book reviews

Harley Street: a brief history, with notes on nearby Regent's Park

By John Crawford Adams. Royal Society of Medicine Press, London 2008. 116 pp. £24.95

John Crawford Adams is a retired London surgeon and medical historian who lived in Regent's Park for more than 30 years, and his research on the area has been meticulous. What had begun as the Harley Estate became the Portland Estate through the marriage of the Harley's daughter, Margaret, to the second Duke of Portland. Margaret's parents, Edward and Henrietta Harley, had inherited the land from the Duke of Newcastle. This account follows the history of Harley Street with notes on some of its famous inhabitants. They include Joseph Lister, later Lord Lister, Lord Dawson of Penn, Lord Horder, Sir Robert Jones, Sir Thomas Fairbank, Sir William Arbuthnot Lane, Sir George Frederick Still, Sir Frederick Treves and Sir Victor Horsley. The names of the streets that criss-cross Harley Street are linked with their origins, making it a more interesting walk in the area.

Residents of the area have enjoyed the elegant amenities of nearby Regent's Park from about 1840 onwards. The author has added historical notes on the development of the park. It includes profiles of John Fordyce, who preserved its open space, and architect John Nash, who prepared a plan to link the park with Westminster. There is an interesting account of the buildings in the park and of the zoo, the Colosseum, the Diorama, the open-air theatre and Queen Mary's Gardens. It seems a pity that the three medical colleges, Royal College of Physicians (RCP), Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecology and Royal College of Ophthalmology, have not been included. The RCP is mentioned in passing and is stated in a map of the area. All three colleges undoubtedly warrant a chapter in a second edition of this fascinating and worthwhile read of a richly medical area.

D GERAINT JAMES Regent's Park

Mechanisms of disease – an introduction to clinical science, 2nd edition

Edited by S Tomlinson, A Heagerty, A Weetman, R Malik. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2008. 340 pp. £19.95.

Many years ago a Hungarian salesman tried to sell the 1970s edition of the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* to my wife and myself. 'Mr and Mrs Hodgson', he said, 'you have ambition for your children...?'. The editors of this book on the mechanisms of disease clearly have very substantial ambitions for medical undergraduates in the UK.

The paradigm is simple – a set of chapters which outline an area of advance in basic science and link it to a clinical field. Among the

16 chapters the most accessible are those that follow this pattern – such as a crisp chapter on the acute coronary syndrome (Heagerty but cardiology is quite a simple subject of course), and the chapters on infection, bacterial or parasitic (Lawn and Griffin, Molyneux). Much more difficult as an author to have been faced with summarising molecular and cell biology in 40 pages (Davis), without the leavening of clinical scenarios that other chapters offer. Some authors have taken their subject - notably Cox on lysosomal disorders - and produced a meticulously detailed up-to-date review that appears to leave nothing further to be said on the entire field, but might well terrify an undergraduate who feels that one has to know and potentially regurgitate everything one read on a particular topic. The overall scope of the topics is wide but naturally not comprehensive – topics such as allergy, autoimmunity, stroke, diabetic neuropathy, alcoholic liver disease, renal cell carcinoma, and the interaction between organic and psychiatric disease complete the contents list. One suspects the precise choice of contributions was based on the perceived willingness and skills of the contributors. I was slightly put off by the linking in the preface of the purposes of the book ('to provide a mechanistic as opposed to traditional list-based approach to medicine') to the aims of the General Medical Council - but then only reviewers read prefaces.

How does a book like this fit into current undergraduate teaching and curricula? It certainly shows ambition. Does current medical educational practice allow that ambition? I think of my experiences - shared by many - of the tedium of acting as an Objective Structured Clinical Examiner, and I think to myself that in that exercise the students I am examining are being assessed not for how they have been educated, and not even for how they have been trained, but for how they have been drilled in examination technique. As the most extreme - but certainly not too uncommon - example, they may ostentatiously go through the visible motions of assessing the height of the jugular venous pressure (JVP). If then asked how high is the JVP, many will not be able to answer - they had been trained to be seen to observe, not to observe. If asked what the implication of an elevated JVP is, the chapter on heart failure (Nevses and Buch) would certainly have put them right.

So my initial response to this book was that it reflected the high aspirations of its editors for our students, but I wondered whether — in the light of the assessment culture referred to above — it would manage to make the real contribution to the education, as opposed to training, of students that it is undoubtedly well equipped to make. I rather depressingly came to the conclusion that it probably wouldn't. But then I took in the fact that this is now in its second edition — so, gratifyingly, out there someone must be buying it. And if a student reads it, they should indeed be wiser as well as better informed

As to *Britannica* – no we didn't buy it. But we did get a battered copy of the 1929 edition from the corner shop.

HUMPHREY HODGSON Director, Centre for Hepatology Royal Free and University College Medical School