Preparing the future workforce for healthcare in Australia

Authors: Josephine S Thomas, A,B Toby R Gilbert C,D and Campbell H Thompson C,E

Medical education fails to prepare young doctors for the nature of the work they will encounter. Doctors face a rapidly changing medical landscape, which relies more and more upon interprofessional collaboration to optimise patient outcomes and upon non-clinical skills to provide care efficiently and cost effectively. The current response to change is a reactive and resource-intensive effort, where established doctors are directed towards new ways of working. A better response would be interprofessional clinical and non-clinical training, incorporating a philosophy and style that accommodate innovation, communication and change. This preparative training should be overseen by a single educational enterprise that links undergraduate and postgraduate instruction. Improved training might enable better design of the healthcare system from within.

KEYWORDS: Change management, education, general medicine, interprofessional, postgraduate, undergraduate

Introduction

The foundation upon which we have built medical education requires urgent attention. Despite over 60 years' talk of lifelong learning and the constantly changing face of medicine, 1 we have failed to fully grasp the implications of a shifting landscape of patient care needs. We are required to provide increasingly complex care to increasingly complex patients with ever greater efficiency and finite resources. Our drug regimens are now so complex that interactions are guaranteed, rather than possible, albeit largely unrecognised. 2,3 Different funding sources for primary and tertiary care scupper their integration in Australia and the communication between these healthcare divisions is further plagued by a technology mismatch. 4 Access to healthcare in our more sparsely populated and remote areas is not equitable. So, do the remedies lie within or without the current healthcare system and who should accept responsibility for articulating and implementing the solutions?

Authors: ^Aconsultant physician, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, Australia; ^Bsenior lecturer, School of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Australia; ^Cadvanced trainee in general and acute care medicine, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, Australia; ^Dclinical associate lecturer, School of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Australia; ^Eprofessor of medicine, School of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Australia

Preparation of both current and future clinicians seems an appropriate place to start because at least part of the solution is adaptability – both within the healthcare system and within its clinician workforce. The latter could be empowered by a flexible, responsive training programme, so that the workforce would then become well equipped to redesign the evolving healthcare system, if given the opportunity.

Obesity management is an example where plasticity is needed to address complex care needs with finite resources. The Australian population is gaining weight with resulting health problems. Obesity is already established in our city-centric country, but has an even greater prevalence in rural Australia where both the numbers of obese patients and Australia's geography challenge traditional outpatient models of care. Hospital-based obesity clinics exist to support an effective bariatric surgical approach for a limited number of individuals. However, in response to an overwhelming need for obesity management of individuals, some clinicians have embraced community initiatives, complex disease management plans,⁵ integrated care with family, carers, allied health staff, nurse practitioners and general practitioners, and engagement of commercial entities. These solutions are supported by new technologies (eg telehealth), are based upon new evidence^{6,7} and are cost-conscious. Importantly, they take the pressure off hospital outpatient facilities and devolve care closer to patients' homes. These solutions to an evolving health need require adaptability in both system and workforce. They require a clinical workforce with considerable skills in leadership, enterprise, innovation, communication and team membership, who can then influence the system to optimise individual and community health.

But obesity is only one of a considerable number of diseases affecting our aging population; diseases that often occur in complex combinations, such as heart failure, dementia, renal impairment, diabetes, gout and osteoarthritis, abound. The undergraduate and postgraduate training of our doctors, and indeed all professional healthcare groups, must prepare clinicians to develop, support and evaluate cost-effective, flexible and interprofessional (IP) models of care. This is not happening.

We suggest that a more dynamic approach is needed or the medical profession stagnates. Such an approach begins in undergraduate programmes and progresses throughout life, with vertical integration from medical student years to consultant practice.

Moving from the current to a future state

The postgraduate training bodies should be well placed to provide a flexible, responsive training curriculum for the

Josephine S Thomas, Toby R Gilbert and Campbell H Thompson

workforce. Where medical training is concerned, the colleges' curricula overflow with aspirations and ideals, frequently espousing values such as leadership and innovation, 11 but they lack a consistent approach. The ensuing implementation of these ideals is difficult to evaluate and, therefore, that evaluation can be either missing or ignored. The current state is one where colleges oversee education of indeterminate quality for doctors who find themselves wanting in the 'soft' skills. 12 In order to adapt to and with the system they are leading, senior doctors have access to optional training to ease the process. This model is reactive: the need for improvement requires self-diagnosis and, although coaching may improve a clinician's performance, guidance for the clinician on the relevant educational strategies is lacking. While this model offers a way for established doctors to keep up with changes that have occurred, it does not compel them to learn and adapt, and it does not encourage innovation. A more influential educational process that both guides and supports the clinician would be helpful.

Professional development and professionalism have already entered the undergraduate curriculum. ^{10,13–16} These topics need to be designed and assessed such that they are meaningful to the student ^{17,18} and that the student does not graduate if they indisputably fail to engage. A fresh undergraduate focus may translate to a healthier postgraduate attitude to these topics when seeking re-validation.

This new educational process, currently absent, might also actively promote generalist ideals. The Royal Australasian College of Physicians aspires to 'rebalance' their workforce towards more doctors practising generalist medicine, ¹⁹ but they lack the infrastructure and influence to implement quickly and enforce, and they have admitted to a weak skill base within their decision-making bodies. ²⁰

Complementary to this existing approach should be the training of doctors from undergraduate enrolment to be flexible, to adapt and to innovate as an accepted part of a career in a profession where the rate of change is only going to increase. Change management is a learned skill with a strong theoretical base, 21 and there is ample opportunity to practise change management in virtually any health setting. Instead of imbuing millennial doctors with a strong sense of tradition, we should make use of their ability to adapt to new methods and concepts by making change and disrupting the norm: valuing innovation in all aspects of healthcare. Such a proactive approach, if embraced by undergraduate programmes, will relieve some of the pressure for postgraduate training institutions to direct doctors towards deficient areas of healthcare. Accordingly, we might raise a generation of flexible, creative doctors, skilled in change management, who are able to identify, if not predict, gaps and who are able to design and implement solutions themselves. These non-academic skills can be taught and it may be appropriate to consider identifying preexisting attributes in students applying to medical school. 22,23

If individual clinicians are equipped and enabled to drive the fundamental changes within the healthcare system, they can become agents of social change, practising with confidence in an advocacy role. Addressing health and lifestyle issues (nutrition, activity and sleep) saves many future health dollars, but is difficult without training or experience. We move from a system that values and relies upon procedures and drugs

towards a model with reliance upon engaging and educating people about their health.

Resilience in the profession will be important in this environment of change, and we should promote reflective practice to foster this.²⁴ Training programmes themselves must embody flexibility by embracing diversity in trainee selection and by facilitating different models, such as parttime training. A sustainable career requires responsiveness over a doctor's lifetime, including an ability to take on new roles. Populated with such clinicians, the future healthcare system can then reflect its innovative workforce rather than exist as an externally-regulated agency: ill-informed, inert and retrospective. Admittedly, the future system will be driven by both political and economic influences. We cannot be certain that future clinicians will be able to influence such a system; however, we predict that better public health will remain an aspiration of any future health system and that current preparative training strategies will leave doctors isolated and facing irrelevance.

Further current and future practice needs, generally unmet by undergraduate and postgraduate training, include basic skills in management of human resources (eg management of poor performance), skills in committee work (chairing and contributing) and in financial management (interpreting budgets and building business cases). Skills are needed to practise in an environment where part-time training and practice are more popular (coping with handover, clinician inaccessibility and care discontinuity) and resources are being rationalised. An effective, accessible training programme, with clear objectives to address these and other needs, is overdue. The healthcare system as a whole will benefit.

Generalism and interprofessionalism

Despite professionalism ideals being outlined in college curricula, ¹¹ the postgraduate training processes often focus on the specialty rather than either the patient or the workforce need. Specialties have become 'isolated medical cults' as predicted in the middle of last century. ²⁵ Comprehensive assessment of the older patient who has extensive complex comorbidity is more onerous than the assessment of the younger patient with single-system, drug-responsive pathology. Treatment of the older comorbid patient carries challenges and often lacks an evidence base. When a pragmatic junior doctor plans their career and chooses between general medicine and a subspecialty, it will be hard to ignore the high procedural reimbursement rates that flow to certain subspecialists. Selective private practice is inviting and can be harnessed to optimise personal profit at the expense of holistic patient care.

We believe the solution for our future goes beyond workforce adaptability and system plasticity. The preparation and support of future clinicians must involve teaching that focuses upon caring for the whole patient (including dealing with the uncertainty this entails) and collaborating with a variety of other healthcare professionals. Integrated care must be demonstrated in action across a spread of relevant healthcare environments, incorporating a diverse range of clinical practice situations, with exposure to the diverse clinician workforce. The best results come from a team approach, and IP capabilities are needed for our everyday practice. ²⁶

Although the expectation to 'get along' with other staff is present, this is not the same as collaborative IP practice.²⁷ To be a truly effective practitioner, one should be skilled in collaboration and communication with members from other professional groups. This can be seen as a matter of patient safety and care quality. The clinical education literature recognises that this training should begin before graduation in order to ensure competency, ^{28,29} yet many universities still struggle with how best to provide IP education that will equip their graduates for IP practice. 30 Without a requirement to demonstrate IP capability in postgraduate training and practice, a golden opportunity is lost. Current postgraduate models of practice, and even the current postgraduate models of training, are a long way away from undergraduate education where generalist, IP learning is taking a more prominent part, ^{30–32} especially in rural Australia. ^{33,34}

The relevance, glamour and importance of such undergraduate teaching can be subject to question by the impressionable student body³⁵ and can be ignored by impressive senior clinical academics, especially in an urban location. For students embracing their undergraduate teaching, the absence of similar models in subsequent clinical attachments can lead to dissonance.³⁶ This effect of the hidden curriculum³⁷ in negating early undergraduate teaching is made even more powerful by a lack of reinforcement, not only in the clinical environment, but also in postgraduate education.³⁸ Despite great intentions and aspirations, the barely flourishing paradigm of IP education in undergraduate programmes is thwarted by this lack of later support. Modelling from senior clinicians should not be the only way undergraduates learn (or fail to learn) IP capabilities.

As matters currently stand, in the hospital wards, the overriding message is that IP practice is not important for the medical profession. Although 'multi-D' teams are part of the hospital environment, the concept of truly IP practice has not kept pace with developments in clinical education and literature. 10 Even the language is outdated, since 'multidisciplinary' more correctly refers to different disciplines within a profession, as distinct from the range of professionals involved in clinical care. The term 'multiprofessional' is a better way to describe the breadth of expertise that constitutes the healthcare team. 39 It is time for postgraduate practice and training to catch up and promote truly IP teamwork. 40 There would be great flow-on effects for undergraduate training of medical and non-medical clinicians if the clinical placement environment developed IP capabilities and demonstrated a commitment to IP practice.

A relevant clinical environment for teaching: inpatient care and ambulatory care

We are training specialists and generalists who will mostly practise outside the hospital system. Despite this, most training of specialist practitioners is provided in the hospital environment and largely in the inpatient setting. ⁴¹ There is a significant gap in training medical practitioners to perform care in an ambulatory setting. This is a major failing because care has moved largely toward a paradigm of hospital avoidance and shorter inpatient length of stay.

Relevant training is vital, yet we are exposing trainees to a stereotyped patient who is less well, less empowered and less questioning of management. This does not prepare our trainees for the world outside, where articulate, health-literate consumers may often challenge their management advice. There are very different processes for decision making during acute illness, and collaboration with patients can be more difficult in this setting. Training about issues of health literacy, culture and autonomy may not be addressed in sufficient depth in the undergraduate and early postgraduate curricula to facilitate transition of freshly minted doctors from the acute hospital setting into primary or ambulatory care. There are myriad reasons for the differences in care that occur in the tertiary inpatient setting. Doctors' experience of patient care meted out in this environment fosters acceptance of suboptimal communication (between clinicians and their patients and between clinicians) and 'uninformed' consent. This model teaches hospital-centric rather than patient-centred care.

The focus on teams is often restricted to hospital practice, where the community sector is seen as a solo exercise for medical practitioners, but there is even greater need for IP capabilities when the clinician is not working directly with a healthcare team. For example, whether in the city or a rural location, a GP must strive to form a cohesive team with community nurses, pharmacists and allied health professionals who also function independently. All of these independent practitioners are members of a healthcare team, and the need for collaboration and communication is even greater in this setting than in hospital-based practice. In a future state, well-developed IP community networks, perhaps with formal government support, might facilitate integration with tertiary care. Functioning as one multifaceted system, healthcare should then become easy for patients and their advocates to navigate. The paradigm of patients being admitted to and discharged from large impersonal institutions is then reframed as a 'transition of care' from community to hospital and back again. Meaningful IP communication would result in efficient and patient-focused care, 42,43 whether in remote Australian or inner city locations. The information technology required to support such a care model is several decades old, but the will to innovate and achieve this integrated care goal is only just reaching critical mass.44,45

Integrated teaching

Just as the care we provide between hospital and community should be integrated with contributions from a variety of healthcare professionals, ⁴⁶ so too should the education of our medical practitioners be integrated. Material and method taught before and after graduation should be cohesive, coordinated and consistent. The various educational systems to support the same doctor at different times in their career exist in significant isolation from each other. Bridging the gaps between undergraduate and postgraduate education in content, style and philosophy is critical to progress. No matter which institution is best suited to the administration and delivery of this medical education role, the method of teaching and the context of that teaching are most important to success. The costs will be more in terms of coordination and cooperation than they will be financial.

One possible bridge between these undergraduate and postgraduate systems could be Academic Centres. ^{47,48} Academic Centres were designed to embed highly relevant clinical research in clinical practice and vice versa. In Australia, a

Josephine S Thomas, Toby R Gilbert and Campbell H Thompson

lack of leadership and policy direction hampers progress in this initiative. ⁴⁹ Effective integration of clinical research and practice is hindered, and any consequent links with education are underdeveloped. Away from research, the educational boundaries between university, college and governmentrun, hospital-based postgraduate education centres remain obstacles.

Undergraduate and postgraduate training bodies have different goals and different funding models. At times, they can be in direct competition for the same resources, such as staff and teaching space. The divisions between these bodies are often bridged, somewhat coincidentally, by individual staff members common to both systems; yet unnecessary divisions remain. Opposition to integration occurs at many levels, while career pathways in medical education remain nebulous.⁵⁰ Medical education posts for consultants and registrars could exist across disciplines with no barriers to whether they teach junior doctors, undergraduates or even other professionals. Teaching hospitals and affiliated universities should share staff, resources and expertise across the health professions and throughout the continuum in a seamless vertical integration of education. 51 With a barely-interrupted education process that spans graduation, some of the undergraduate curriculum can be reliably, effectively and relevantly taught and re-taught later to a more clinically mature workforce. This might deal with an attitude observed in many undergraduate medical students, where critically important but 'unexaminable' qualities are undervalued in their education.

The practicality of implementing these changes

It is easy to state what should be done. It is more difficult to implement, then evaluate and further modify and re-evaluate. Much of what is in this article is impractical to initiate until seismic shifts occur in senior health, collegiate and academic administrations.

There are issues at the grass roots level as well. A large medical workforce in Australia has been trained in a manner foreign to the ambient service need. Many of these clinicians will be obstacles to change and unaware of the opportunities that would be available for their patients if IP practice were adopted. Many doctors will feel threatened by change to a new system where resources are restricted, non-medical personnel play a greater role in decision making and the training proposed for specific clinical tasks will not be as intensive nor as comprehensive as previously mandated. The benefits to other professions of IP learning must not be underestimated, and those clinicians and teachers should champion any change implementation, both in theory and practice.

We need leaders to accept responsibility and enact visionary process. Those leaders are not currently encouraged to remain a long time in posts in the senior echelons of the Australian health system as the terms of office are short. This leads to discontinuity and poorly persistent priorities. Besides this, significant institutional cooperation is impractical because, although these institutions currently interact, that interaction is often competitive rather than collaborative.

In order for innovation in healthcare to become evidence based and patient focused, effective management requires accurate measurement of system performance. Cheap, voluminous, irrelevant data acquisition is the norm at present, and this cloys the process of service evolution. Decent, widely-applicable evidence for health system reform is scant, so disagreements abound on processes that pit workforce needs against patients' needs against available resources. We ask for the collection of meaningful, accurate data – both qualitative and quantitative.

Conclusions

To prepare for our future, the training of clinicians throughout both undergraduate and postgraduate periods should be consistent in its philosophy of encouraging flexibility or adaptability, together with a capacity to reflect, evaluate and innovate. Particularly in the postgraduate period, traditional approaches and policies for clinical practice and training persist in Australia at a time when we believe driving change should be the norm. Colleges could look to unite with government health departments, the universities and the hospitals to promote values for uninterrupted healthcare learning and practice - values that are consistent across the continuum of medical education. Educational policies could be reformed and time be mandated for respected, consistent, comprehensive and, above all, relevant postgraduate training. This training should be rigorously policed and closely monitored for its ability to maintain a clinician workforce equipped to manage the challenges faced when dispensing healthcare in this century. The workforce, appropriately trained and confident, may then be in a position to drive the design of that future system.

Training should incorporate IP collaboration and should not centre upon hospital inpatient care. The future hospital may continue to be a focus for healthcare training and delivery, but we must avoid giving the hospital an increasingly isolated role for these crucial activities. A variety of authentic clinical environments should be utilised to reflect current and emerging clinical care processes and diverse patient populations.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- 1 Kennedy C. The changing face of medicine. Br Med J 1955;1:1045–9.
- 2 Marengoni A, Pasina L, Concoreggi C et al. Understanding adverse drug reactions in older adults through drug-drug interactions. Eur J Intern Med 2014;25:843–6.
- 3 Dumbreck S, Flynn A, Nairn M et al. Drug-disease and drug-drug interactions: systematic examination of recommendations in 12 UK national clinical guidelines. BMJ 2015;350:h949.
- 4 Davies GP, Perkins D, McDonald J, Williams A. Integrated primary health care in Australia. *Int J Integr Care* 2009;9:e95.
- 5 Bowerman S, Bellman M, Saltsman P et al. Implementation of a primary care physician network obesity management program. Obes Res 2001;9(Suppl 4):321S–5S.
- 6 Jebb SA, Ahern AL, Olson AD et al. Primary care referral to a commercial provider for weight loss treatment versus standard care: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011;378:1485–92.
- 7 Jolly K, Lewis A, Beach J et al. Comparison of range of commercial or primary care led weight reduction programmes with minimal intervention control for weight loss in obesity: lighten Up randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2011;343:d6500.
- 8 Gordon LJ, Rees CE, Ker JS, Cleland J. Leadership and followership in the healthcare workplace: exploring medical trainees' experiences through narrative inquiry. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e008898.

- 9 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Chronic disease comorbidity Canberra: AIHW. http://aihw.gov.au/chronic-diseases/ comorbidity/ [Accessed 12 December 2016].
- 10 Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA et al. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. Lancet 2010;376:1923–58.
- 11 Royal Australasian College of Physicians. General and acute care medicine advanced training curriculum. Sydney: RACP, 2013.
- 12 Blumenthal DM, Bernard K, Bohnen J, Bohmer R. Addressing the leadership gap in medicine: residents' need for systematic leadership development training. *Acad Med* 2012;87:513–22.
- 13 Swick HM, Szenas P, Danoff D, Whitcomb ME. Teaching professionalism in undergraduate medical education. *JAMA* 1999;282:830–2.
- 14 Symonds IM, Talley NJ. Can professionalism be taught? *Med J Aust* 2013;199:380–1.
- 15 Cruess RL, Cruess SR. Teaching professionalism: general principles. Med Teach 2006;28:205–8.
- 16 Wilson I, Cowin LS, Johnson M, Young H. Professional identity in medical students: pedagogical challenges to medical education. *Teach Learn Med* 2013:25:369–73.
- 17 Birden HH, Usherwood T. "They liked it if you said you cried": how medical students perceive the teaching of professionalism. *Med J Aust* 2013:199:406–9
- 18 Borgstrom E, Cohn S, Barclay S. Medical professionalism: conflicting values for tomorrow's doctors. *J Gen Intern Med* 2010;25:1330–6.
- 19 Scott I, Poole P, Sewell J. Restoring the balance: an action plan for ensuring the equitable delivery of consultant services in general medicine in Australia and New Zealand. Sydney: IMSANZ, 2005.
- 20 Royal Australasian College of Physicians. Statement of strategic intent. Sydney: RACP 2013.
- 21 Grol R, Wensing M, Eccles M, Davis D. *Improving patient care: the implementation of change in health care.* Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 2013.
- 22 Niessen ASM, Meijer RR. Selection of medical students on the basis of non-academic skills: is it worth the trouble? *Clin Med* 2016;16:339–42.
- 23 Barr DA. Science as superstition: selecting medical students. *Lancet* 2010;376:678–9.
- 24 Wald HS. Professional identity (trans)formation in medical education: reflection, relationship, resilience. *Acad Med* 2015;90:701–6.
- 25 Blackburn CB. The growth of specialism in Australia during fifty years and its significance for the future. *Med J Aust* 1951;1:20.
- 26 Bartunek JM. Intergroup relationships and quality improvement in healthcare. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2011;20(Suppl 1):i62–6.
- 27 Brewer M. Interprofessional capability framework. Western Australia: Curtin University, 2011.
- 28 Khalili H, Orchard C, Laschinger HKS, Farah R. An interprofessional socialization framework for developing an interprofessional identity among health professions students. *J Interprof Care* 2013;27:448–53.
- 29 Cruess RL, Cruess SR, Boudreau JD et al. Reframing medical education to support professional identity formation. Acad Med 2014;89:1446–51.
- 30 Barr H, Helme M, D'Avray L. Review of interprofessional education in the United Kingdom 1997–2013. Fareham: The Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education (CAIPE), 2014.
- 31 Lapkin S, Levett-Jones T, Gilligan C. A systematic review of the effectiveness of interprofessional education in health professional programs. *Nurse Educ Today* 2013;33:90–102.

- Roberts C, Daly M, Kumar K et al. A longitudinal integrated placement and medical students' intentions to practise rurally. Med Educ 2012;46:179–91.
- 33 Roberts C, Kumar K. Student learning in interprofessional practice-based environments: what does theory say? BMC Med Educ 2015;15:211.
- 34 Gaudet A, Kelley ML, Williams AM. Understanding the distinct experience of rural interprofessional collaboration in developing palliative care programs. Rural Remote Health 2014;14:2711.
- 35 Creed PA, Searle J, Rogers ME. Medical specialty prestige and lifestyle preferences for medical students. Soc Sci Med 2010;71:1084–8.
- 36 Monrouxe LV. Identity, identification and medical education: why should we care? Med Educ 2010;44:40–9.
- 37 Mossop L, Dennick R, Hammond R, Robbe I. Analysing the hidden curriculum: use of a cultural web. *Med Educ* 2013;47:134–43.
- 38 Braithwaite J, Westbrook M, Nugus P et al. Continuing differences between health professions' attitudes: the saga of accomplishing systemswide interprofessionalism. Int J Qual Health Care 2013;25:8–15.
- 39 Chamberlain-Salaun J, Mills J, Usher K. Terminology used to describe health care teams: an integrative review of the literature. J Multidiscip Healthc 2013;6:65–74.
- 40 Reeves S, Zwarenstein M, Goldman J et al. Interprofessional education: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;(1):CD002213.
- 41 Ash JK, Walters LK, Prideaux DJ, Wilson IG. The context of clinical teaching and learning in Australia. *Med J Aust* 2012;196:475.
- 42 Coleman EA. Falling through the cracks: challenges and opportunities for improving transitional care for persons with continuous complex care needs. *I Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;51:549–55.
- 43 Johnson JK, Farnan JM, Barach P et al. Searching for the missing pieces between the hospital and primary care: mapping the patient process during care transitions. BMJ Qual Saf 2012;21(Suppl 1):i97–105.
- 44 Sheu L, Fung K, Mourad M et al. We need to talk: Primary care provider communication at discharge in the era of a shared electronic medical record. J Hosp Med 2015;10:307–10.
- 45 Schoen C, Osborn R, Squires D et al. A survey of primary care doctors in ten countries shows progress in use of health information technology, less in other areas. Health Aff 2012;31:2805–16.
- 46 May J. Clinical service integration: a stocktake of the Australian experience. *Future Hospital Journal* 2015;2:142–6.
- 47 Osveiko PV, Heitmueller A, Allen P *et al.* Improving accountability through alignment: the role of academic health science centres and networks in England. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:24.
- 48 NHS England. Academic Health Science Networks. www.england.nhs. uk/ourwork/part-rel/ahsn/ [Accessed 12 December 2016].
- 49 Theile DE, Scott IA, Martin JH, Gavrilidis A. Enabling the success of academic health science centres in Australia: where is the leadership? *Med J Aust* 2014;201:636–8.
- 50 Hu WC, Thistlethwaite JE, Weller J et al. 'It was serendipity': a qualitative study of academic careers in medical education. Med Educ 2015;49:1124–36.
- 51 Dowton SB, Stokes ML, Rawstron EJ et al. Postgraduate medical education: rethinking and integrating a complex landscape. Med J Aust 2005;182:177–80.

Address for correspondence: Dr Toby Gilbert, Royal Adelaide Hospital, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia.

Email: toby.gilbert@sa.gov.au