE Director of General Professional Training Royal College of Physicians, London

Driving restrictions after stroke: doctors' awareness of DVLA guidelines and advice given to patients

Transient ischaemic attack (TIA) and stroke are commonly encountered on general medical take and many patients are discharged with little or no residual deficit. Such patients should be advised however, that according to the DVLA guidelines they should not drive for one month after the event.1 They may resume driving after this time if clinical recovery is satisfactory. There is no need to notify the DVLA unless there is residual neurological deficit. Minor limb weakness alone does not require notification but it is left to the clinician's judgement as to what constitutes significant deficit. Doctors are advised to document the advice given in the notes.

We performed a retrospective study to identify whether patients were given appropriate advice regarding driving following TIA or stroke and to investigate doctors' awareness of the DVLA guidelines. Hospital notes of patients with a discharge diagnosis of TIA or CVA during a six-month period were reviewed, noting documentation regarding driving status and driving advice given on discharge. Patients were excluded if they were not discharged home or had persistent neurological deficit on discharge. In addition, 35 doctors involved on general medical take were asked face-to-face standardised questions regarding awareness of any driving restrictions following TIA.

Of the 30 patients who met the criteria, driving status was recorded in the notes of two patients (7%). Advice given regarding driving on discharge was not documented in any of the patients' notes. We subsequently contacted 25 of the 30 patients by telephone and asked them about driving status and advice given on discharge, using

a standardised format. Out of the 25 patients 15 (60%) stated they had been car drivers, of whom nine (60%) continued to drive. Only four (16%) of the 25 patients recalled being asked about driving status and being given driving advice, of which two were given the correct advice. In addition, on questioning we found that only four out of 35 doctors were aware of the correct guidelines (Table 1).

This study indicates that documentation of driving status is poor, with very few patients given appropriate advice on discharge. This was also highlighted in an American study, in which 48% of patients received no driving advice on discharge following stroke.² The poor knowledge of the guidelines is consistent with a study in Belfast, in which only four of 50 doctors questioned knew the guidelines for driving following stroke.³

The fact that driving status was documented in such a small number of medical notes is indicative of the low priority given to this aspect of the patient's social history. Given the potential medicolegal implications of failure to give appropriate advice to patients, improved education of doctors regarding driving restrictions is essential. Care pathways may improve documentation and future care pathways for patients with stroke should incorporate this.

References

- Drivers Medical Unit, DVLA. For medical practitioners: at a glance guide to the current medical standards of fitness to drive. Swansea: DVLA.1998.
- Fisk G, Owsley C, Pulley L. Driving after stroke: driving exposure, advice, and evaluations. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1997;78:1338–45.

Table 1. Responses of doctors when asked about driving restrictions after stroke

| Grade | Total | Aware of any restriction | Correct | Incorrect | Don't know |
|------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| SHO | 13 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Specialist | | | | | |
| Registrar | 12 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Consultant | 10 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Toyal | 35 | 24 | 4 | 14 | 6 |
| | | | | | |

3 Kelly R, Warke T, Steele I. Medical restrictions to driving: the awareness of patients and doctors. Postgrad Med J 1999;75:537-539.

KATHRYN GOODYEAR Senior House Officer Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust

> CHRIS ROSEVEARE Consultant Physician Southampton General Hospital

Serious hyperkalaemia after short use of low molecular weight heparin in a diabetic patient

A 45-year-old lady was admitted to our unit with right calf pain and a provisional diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis (DVT). She also had type 1 diabetes, hypertension and previous recurrent DVT as well as seronegative rheumatoid arthritis. Her medication on admission included warfarin, human insulin, colchicine, lansoprazole and lisinopril. The right calf was a little swollen and tender; Homan's sign was negative. She was treated provisionally as suspected DVT with dalteparin. Her renal, hepatic and calcium levels were normal at the time of admission, as was her full blood count. Four days after admission her serum K had risen to 6.0 mmol/l from 4.4 mmol/l at the time of admission; this rose to 7.1 mmol/l on the following day. Because the electrocardiogram (ECG) showed a peaked T-wave and widened QRS complex, the hyperkalaemia was treated as an emergency with insulin, dextrose infusion and followed by calcium resonium. Both lisinopril and dalteparin were stopped. On the same day the Doppler ultrasound scan did not confirm DVT. The patient was maintained on calcium resonium and serum K was monitored daily. One week later the serum K remained below 5.0 mmol/l.

Hyperkalaemia is more common in patients with diabetes and this can become worse by the use of drugs, especially angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and potassium-sparing diuretics. The exact mechanisms for susceptibility of diabetic patients to develop hyperkalaemia is not well understood, but insulin deficiency/resistance, hyporeninaemic hypoaldosteronism or reduction in Na+-K+ ATPase activity has been proposed.

On the other hand hyperkalaemia is a recognised side effect of heparin therapy,⁵ however, this is rare in those who had treatment for less than seven days, in which case regular monitoring of serum K+ is recommended by the Committee on Safety of Medicines. This unwanted effect is thought to be more common in patients with diabetes mellitus.⁶ The mechanism of heparin-induced hyperkalaemia is related to inhibition of aldosterone secretion by heparin.

This case represents an unusual presentation of acute hyperkalaemia occurring shortly after starting treatment with the low molecular weight heparin dalteparin (Fragmin) in a diabetic patient who is concomitantly taking an ACE inhibitor. Low molecular weight heparin is increasingly used in hospitalised patients as well as outpatients. Patients with diabetes will be

more likely to develop serious hyperkalaemia in these circumstances, as illustrated by this case. We recommend that patients with diabetes should have their potassium level monitored closely even if the duration of anticoagulant therapy is less than seven days.

We have reported this incident to the Medicine Control Agency and the manufacturer.

References

- Jarman PR, Kehely AM, Mather HM. Hyperkalaemia in diabetes: Prevalence and association. Postgrad Med J 1995;71:551-2.
- Schalwitz RA, Gingerich RL, McGill JB, McDonald JM. Effect of hyperglycaemia on plasma sodium and potassium concentration revisited. Clin Chem 1991;37:293-4.
- De Fronzo RA. Hyperkalaemia and hyporeninaemic hypoaldosteronism. Kidney Int. 1980;17:118–34.
- 4 Mimura M, Makino H, Kanatsuka A, Yoshida S. Reduction of erythrocyte (Na+-K+) ATPase activities in non-insulin dependent diabetic patients with hyperkalaemia. *Metabolism* 1992;41:426–30.
- 5 Gonzalez-Martin G, Diaz-Molinas MS, Martinez AM, Oritz M. Heparin-induced hyperkalaemia: a prospective study. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther Toxicol 1991;29: 446-50.
- Busch EH, Ventura HO, Lavie CJ. Heparin-induced hyperkalaemia. South Med J 1987;80:1450-1.

JD RIPPIN HSH HADO NEIL GREEN TA ELHADD

Department of Endocrinology Dudley Group of Hospitals NHS Trust West Midlands